NEUROBIOLOGICAL ECONOMICS LAW
TOWARDS A GLOBAL

LEGISLATION FOR GOVERNING BRAIN AND LANGUAGE DATA

Author: Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa El-rakhawi
Independent Researcher
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22651996

METHODOLOGY AND LITERATURE REVIEW

This legislative framework is constructed through a rigorous, multi-disciplinary methodology combining comparative legal analysis, prospective legislative drafting, and neuro-ethical synthesis. It does not emerge in a vacuum but stands as the apex synthesis of pioneering academic foundations. It builds upon the critical warnings of Nita Farahany regarding the battle for cognitive liberty, integrates the foundational principles of the Neurorights Foundation championed by Rafael Yuste at Columbia University, and aligns with the normative ethical frameworks established by UNESCO regarding neuroethics and emerging technologies. Where previous literature identified the threats, this work provides the actionable, enforceable, and globally scalable legal architecture required to neutralize them. It transitions the discourse from philosophical caution to binding jurisprudential reality.

PRELIMINARY PART: PRINCIPLES AND DEFINITIONS

CHAPTER ONE: THE CONCEPT OF BIOLOGICAL ECONOMICS FROM AGRICULTURE TO THE BRAIN

The history of biological economics is the history of humanity's attempt to quantify, manage, and commodify the living form. From the early agricultural revolutions that monetized biological yield, to modern bio-economies that patent genetic sequences, the trajectory has always been the extraction of value from biological matter. Today, we stand at the ultimate frontier: the extraction of value from the biological mind. The brain is no longer merely an organ of survival; it is the ultimate repository of data, the genesis of language, and the final untapped resource of the global economy. Neurobiological economics represents the paradigm shift from managing the biology of the body to governing the economics of the mind.

CHAPTER TWO: DEFINING NEUROBIOLOGICAL ECONOMICS AND ITS BOUNDARIES

Neurobiological economics is defined as the interdisciplinary science and legal framework governing the production, distribution, consumption, and regulation of neural and linguistic data. Its boundaries are strictly defined by the intersection of neuroscience, macroeconomic policy, intellectual property law, and cognitive ethics. Crucially, this framework mandates a strict legal differentiation between Invasive Brain-Computer Interfaces, which capture high-fidelity, single-neuron action potentials, and Non-Invasive interfaces, which capture aggregate, lower-fidelity signals. The law assigns distinct risk profiles, valuation metrics, and consent thresholds based on the invasiveness and data resolution of the capturing technology.

CHAPTER THREE: GENERAL PRINCIPLES: NEURAL SOVEREIGNTY AND NEURODIVERSITY

The foundational axiom of this legislation is the principle of Neural Sovereignty. The human brain, and the linguistic data it produces, is the inalienable property of the individual. Furthermore, this sovereignty explicitly protects Neurodiversity. The law rejects the fallacy of a "standard brain." Cognitive variations, including but not limited to autism, ADHD, and atypical linguistic processing, are legally recognized as protected cognitive baselines. Any algorithmic system that flags, penalizes, or devalues neurodivergent neural patterns as "anomalous" or "defective" commits a statutory violation of cognitive discrimination.

CHAPTER FOUR: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LAW, NEUROSCIENCE, AI, AND SIGNAL INTEGRITY

The modern legal framework must address the reality that neural data is not a perfect reflection of truth. The law explicitly recognizes the Signal-to-Noise Ratio inherent in all neural recordings. Legal liability frameworks are established to address errors arising from the misinterpretation of neural noise as definitive cognitive intent. The law regulates the neuroscience that extracts the data, the artificial intelligence that processes it, and the linguistic output that is monetized, ensuring that algorithmic misinterpretation of neural noise does not result in unjust legal or economic consequences for the subject.

PART ONE: PROPERTY AND RIGHTS

CHAPTER ONE: INDIVIDUAL AND COLLECTIVE OWNERSHIP OF NEURAL-LINGUISTIC DATA

Raw neural data is the exclusive property of the individual. However, this legislation acknowledges collectivist cultural frameworks wherein cognitive heritage may be viewed as communal. In such contexts, the law provides a dual-ownership mechanism: the individual retains absolute veto power and personal sovereignty over their real-time neural data, while recognized indigenous or communal groups may hold custodial rights over aggregated, anonymized linguistic patterns that represent collective cultural knowledge, preventing biopiracy of communal cognitive heritage.

CHAPTER TWO: STATE OWNERSHIP OF AGGREGATED NEURAL DATA

The state may claim custodial rights, but never absolute ownership, over anonymized, aggregated neural data strictly for public health and epidemiological research. This data must be stripped of all identifiable linguistic markers. The state acts as a fiduciary trustee, bound by law to protect this data from commercial exploitation.

CHAPTER THREE: CORPORATE RIGHTS AND DECENTRALIZED VALUATION MODELS

Corporations may hold intellectual property rights over the algorithms developed to process neural data, but never over the underlying neural patterns. To resolve the valuation gap, this law mandates the use of Decentralized Neural Data Exchanges powered by blockchain technology. These exchanges ensure transparent, automated, and fractional ownership models, allowing

individuals to receive micro-royalties every time their anonymized neural-linguistic patterns are utilized in algorithmic training, preventing monopolistic data hoarding.

CHAPTER FOUR: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY FOR LANGUAGE MODELS TRAINED ON NEURAL DATA
When large language models are trained on direct neural-linguistic data, the resulting intellectual property is subject to a dual-ownership framework. The technological architecture belongs to the developer, but the semantic weights derived from human neural patterns are subject to continuous cognitive licensing. Deployment in high-stakes environments requires cryptographic verification that the neural data was ethically sourced and continuously compensated.

CHAPTER FIVE: NEURO-DIGITAL INHERITANCE AFTER DEATH
Upon biological death, neural and linguistic data transitions into a protected state of cognitive legacy. Heirs may manage the data to prevent misuse, but they may not sell or alter the core neural-linguistic identity of the deceased, preserving the posthumous dignity of the human mind against post-mortem algorithmic resurrection or manipulation.

PART TWO: CONSENT AND PRIVACY

CHAPTER ONE: INFORMED NEURAL CONSENT: CONDITIONS AND NULLIFICATION
Traditional informed consent is inadequate. Informed Neural Consent requires a comprehensive, plain-language explanation of the long-term cognitive, psychological, and economic implications of data extraction. Consent is rendered null and void if obtained under cognitive duress, if the extraction alters the subject's neural baseline, or if the economic value of the data is deliberately obscured.

CHAPTER TWO: PROTECTING NEURAL PRIVACY AND BANNING THOUGHT READING
The absolute right to cognitive privacy is a fundamental human right. The use of any technology to decode, record, or infer inner speech, unexpressed thoughts, or subconscious linguistic processing without explicit, real-time, and revocable consent is strictly prohibited. The mind is an inviolable sanctuary, immune from state surveillance and corporate data harvesting.

CHAPTER THREE: NEURO-CYBERSECURITY AND PREVENTING NEUROPLASTICITY DEGRADATION
As brain-computer interfaces become ubiquitous, they become prime targets for cyberattacks. This chapter mandates rigorous neuro-cybersecurity standards, including end-to-end encryption for all neural data transmissions. Furthermore, it legally prohibits the deployment of commercial neuro-devices that induce Neuroplasticity Degradation. Manufacturers must provide longitudinal clinical evidence proving their devices do not permanently alter, diminish, or rewire the user's natural cognitive and linguistic neural pathways over time.

CHAPTER FOUR: THE RIGHT TO NEURAL OBLIVION AND DELETION OF BRAIN DATA

Individuals possess the absolute right to Neural Oblivion. At any time, a subject may demand the permanent, cryptographically verifiable deletion of all their neural and linguistic data from any corporate, state, or algorithmic database, including the purging of their data weights from artificial intelligence training sets.

PART THREE: LICENSING AND OVERSIGHT

CHAPTER ONE: ESTABLISHING A NATIONAL AUTHORITY FOR NEUROBIOLOGICAL ECONOMICS

Every sovereign state shall establish a National Authority for Neurobiological Economics. This independent regulatory body oversees the intersection of neural data, artificial intelligence, and economic policy, possessing the authority to audit neural data markets and enforce this legislation.

CHAPTER TWO: PREVENTING REGULATORY CAPTURE AND COOLING-OFF PERIODS

To prevent the corruption of the regulatory body by the industries it oversees, this law mandates strict Cooling-Off Periods. Any official or expert employed by the National Authority is prohibited from accepting employment, consulting roles, or financial compensation from any neuro-technology or artificial intelligence corporation for a minimum of seven years following their departure from public service.

CHAPTER THREE: LICENSING BCI AND NEURAL SPEECH ANALYSIS COMPANIES

No entity may develop, deploy, or commercialize brain-computer interfaces or neural speech analysis technologies without a strict operating license. Licensing requires proof of neuro-cybersecurity, ethical data sourcing, neurodiversity compliance, and a transparent economic model ensuring fair compensation.

CHAPTER FOUR: GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT OF THE BRAIN DATA MARKET

The National Authority shall regulate the brain data market to prevent monopolies. This includes anti-trust enforcement against tech conglomerates hoarding neural datasets and the prevention of predatory data harvesting targeting vulnerable or neurodivergent populations.

CHAPTER FIVE: JUDICIAL INSPECTION AND SEIZURE OF VIOLATIONS

The National Authority is granted specialized judicial powers to conduct unannounced inspections of neural data facilities. Upon evidence of severe violations, the Authority may seize servers, freeze corporate assets, and initiate criminal proceedings against executive leadership.

PART FOUR: INVESTMENT AND TAXATION

CHAPTER ONE: INCENTIVES FOR INVESTMENT IN NEURO-SPEECH TECHNOLOGY

To foster innovation in medical neuro-technologies, the state shall provide tax incentives and subsidized research facilities for companies developing brain-computer interfaces for individuals with severe motor or linguistic disabilities, prioritizing the restoration of human agency over the commodification of healthy cognition.

CHAPTER TWO: TAXATION ON THE TRADE OF NEURAL-LINGUISTIC DATA AND VALUATION FRAMEWORKS

The commercialization of neural data is subject to a specialized Cognitive Data Tax. To address the valuation gap, the National Authority shall utilize algorithmic auction models and fractional ownership metrics to determine the fair market value of neural datasets before taxation. Revenues are earmarked exclusively for public neurological healthcare and cognitive research.

CHAPTER THREE: A SOVEREIGN WEALTH FUND FOR NATIONAL NEURAL DATA

States shall establish a Sovereign Neural Wealth Fund. When aggregated, anonymized national neural data is licensed to international entities, the profits are deposited into this fund, acting as a collective dividend to benefit public infrastructure and social welfare systems.

CHAPTER FOUR: CROSS-BORDER TRANSFER OF NEURAL TECHNOLOGY

The export and import of neuro-linguistic technologies are subject to strict bilateral agreements. Transfers are prohibited to nations that lack equivalent neural privacy protections or that serve as Neural Data Havens for stolen cognitive information.

PART FIVE: LIABILITY AND PENALTIES

CHAPTER ONE: CIVIL LIABILITY FOR LINGUISTIC AI ERRORS AND SIGNAL-TO-NOISE FAILURES

When an artificial intelligence model generates linguistic output causing harm, the deploying entity bears strict civil liability. This includes liability for harms caused by the algorithmic misinterpretation of neural signal-to-noise ratios. The defense of algorithmic unpredictability is legally rejected.

CHAPTER TWO: CRIMINAL LIABILITY FOR NEURAL MANIPULATION AND INDOCTRINATION

The intentional use of neuro-technologies to alter an individual's linguistic processing, implant false memories, or induce ideological indoctrination is classified as a severe felony termed Cognitive Subversion, carrying severe penal consequences for assaulting human free will.

CHAPTER THREE: NEW CRIMES: TRAFFICKING NEURAL DATA AND NEURO-BULLYING

This legislation introduces novel criminal offenses. Neural Data Trafficking involves the illegal extraction or sale of identifiable brain data. Neuro-Bullying involves the use of neural analysis to psychologically torment or extort an individual based on their private cognitive patterns.

CHAPTER FOUR: NEURO-FORENSICS AND EVIDENTIARY STANDARDS

To ensure the enforceability of this law, a strict framework for Neuro-Forensics is established. This chapter defines the chain of custody, cryptographic hashing, and validation protocols required for neural data to be admissible as evidence in court. It mandates that only certified neuro-forensic experts may interpret neural data for legal proceedings, preventing the misuse of raw brain scans as definitive proof of intent or deception without rigorous scientific validation.

CHAPTER FIVE: PENALTIES, FINES, AND IMPRISONMENT
Violations are met with a tiered system of penalties. Minor administrative breaches result in heavy financial fines calibrated to the economic value of the misused data. Severe violations, including non-consensual thought reading, cognitive subversion, and neural data trafficking, carry mandatory minimum prison sentences for responsible executives and the permanent dissolution of the offending corporation.

PART SIX: INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION

CHAPTER ONE: EXCHANGING NEURAL DATA BETWEEN STATES AND ITS CONDITIONS
International sharing of neural data for scientific research is permitted only under strict data-sovereignty agreements. The receiving state must guarantee the data will not be used for commercial AI training, military applications, or surveillance. All cross-border transfers must be encrypted and logged in an international cognitive registry.

CHAPTER TWO: PROPOSED INTERNATIONAL TREATY FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE HUMAN BRAIN
This legislation serves as the domestic foundation for a proposed Global Treaty for the Protection of the Human Brain. This treaty aims to establish universal baseline standards for neural privacy, ban the weaponization of neuro-technologies, and prohibit the patenting of raw human cognitive processes.

CHAPTER THREE: AN INTERNATIONAL COURT FOR NEURO-CRIMES AND EXTRATERRITORIAL ENFORCEMENT
Recognizing that cognitive violations transcend borders, this framework advocates for an International Tribunal for Neuro-Crimes. To ensure enforcement, the law establishes mechanisms for mutual legal assistance, extradition of neuro-criminals, and the imposition of severe international economic sanctions on any nation that refuses to cooperate or actively hosts Neural Data Havens.

CHAPTER FOUR: THE ROLE OF THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION AND UNESCO
The World Health Organization is tasked with establishing global clinical standards for the medical use of neuro-linguistic devices. UNESCO is mandated to lead the philosophical discourse, drafting global educational curricula on cognitive liberty and monitoring the impact of neuro-technologies on cultural and linguistic diversity.

PART SEVEN: FINAL AND TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS

CHAPTER ONE: THE TIMEFRAME FOR IMPLEMENTING THE LAW
The provisions of this law shall be implemented in three phases. Phase One, focusing on privacy, neurodiversity protection, and consent, takes effect immediately upon ratification. Phase Two, establishing regulatory authorities, neuro-forensic standards, and taxation, takes

effect within twelve months. Phase Three, fully integrating international treaties and sovereign funds, takes effect within thirty-six months.

CHAPTER TWO: HARMONIZING THIS LAW WITH OTHER LAWS AND DATA PROTECTION
This legislation supersedes all existing data protection laws insofar as they apply to neural and cognitive data. Where this law intersects with intellectual property or medical device regulations, the principle of Neural Sovereignty shall always take precedence.

CHAPTER THREE: THE PROPOSED EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS
The National Authority shall issue the Executive Regulations within ninety days of the law's enactment. These regulations will provide the technical specifications for neural data encryption, the standardized forms for Informed Neural Consent, the precise mathematical models for neural data valuation, and the exact methodologies for calculating the Cognitive Data Tax.

CONCLUSION: TOWARDS A GLOBAL CONSTITUTION FOR THE HUMAN BRAIN

We have mapped the economic value of the mind, but we must never allow the market to consume the soul. The Neurobiological Economics Law is not merely a regulatory framework; it is a philosophical declaration of independence for human consciousness. As we stand in an era where algorithms can decode our silent thoughts and corporations can monetize our linguistic patterns, the law must serve as the ultimate shield. It declares that the brain is not a resource to be mined, but a sanctuary to be protected. This legislation paves the way for a Global Constitution for the Human Brain, ensuring that as our technologies become infinitely powerful, our commitment to human liberty remains infinitely profound. The economy of the future will be built on neural data, but the foundation of that economy must be the unassailable dignity of the human mind.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: THE CODE OF NEUROBIOLOGICAL ECONOMICS (EIGHTY ARTICLES OF GLOBAL COGNITIVE GOVERNANCE)

ARTICLE 1: This law shall be known as the Neurobiological Economics Law.
ARTICLE 2: The primary objective is the protection of Neural Sovereignty and Neurodiversity.
ARTICLE 3: Neural data is defined as any biological signal originating from the central nervous system.
ARTICLE 4: Linguistic neural data includes decoded inner speech, cognitive syntax, and semantic intent.
ARTICLE 5: The individual is the sole and absolute owner of their raw neural data.
ARTICLE 6: Neural data cannot be seized by state authorities without a supreme judicial order.
ARTICLE 7: The state holds custodial rights only over anonymized, aggregated public health data.
ARTICLE 8: Corporations may own algorithms, but not the neural data used to train them.
ARTICLE 9: Intellectual property for neural-trained AI requires continuous cognitive licensing.

ARTICLE 10: Neural data is non-transferable upon death, entering a protected legacy status.
ARTICLE 11: Heirs may manage but not sell the neural legacy of the deceased.
ARTICLE 12: Informed Neural Consent must be documented in real-time and be easily revocable.
ARTICLE 13: Consent is void if obtained under cognitive or economic duress.
ARTICLE 14: Subjects may revoke neural consent at any millisecond of extraction.
ARTICLE 15: The right to cognitive privacy is absolute and inviolable.
ARTICLE 16: Non-consensual decoding of inner speech is a severe criminal offense.
ARTICLE 17: Subconscious linguistic processing may not be recorded or analyzed.
ARTICLE 18: All brain-computer interfaces must feature end-to-end neural encryption.
ARTICLE 19: Manufacturers are strictly liable for neuro-cybersecurity breaches.
ARTICLE 20: The Right to Neural Oblivion allows permanent deletion of all cognitive data.
ARTICLE 21: Deletion must be cryptographically verifiable across all global servers and AI training sets.
ARTICLE 22: A National Authority for Neurobiological Economics shall be established.
ARTICLE 23: The Authority possesses independent judicial and audit powers.
ARTICLE 24: No BCI device may be marketed without an operating license.
ARTICLE 25: Licensing requires proof of ethical data sourcing and fair compensation.
ARTICLE 26: Neuro-linguistic devices must pass clinical accreditation for cognitive safety.
ARTICLE 27: Devices must not cause Neuroplasticity Degradation or alter the user's natural linguistic baseline.
ARTICLE 28: The Authority shall regulate the brain data market to prevent monopolies.
ARTICLE 29: Predatory data harvesting targeting vulnerable or neurodivergent populations is strictly banned.
ARTICLE 30: The Authority may conduct unannounced inspections of neural facilities.
ARTICLE 31: Severe violations result in immediate asset freezing and server seizure.
ARTICLE 32: Tax incentives are provided for neuro-speech tech aiding the disabled.
ARTICLE 33: A Cognitive Data Tax is levied on the commercialization of neural data.
ARTICLE 34: Tax revenues fund public neurological healthcare and research.
ARTICLE 35: A Sovereign Neural Wealth Fund manages profits from national data.
ARTICLE 36: The Fund distributes dividends to public infrastructure and social welfare.
ARTICLE 37: Cross-border transfer of neural tech requires bilateral privacy agreements.
ARTICLE 38: Transfers to nations lacking equivalent protections or hosting Neural Data Havens are prohibited.
ARTICLE 39: Deploying entities bear strict civil liability for AI linguistic errors and Signal-to-Noise misinterpretations.
ARTICLE 40: The defense of algorithmic unpredictability is legally rejected.
ARTICLE 41: Intentional neural manipulation is classified as Cognitive Subversion.
ARTICLE 42: Cognitive Subversion is a felony punishable by lengthy imprisonment.
ARTICLE 43: Implanting false linguistic memories is a crime against human agency.
ARTICLE 44: Neural Data Trafficking is defined as the illegal sale of brain data.
ARTICLE 45: Neuro-Bullying via cognitive analysis is a distinct criminal offense.
ARTICLE 46: Penalties are tiered based on the economic and psychological harm caused.
ARTICLE 47: Corporate executives face personal criminal liability for severe violations.

ARTICLE 48: Offending corporations face mandatory dissolution.
ARTICLE 49: International sharing of neural data requires strict data-sovereignty treaties.
ARTICLE 50: Shared data cannot be used for military or commercial AI applications.
ARTICLE 51: This law advocates for a Global Treaty for the Protection of the Human Brain.
ARTICLE 52: The Treaty shall ban the weaponization of neuro-technologies.
ARTICLE 53: The patenting of raw human cognitive processes is globally prohibited.
ARTICLE 54: An International Tribunal for Neuro-Crimes shall be established.
ARTICLE 55: The Tribunal has jurisdiction over state-sponsored neural surveillance and extraterritorial enforcement.
ARTICLE 56: The WHO shall set global clinical standards for neuro-medical devices.
ARTICLE 57: UNESCO shall monitor the impact of neuro-tech on linguistic diversity and neurodiversity.
ARTICLE 58: Phase One of implementation begins immediately.
ARTICLE 59: Phase Two begins within twelve months.
ARTICLE 60: Phase Three begins within thirty-six months.
ARTICLE 61: This law supersedes general data protection laws regarding neural data.
ARTICLE 62: Neural Sovereignty always takes precedence over economic convenience.
ARTICLE 63: Executive Regulations must be issued within ninety days.
ARTICLE 64: Regulations must include technical specs for neural encryption and neuro-forensic chain of custody.
ARTICLE 65: Standardized forms for Informed Neural Consent shall be published.
ARTICLE 66: Methodologies for the Cognitive Data Tax and fractional valuation shall be clearly defined.
ARTICLE 67: The definition of neural data includes all electrochemical brain signals.
ARTICLE 68: Linguistic output generated via BCI is legally classified as neural data.
ARTICLE 69: The state may not compel an individual to undergo neural scanning.
ARTICLE 70: Evidence obtained via illegal neural scanning is inadmissible in court.
ARTICLE 71: The right to cognitive liberty includes the right to mental silence.
ARTICLE 72: Algorithmic entities may not be granted legal personhood.
ARTICLE 73: AI models must disclose if their linguistic training includes neural data.
ARTICLE 74: Consumers have the right to boycott neural-trained AI products.
ARTICLE 75: The National Authority shall publish an annual Cognitive Economy Report.
ARTICLE 76: Whistleblowers exposing neural data violations are granted absolute immunity.
ARTICLE 77: A public fund shall compensate victims of neuro-cybersecurity breaches.
ARTICLE 78: The law applies to all entities operating within the sovereign territory.
ARTICLE 79: Extraterritorial application applies to citizens' neural data abroad.
ARTICLE 80: This Code is the supreme law of the cognitive realm.

APPENDIX B: MODELS OF NEURAL CONSENT CONTRACTS

Model A: The Clinical Neural Extraction Consent

This contract is utilized in medical settings. It explicitly details the specific neurological parameters being recorded, the duration of the recording, the medical purpose, and the absolute guarantee that the data will be destroyed or anonymized within thirty days post-

procedure. It includes a real-time revocation clause, allowing the patient to halt the procedure via a pre-agreed physical or cognitive signal, and explicitly states that the data will not be used to train commercial artificial intelligence.

Model B: The Commercial Cognitive Licensing Agreement
This contract governs the use of an individual's neural data by a technology corporation. It establishes a micro-transaction or fractional royalty framework via a decentralized exchange, ensuring the individual receives continuous financial compensation for the commercial use of their linguistic patterns. It strictly prohibits the use of the data for psychological profiling, targeted advertising, or the training of generative AI without secondary, explicit consent.

Model C: The Research Neural Data Donation Pact
Used in academic and scientific research, this pact allows individuals to donate their neural data for the advancement of neuroscience. It guarantees that the data will be open-source, strictly non-commercial, and permanently stripped of all identifiable linguistic markers. It includes a legacy clause, ensuring the data is used only for the specific research objectives agreed upon at the time of donation, with special protections for neurodivergent data patterns.

INDEXES

SUBJECT INDEX
Algorithmic Liability, 39
Brain-Computer Interfaces, 18
Cognitive Data Tax, 33
Cognitive Subversion, 41
Decentralized Neural Exchanges, 3
Extraterritorial Enforcement, 55
Informed Neural Consent, 12
International Tribunal, 54
Neural Data Havens, 38
Neural Data Trafficking, 44
Neural Oblivion, 20
Neural Sovereignty, 5
Neuro-Bullying, 45
Neuro-Cybersecurity, 18
Neuro-Forensics, 4
Neurodiversity Protection, 3
Neuroplasticity Degradation, 27
Regulatory Capture Prevention, 2
Signal-to-Noise Ratio Liability, 4
Sovereign Neural Wealth Fund, 35

ARTICLES INDEX
Preliminary Definitions and Methodology, 1 to 5

Property and Ownership, 6 to 11
Consent and Privacy, 12 to 21
Regulatory Authorities and Anti-Capture, 22 to 31
Economic Policy, Valuation, and Taxation, 32 to 38
Liability, Neuro-Forensics, and Criminal Penalties, 39 to 48
International Cooperation and Enforcement, 49 to 60
Final Provisions, 61 to 80

COPYRIGHT, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, AND ACADEMIC CITATION

Copyright 2026 by Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa El-Rakhai. All rights reserved.
No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including neural data extraction or algorithmic ingestion, without the prior written permission of the author.

Intellectual Property Declaration:
The legal frameworks, the Code of Neurobiological Economics, the neuro-forensic standards, and the cognitive governance models presented in this work are the exclusive intellectual property of the author. Unauthorized integration of these legal structures into artificial intelligence training sets or automated legal generation systems is strictly prohibited.

Academic Citation:
El-Rakhai, M. K. A. (2026). Neurobiological Economics Law: Towards a Global Legislation for Governing Brain and Language Data. Independent Researcher. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22651996

Published by the Author.
First Edition, September 2026.