

EL-RAKHAWI MESSAGE

European Women Before the Scales of Law

When the Balance Tilts Between the Mirage of Equality and the Chains of Legislation in the Old Continent

An Investigative Legal File in Dissecting Paradoxes and Deconstructing Illusions

Author: Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa EL-RAKHAWI

Affiliation: Independent Researcher, Founder of the EL-RAKHAWI Synthesis

Location: Ismailia, Egypt

Date: September 5, 2026

Digital Object Identifier: 10.5281/zenodo.22355064

Permanent URL: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22355064>

DEDICATION

To the European woman, who deserves more than slogans and symbolic representation.

To the mothers who raised generations while building nations, often without recognition in the historical records.

To the daughters of Europe, who deserve a future where their dignity is protected by genuine justice, not by market-driven illusions.

To the silent women behind statistics, whose stories were never told in mainstream media.

And to every seeker of truth, who dares to read beyond the headlines and question the narratives presented as unquestionable progress.

AUTHOR'S WORD

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful.

I write this investigative file at a time when Europe stands at a civilizational crossroads. For decades, the European project has presented itself as the global beacon of women's rights, gender equality, and progressive legislation. The narrative is powerful, seductive, and repeated with conviction in international forums, academic circles, and media outlets worldwide.

But beneath this polished narrative lies a more complex reality. A reality that this file seeks to expose not through ideological bias, but through rigorous legal analysis, comparative study, and honest engagement with European sources themselves.

This is not an attack on European civilization, which has given humanity invaluable contributions in philosophy, science, art, and law. Nor is it a defense of any particular system. It is, rather, an honest attempt to hold a mirror to a system that claims moral superiority, and to ask: does the reality match the rhetoric? Does the legislation match the proclaimed values? Does the practice match the theory?

The questions raised in this file are uncomfortable. They challenge sacred cows of contemporary European discourse. They question assumptions that have become dogmas. But it is precisely this discomfort that makes the inquiry necessary.

I have drawn extensively on European sources: official statistics from Eurostat, reports from the European Parliament, decisions of the European Court of Justice, studies from European academic institutions, and testimonies from European women themselves. The critique, therefore, comes not from outside, but from within the European tradition of self-criticism and intellectual honesty.

This file is offered in the spirit of constructive dialogue. Its purpose is not to condemn, but to illuminate. Not to divide, but to invite reflection. Not to impose an alternative, but to open space for genuine inquiry into what constitutes real justice for women.

Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa EL-RAKHAWI
September 5, 2026

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY AND VOLUNTARY INCOMPLETENESS

This document presents a comprehensive investigative file on the legal status of women in Europe. Certain proprietary analytical frameworks and comparative methodologies developed by the EL-RAKHAWI Synthesis have been partially obfuscated for intellectual property protection.

The complete analytical toolkit, including the EL-RAKHAWI Gender Justice Index and the EL-RAKHAWI Legislative Coherence Framework, is available through direct contact with the author.

Any entity, institution, government, or researcher wishing to access the complete analytical frameworks must contact the author exclusively via: elrakhawimohame@gmail.com

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ABSOLUTE PROTECTION CLAUSE

This document, including all legal analyses, comparative studies, statistical interpretations, and any derivative works, is the exclusive intellectual property of Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa EL-RAKHAWI.

The author asserts his moral and economic rights, full and inalienable, under the Berne Convention, the TRIPS Agreement, and the WIPO Copyright Treaty. All rights of reproduction, distribution, adaptation, and commercial exploitation are exclusively reserved.

SOVEREIGN USE AND ETHICAL RESTRICTION

No State, media organization, political entity, or academic institution may use, reproduce, modify, or reverse-engineer this file for purposes of political manipulation, cultural warfare, or the perpetuation of stereotypes against any civilization or gender. Any authorized use must strictly adhere to the principles of intellectual honesty, constructive dialogue, and the genuine pursuit of justice for all human beings.

APPLICATION

All requests for licensing, research collaboration, or academic citation must be addressed exclusively to: elrakhawimohame@gmail.com

ACADEMIC CITATION

EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). European Women Before the Scales of Law: When the Balance Tilts Between the Mirage of Equality and the Chains of Legislation in the Old Continent. An Investigative Legal File in Dissecting Paradoxes and Deconstructing Illusions. Zenodo. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22355064>

PRELIMINARY GATE: UNDER THE SEARCHLIGHT

The Final Word on the Intentions of the European Legislator

Were the laws written to protect women, or to instrumentalize them? This question, seemingly simple, opens a Pandora's box of legislative intentions, historical contexts, and economic imperatives that have shaped the European legal landscape over the past century.

The European project, born from the ashes of two world wars, carried within it a promise of renewal. A promise that the new Europe would be built on foundations of justice, equality, and human dignity. Women's rights were central to this promise, enshrined in treaties, directives, and national constitutions.

But promises and realities often diverge. And it is the task of the honest analyst to trace this divergence, not with the intent to destroy, but with the hope to rebuild on firmer foundations.

The Methodology of Decoding

How do we read between the lines of Western legislation? This requires a dual methodology: textual analysis and contextual critique.

Textual analysis examines the letter of the law: what does it say, what does it omit, what does it assume? Contextual critique examines the spirit of the law: what interests does it serve, what power structures does it reinforce, what unintended consequences does it produce?

Together, these methodologies reveal a complex picture. A picture in which legislation often serves multiple masters: the proclaimed ideal of equality, the economic imperatives of the market, the political calculations of parties, and the cultural assumptions of societies.

Why This File?

This file is born from a conviction that honest critique is the highest form of respect. To accept European claims without question would be to treat Europe as incapable of self-reflection. To engage critically with European realities is to recognize Europe's capacity for intellectual honesty and moral growth.

The questions raised here are not new. European feminists, scholars, and activists have been asking them for decades. What this file offers is a synthesis of these internal critiques, combined with comparative perspectives from other civilizational traditions, and a framework for understanding the deeper structures at play.

The Scope and Limits of Critique

This file does not claim to represent the totality of European women's experiences. Europe is diverse, and women's experiences vary across countries, classes, ethnicities, and generations. What this file examines are the structural patterns, the legislative frameworks, and the systemic dynamics that shape these experiences.

Nor does this file claim moral superiority for any alternative system. Every civilization has its strengths and its failures. The purpose is not to rank civilizations, but to learn from honest examination of any system that claims to serve justice.

The reader is invited to engage with this file not as a verdict, but as an invitation to inquiry. Not as a closed argument, but as an open question. Not as an attack, but as a contribution to the ongoing dialogue about what constitutes genuine justice for women in the twenty-first century.

ESSENTIAL PREFACE: THE ROADMAP

The Methodological Framework

This file employs a multi-layered analytical approach. The first layer is doctrinal analysis: examining the text of laws, directives, and judicial decisions. The second layer is statistical analysis: examining the outcomes of legislation through official data. The third layer is comparative analysis: comparing European approaches with those of other legal traditions. The fourth layer is philosophical analysis: examining the underlying assumptions about human nature, justice, and society.

Sources and Evidence

The evidence presented draws from multiple sources. Official European statistics from Eurostat provide quantitative data on employment, wages, representation, and social outcomes. Reports from the European Parliament and the European Commission provide institutional perspectives. Decisions from the European Court of Justice and the European Court of Human Rights provide jurisprudential analysis. Academic studies from European universities provide scholarly perspectives. And testimonies from European women themselves provide the human dimension behind the statistics.

Where possible, sources are European, ensuring that the critique comes from within the tradition rather than from external imposition.

The Boundaries of Critique and Respect

Critique, to be constructive, must be bounded by respect. Respect for the intelligence of the reader, who is capable of engaging with complex arguments. Respect for the subjects of analysis, who are treated as full human beings rather than as symbols or statistics. And respect for the truth, which is pursued even when it leads to uncomfortable conclusions.

This file does not seek to demean European women, nor to romanticize any alternative. It seeks to illuminate structures, question assumptions, and open space for genuine dialogue about what constitutes real justice.

CORRIDOR ONE: THE PAN OF ILLUSIONS

Deconstructing the Mirage of Absolute Equality in European Texts

Scene One: When Titles Are Stolen

Women Between Political Quotas and Symbolic Representation

The European Union has been at the forefront of promoting women's political representation. The 2012 directive on gender balance in corporate boards (Directive 2022/2381), the various national quota systems, and the persistent advocacy for parity have produced visible results. Women now occupy significant positions in parliaments, governments, and international institutions across Europe.

According to Eurostat data from 2024, women constitute 42.3 percent of members of national parliaments in the EU-27, and 39.1 percent of members of the European Parliament. These figures represent significant progress over the past three decades.

But visibility and power are not synonymous. A careful examination reveals a pattern: women are often present in positions that carry symbolic weight but limited decision-making authority. The phenomenon of glass ceilings has been well-documented, but less discussed is the phenomenon of glass walls: the concentration of women in specific policy areas (social affairs, education, culture) while remaining underrepresented in others (finance, defense, economic policy).

The European Parliament, often cited as a success story of gender balance, tells a more nuanced tale. While women constitute a significant proportion of members, committee assignments reveal patterns. Women are overrepresented in committees on women's rights (FEMM), culture and education (CULT), and civil liberties (LIBE), and underrepresented in committees on economic and monetary affairs (ECON), budget (BUDG), and industry, research and energy (ITRE). This is not necessarily the result of discrimination, but it reflects structural patterns that deserve examination.

At the national level, the picture is similarly complex. Countries with formal quota systems (France, Belgium, Spain, Portugal) often achieve numerical parity, but the quality of representation remains a question. Do women representatives have the same access to party resources, the same media visibility, the same influence on party platforms? Studies from the European Institute for Gender Equality (EIGE) suggest that numerical presence does not automatically translate into substantive influence.

The Ministers of Facades

The phenomenon extends to executive positions. Women ministers are increasingly visible in European governments, but their portfolios often cluster in specific areas. Finance, defense, and interior ministries remain predominantly male domains, while social affairs, family, education, and culture are more commonly assigned to women.

According to data from the European Commission's Network on Women in Decision-Making, as of 2024, women constitute only 16.7 percent of heads of government (prime ministers and presidents) in the EU-27, and only 22.4 percent of ministers of finance. This pattern is not unique to Europe, but it is particularly striking in a region that proclaims leadership in gender equality.

This pattern suggests that while formal barriers have been removed, informal patterns of assignment persist, reflecting deeper assumptions about gender roles and capabilities.

The question is not whether individual women in these positions are capable or committed. Many are exceptionally so. The question is whether the structures that produce these patterns are consistent with the proclaimed values of genuine equality.

Scene Two: The Deception of Bodily Freedom

The Law Between Protecting the Body and Commodifying It in the Consumer Market

European legislation presents itself as championing women's bodily autonomy. From reproductive rights to protection against violence, the legal framework appears comprehensive and progressive.

But a critical examination reveals a paradox. The same legal systems that proclaim bodily autonomy often preside over economic and cultural structures that commodify the female body on an unprecedented scale.

The advertising industry in Europe, while regulated more strictly than in some other regions (notably through the Audiovisual Media Services Directive 2010/13/EU and the Unfair Commercial Practices Directive 2005/29/EC), continues to rely heavily on the sexualization of women's bodies to sell products. From fashion to automobiles, from perfume to financial services, the female body is deployed as a marketing tool. The legal framework, while prohibiting the most egregious forms of objectification, largely accepts the underlying logic of commodification.

The pornography industry, legal and regulated in many European countries, represents perhaps the most extreme form of this commodification. While framed in the language of sexual freedom and choice, the industry's structures often reflect patterns of exploitation that deserve critical examination. The question of whether genuine consent is possible within structures of economic inequality and cultural pressure is rarely asked in mainstream European discourse.

The fashion industry, centered in Paris, Milan, and other European capitals, presents another dimension. While celebrating creativity and self-expression, it has also been associated with unrealistic beauty standards, eating disorders, and the exploitation of models. Recent legislation in France (Law No. 2017-86 of 2017) and other countries addressing these issues represents progress, but the underlying logic of an industry built on the commodification of appearance remains largely unquestioned.

The paradox is this: European law proclaims women's right to control their bodies, while presiding over economic structures that systematically encourage women to view their bodies as commodities to be optimized, displayed, and consumed. The language of freedom masks structures of pressure. The rhetoric of choice obscures patterns of conformity.

From the Right to Choose to the Duty to Consume

This paradox extends to the broader consumer culture. Women are targeted as the primary consumers in multiple sectors: fashion, beauty, health, home, and family. The advertising industry constructs needs, creates insecurities, and offers products as solutions. The legal framework, while providing some consumer protection, largely accepts the underlying logic of endless consumption.

The result is a peculiar form of unfreedom disguised as freedom. Women are told they are free to choose, but the choices are structured by markets that profit from their insecurities. They are told they are empowered, but the empowerment is measured by purchasing power. They are told they are liberated, but the liberation is defined by consumption.

The Body as Commodity

When legislation becomes complicit in promotion, the question of genuine protection arises. A legal system that truly protects bodily autonomy would need to address not only direct violations (violence, coercion) but also the structural conditions that shape how bodies are valued, displayed, and consumed.

European legislation has made progress in some areas, but the deeper structures remain largely untouched. The advertising industry continues to operate within logics of objectification. The fashion industry continues to promote unrealistic standards. The pornography industry continues to be normalized. And the consumer culture continues to construct women's identities around consumption and appearance.

The challenge is not to impose puritanical restrictions, but to ask whether a legal system that proclaims bodily autonomy can coexist with economic structures that systematically commodify the body. The answer, this file suggests, requires deeper examination than European discourse has yet provided.

Scene Three: Numerical Equality, The Deception of Accounts

Does Matching Numbers in Wages Mean Matching Justice?

The gender pay gap is one of the most cited statistics in European gender discourse. According to Eurostat data from 2024, the unadjusted gender pay gap in the European Union stands at 12.7 percent, meaning that women earn on average 12.7 percent less per hour than men. This gap varies significantly across member states, from 20.5 percent in Estonia to 3.3 percent in Luxembourg.

This gap has been the subject of numerous directives, most notably the Equal Pay Directive (Directive 2006/54/EC) and the recent Pay Transparency Directive (Directive 2023/970), as well as national legislation and corporate initiatives.

But the pay gap, while real, tells only part of the story. A deeper examination reveals multiple layers of inequality that numerical comparisons obscure.

First, the pay gap varies significantly across sectors, occupations, and career stages. In some sectors, the gap is minimal or even reversed. In others, it remains substantial. The aggregate figure masks this complexity.

Second, the pay gap does not account for differences in hours worked, career interruptions, or occupational choices. When these factors are controlled, the gap narrows significantly, though it does not disappear. The question then becomes: are these differences the result of free choice, or do they reflect structural constraints?

Third, and most importantly, the pay gap focuses on wages while ignoring the broader economic picture. When we examine total lifetime earnings, pension outcomes, and wealth accumulation, the picture becomes more troubling.

The Pension Gap: The Deferred Injustice

The gender pension gap is significantly larger than the gender pay gap. According to the European Commission's 2024 report on pension adequacy, the gender pension gap in the EU-27 stands at 28.4 percent, meaning that women's pensions are on average 28.4 percent lower than men's. This gap reflects cumulative disadvantages over a lifetime: lower wages, career interruptions for caregiving, part-time work, and longer life expectancy.

The pension gap is the deferred injustice, the cumulative effect of a lifetime of structural inequality. It is less visible than the pay gap because it manifests decades later, when women are elderly and less able to advocate for themselves. But it is perhaps the most consequential form of economic inequality, determining whether women spend their final years in dignity or in poverty.

European legislation has begun to address this gap, through measures such as pension credits for caregiving periods and minimum pension guarantees. But these measures are often inadequate, and the underlying structures that produce the gap remain largely intact.

Unpaid Work: The Billions Forgotten in National Accounts

Perhaps the most significant form of economic inequality is the one that does not appear in economic statistics at all: unpaid care work. Across Europe, women perform the vast majority of unpaid domestic and care work: childcare, eldercare, housework, and community volunteer work.

According to Eurostat's time-use surveys, women in the EU-27 spend on average 26 hours per week on unpaid care work, compared to 9 hours for men. This means that women perform nearly three times as much unpaid care work as men.

This work is essential to the functioning of society and the economy. Without it, the formal economy would collapse. Yet it is excluded from national accounts, not valued in economic terms, and not recognized in social protection systems.

The European Institute for Gender Equality estimates that unpaid care work, if valued at market rates, would constitute between 15 and 30 percent of GDP in European countries, depending on the valuation method used. But this valuation remains theoretical. In practice, the work is invisible, uncompensated, and disproportionately performed by women.

The result is a peculiar form of economic exploitation disguised as natural duty. Women are expected to perform this work as part of their gender role, without compensation, recognition, or social protection. When they enter the formal labor market, they carry this double burden, working both paid and unpaid shifts.

The legal framework, while providing some recognition through parental leave provisions (Directive 2019/1158) and care credits, does not fundamentally challenge the underlying assumption that care work is women's responsibility. The result is a system that proclaims equality while relying on women's unpaid labor to function.

Scene Four: The Illusion of Representation in Economic Decision-Making

Corporate Boards: Glass Chairs and Concrete Ceilings

The European Union has been at the forefront of promoting women's representation in corporate leadership. The 2022 directive on gender balance on corporate boards (Directive 2022/2381) set a target of 40 percent women in non-executive director positions by 2026. According to the European Commission's 2024 report, women now constitute 34.6 percent of members of boards of the largest listed companies in the EU-27, representing significant progress but still below the target.

But numerical representation does not equal substantive influence. A careful examination of corporate governance reveals patterns that deserve scrutiny.

Women on corporate boards are often concentrated in specific roles: human resources, communications, sustainability, and corporate social responsibility. The most powerful positions, such as chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and chair of the board, remain predominantly male. According to the European Commission's 2024 data, women constitute only 8.5 percent of CEOs and 17.3 percent of chairs of the largest listed companies.

This pattern reflects what scholars have called the glass cliff: women are more likely to be appointed to leadership positions in times of crisis or decline, when the risk of failure is high. They are given the appearance of power without the structural support to succeed.

Furthermore, the networks that drive corporate advancement remain largely male. The informal mechanisms of mentorship, sponsorship, and relationship-building that lead to executive positions are often inaccessible to women. The result is a system where women are present in boardrooms but underrepresented in the inner circles of power.

The Gap Between Press Releases and Closed Decisions

Corporate rhetoric on gender equality is often impressive. Annual reports celebrate progress, diversity statements proclaim commitment, and awards recognize achievement. But a critical examination of actual decision-making reveals a different picture.

Strategic decisions about investment, expansion, restructuring, and executive compensation are made in settings where women's voices are often marginalized. The culture of corporate governance, with its emphasis on consensus, informal networks, and traditional masculine norms of leadership, can be exclusionary even when formal barriers have been removed.

The question is not whether individual women in these settings are capable or committed. Many are exceptionally so. The question is whether the structures of corporate governance are consistent with genuine equality, or whether they reproduce patterns of exclusion in more subtle forms.

CORRIDOR TWO: WOMAN IN THE LEGISLATIVE DOCK

The Bitter Reality Behind Brussels Facades

Scene Five: Dismantling the Family Institution

When the Law Protects the Individual and Destroys the Entity

European family law has undergone profound transformation over the past half-century. The shift from fault-based to no-fault divorce, the recognition of cohabitation, the expansion of reproductive rights, and the redefinition of parenthood have all been presented as advances in individual freedom and gender equality.

But a critical examination reveals unintended consequences that deserve serious attention. The legal framework, while protecting individual rights, has often undermined the family as a social institution, with consequences that fall disproportionately on women.

Divorce Laws: From the Right to Exit to the Catastrophe of Disintegration

The liberalization of divorce laws was presented as liberation for women trapped in unhappy or abusive marriages. In many cases, it achieved this purpose. But it also produced consequences that were not anticipated or adequately addressed.

The economic consequences of divorce fall disproportionately on women. Studies across Europe consistently show that women's standard of living declines by 20 to 40 percent after divorce, while men's often improves or remains stable. This is documented in research from the European Institute for Gender Equality and national statistical offices across member states.

This is not because women are less capable, but because of structural factors: women's greater responsibility for childcare, their more interrupted career patterns, their lower lifetime earnings, and the inadequacy of maintenance and property division provisions.

The legal framework, while providing for maintenance and property division, often fails to account for the full economic reality. The valuation of marital property often excludes the value of human capital accumulated during marriage. Maintenance awards are often inadequate and difficult to enforce. And the economic consequences of childcare responsibilities are rarely fully compensated.

The result is a system where the formal right to divorce is accompanied by economic vulnerability for women. The liberation is real, but so is the cost. And the cost falls disproportionately on women.

Custody: Children Between Two Contradictory Rulings

Custody arrangements after divorce have also evolved significantly. The traditional presumption of maternal custody has been replaced in many jurisdictions by principles of shared parenting or the best interests of the child.

These changes were motivated by legitimate concerns: the recognition that fathers have important roles in children's lives, the desire to avoid gender-based presumptions, and the commitment to children's welfare. But the implementation has often been problematic.

Shared custody arrangements, while theoretically appealing, can be impractical or even harmful in many situations. They require geographical proximity, cooperative co-parenting, and stable resources, conditions that are not always present after divorce. When imposed without adequate consideration of these factors, they can create instability for children and additional burdens for parents, particularly mothers who often remain the primary caregivers.

The legal framework, while proclaiming gender neutrality, often fails to account for the empirical realities of caregiving. The result can be arrangements that look equal on paper but produce unequal burdens in practice.

Scene Six: Inheritance and Taxes: Where Does Justice Disappear When Bank Records Are Opened?

The European legal framework on inheritance and taxation presents itself as gender-neutral. Formal barriers to women's inheritance have been removed, and tax systems are nominally gender-blind.

But a critical examination reveals patterns that deserve scrutiny. In practice, inheritance patterns often reflect historical gender inequalities. Family businesses, real estate, and financial assets are more likely to pass to male heirs, even when formal legal provisions are gender-neutral. This is not necessarily the result of legal discrimination, but of social patterns, family dynamics, and informal expectations.

Taxation, while formally neutral, can produce gendered outcomes. The taxation of family income, the treatment of secondary earners, and the design of tax credits can all have differential impacts on men and women. In many European systems, the tax treatment of secondary earners (disproportionately women) creates disincentives for labor market participation.

The Progressive Tax Trap: Double Penalty for Working Mothers

Perhaps the most striking example is the treatment of working mothers in tax and social protection systems. Women who combine paid work with caregiving responsibilities often face a double penalty: they pay taxes on their earned income while receiving inadequate recognition for their care work.

The tax system, designed around the model of the individual worker, does not account for the double burden of paid and unpaid work. Social protection systems, tied to formal employment, often fail to provide adequate coverage for periods of caregiving. The result is a system that penalizes women for combining work and family, while claiming to support both.

Scene Seven: Legislated Violence: The Black Loopholes

Laws Protecting Women from Domestic and Community Violence

European legislation on violence against women has evolved significantly over the past decades. The Istanbul Convention (Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence, CETS No. 210), adopted in 2011, represents a comprehensive framework for prevention, protection, and prosecution. As of 2024, 38 European countries have ratified the convention, and the European Union itself acceded to it in 2023.

But the gap between legislation and implementation remains substantial. According to the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) survey from 2023, 31 percent of women in the EU-27 have experienced physical and/or sexual violence since the age of 15. Only 30 percent of victims report the most serious incident of violence to the police or other authorities.

The reasons for this gap are complex. They include cultural attitudes that minimize violence, institutional practices that discourage reporting, evidentiary standards that make prosecution difficult, and resource constraints that limit enforcement. But they also include specific legal provisions that create loopholes.

The Istanbul Convention and Its Implementation Gaps

The Istanbul Convention is comprehensive in its provisions, but its implementation varies significantly across countries. Some countries have ratified it with reservations that limit its scope. Others have implemented it selectively, emphasizing certain provisions while neglecting others.

The definition of violence, the standards of evidence, the procedures for protection orders, and the sanctions for violations all vary across jurisdictions. This variation creates a patchwork of protection that is inconsistent and often inadequate.

Furthermore, the convention's emphasis on criminal law approaches has been criticized for not adequately addressing the structural conditions that produce violence. Criminal sanctions, while important, do not address the economic dependencies, cultural attitudes, and institutional practices that enable violence to continue.

Silent Crimes: Economic and Psychological Violence Outside Criminalization

Perhaps the most significant gap is the treatment of economic and psychological violence. While physical and sexual violence are criminalized in most European jurisdictions, economic and psychological violence are often not recognized as distinct forms of violence, or are recognized only in limited circumstances.

Economic violence, including control of financial resources, prevention of employment, and deliberate creation of economic dependency, can be as damaging as physical violence. But it is rarely recognized as a distinct form of violence in legal frameworks, and even more rarely prosecuted.

Psychological violence, including intimidation, isolation, humiliation, and control, is similarly under-recognized. While some jurisdictions have provisions addressing harassment or coercion, the specific patterns of psychological abuse in intimate relationships are often not adequately addressed.

The result is a legal framework that addresses the most visible forms of violence while leaving women vulnerable to forms of abuse that are less visible but equally damaging.

Scene Eight: Workplace Harassment

Legislation Without Deterrent Sanctions

European legislation on workplace harassment has evolved significantly, with directives on equal treatment (Directive 2006/54/EC), framework agreements on harassment and violence at work, and national legislation implementing these provisions.

But the effectiveness of this legislation is limited by multiple factors. The definition of harassment is often narrow, the burden of proof is often on the victim, the sanctions are often inadequate, and the enforcement mechanisms are often weak.

According to the FRA survey from 2023, 55 percent of women in the EU-27 have experienced sexual harassment at least once since the age of 15. Only a small fraction of these incidents are reported or result in legal action.

The culture of corporate silence, where harassment is under-reported and under-addressed, further limits the effectiveness of legal provisions. Whistleblower protections, while improved in some jurisdictions through the Whistleblower Directive (Directive 2019/1937), remain inadequate in others. The result is a system where formal protections exist but substantive change is limited.

CORRIDOR THREE: SHOCKING PARADOXES

Case Studies and Legal Leaks from the Heart of Europe

Scene Nine: The Working Woman: The Illusion of Empowerment

The Exploitation of Savage Capitalism of Body and Mind

The narrative of women's empowerment through paid work is central to European gender discourse. Women's labor force participation has increased significantly over the past decades, from 62.4 percent in 2000 to 75.2 percent in 2024 according to Eurostat data, and this increase is celebrated as evidence of progress.

But a critical examination reveals a more complex picture. Women's entry into the labor market has not been accompanied by a corresponding redistribution of unpaid care work. The result is the double burden: women work paid jobs and then return home to perform the majority of domestic and care work.

This double burden has significant consequences for women's health, well-being, and career advancement. It contributes to higher rates of stress, burnout, and health problems. It limits women's ability to advance in careers that demand long hours or geographical mobility. And it perpetuates gender inequalities even as women's formal participation in the labor market increases.

From Maternity Leave to Silent Dismissal: Modern Mechanisms of Exclusion

Legal protections for working mothers, including maternity leave (Directive 92/85/EEC), protection against dismissal, and rights to return to work, are comprehensive in most European jurisdictions. But the implementation of these protections is often inadequate.

Studies across Europe document patterns of discrimination against pregnant women and working mothers. These include subtle forms of exclusion from career advancement, pressure to reduce working hours or accept lower positions, and in some cases, direct discrimination in hiring and promotion.

The legal framework provides formal protections, but the informal practices of workplaces often undermine these protections. The result is a system where women have formal rights but face informal barriers that limit their ability to exercise these rights.

Working Mothers Between the Market's Hammer and the Home's Anvil

The challenge of combining work and family falls disproportionately on women. Even in countries with comprehensive family policies, the expectation that women will be the primary caregivers persists. This expectation shapes career choices, working patterns, and life trajectories in ways that perpetuate gender inequality.

The legal framework, while providing some support through parental leave (Directive 2019/1158), childcare provisions, and flexible working arrangements (Directive 2019/1152), does not fundamentally challenge the underlying assumption that care is women's responsibility. The result is a system that claims to support work-life balance while placing the burden of achieving this balance primarily on women.

Scene Ten: The Elderly and the Forgotten

When Age Becomes a Crime Punished by the Law's Silence

European societies are aging, and this aging is gendered. Women live longer than men (84.0 years compared to 78.6 years in the EU-27 in 2024 according to Eurostat), but they also experience higher rates of poverty, social isolation, and health problems in old age. The legal framework, while providing basic social protection, often fails to address the specific vulnerabilities of elderly women.

The feminization of poverty in old age is a direct consequence of cumulative disadvantages over the lifetime: lower wages, career interruptions, part-time work, and inadequate recognition of care work. The pension systems, designed around the model of continuous full-time employment, do not adequately account for these patterns.

According to Eurostat data from 2024, 19.6 percent of women aged 65 and over in the EU-27 are at risk of poverty or social exclusion, compared to 14.8 percent of men in the same age group. This gap has persisted for decades despite various policy interventions.

The result is that elderly women are disproportionately represented among the poor, the socially isolated, and the dependent on social assistance. The legal framework provides basic protection, but does not address the structural inequalities that produce these outcomes.

Feminine Poverty in Old Age: The Hidden Face of Welfare Europe

The contrast between Europe's self-image as a welfare paradise and the reality of elderly women's poverty is striking. The welfare state, while providing significant protections, is built on assumptions about work patterns and family structures that do not reflect women's realities.

The result is a system where women who have contributed to society through paid and unpaid work throughout their lives find themselves in poverty in old age. This is not an accident but a consequence of structural inequalities that the legal framework has not adequately addressed.

Nursing Homes: Where Equality Dreams End

The institutional care of elderly women raises further questions. The quality of care varies significantly across institutions and countries. The working conditions of care workers, predominantly women, are often precarious. And the voices of elderly women themselves are often marginalized in decisions about their care.

The legal framework provides basic standards, but the implementation is uneven. The result is a system where the most vulnerable women, those who have contributed most to society through care work, find themselves in care settings that do not always reflect the dignity they deserve.

Scene Eleven: Single Mothers: Legislations of Disintegration

Between State Encouragement of Breakdown and Abandonment of Real Support

European legislation has evolved to recognize diverse family forms, including single-parent families. Legal protections for single mothers have been strengthened, and social support has been expanded in some countries.

But a critical examination reveals a paradox. The legal framework, while providing formal protections, often fails to address the economic and social realities of single motherhood. Single mothers are disproportionately represented among the poor, the unemployed, and the dependent on social assistance.

According to Eurostat data from 2024, 34.2 percent of single-parent households (the vast majority headed by women) in the EU-27 are at risk of poverty or social exclusion, compared to

16.8 percent of couple households with children. This gap has persisted despite various policy interventions.

The reasons are complex. They include the difficulties of combining work and sole caregiving, the inadequacy of child support enforcement, the limited availability of affordable childcare, and the discrimination faced by single mothers in the labor market.

The legal framework addresses some of these challenges, but often inadequately. Child support, while legally enforceable, is often not paid or not sufficient. Childcare, while subsidized in some countries, remains unaffordable or unavailable in others. And labor market discrimination, while prohibited, persists in practice.

Children Without Fathers and Women Without Support

The consequences of these inadequacies fall on both mothers and children. Single mothers struggle to provide for their families, often working multiple jobs or relying on inadequate social assistance. Children in single-parent families face higher risks of poverty, educational disadvantage, and social exclusion.

The legal framework, while proclaiming support for families, often fails to provide the substantive support needed. The result is a system where single mothers are formally recognized but substantively abandoned.

The Social Consequences of Three Decades of Targeted Legislations

The long-term consequences of these patterns deserve serious examination. The increase in single-parent families, the persistence of child poverty, and the intergenerational transmission of disadvantage all raise questions about the adequacy of current approaches.

The legal framework has evolved to recognize diverse family forms, but has it evolved to support them adequately? The evidence suggests that formal recognition has not been accompanied by substantive support. The result is a system where the rhetoric of family support masks the reality of family abandonment.

Scene Twelve: Girls in the Suburbs

The Other Face of Europe: Where Migration Intersects with Marginalization

European societies are increasingly diverse, and this diversity is gendered. Girls and women from immigrant backgrounds face specific forms of discrimination and marginalization that intersect with gender, ethnicity, religion, and class.

The legal framework, while prohibiting discrimination on multiple grounds (Directive 2000/43/EC on racial equality, Directive 2000/78/EC on employment equality), often fails to address the

specific intersections of these forms of discrimination. The result is that girls and women from immigrant backgrounds face compounded disadvantages that are not adequately addressed by existing legal provisions.

Failed Integration Laws and Untold Stories

Integration policies in many European countries have been criticized for their inadequacy. The focus on assimilation rather than integration, the neglect of structural barriers, and the persistence of discrimination all limit the effectiveness of these policies.

For girls and women from immigrant backgrounds, these inadequacies have specific consequences. They face barriers to education, employment, and social participation that are not adequately addressed. They face discrimination that is not adequately recognized. And they face pressures from both their communities of origin and the wider society that are not adequately understood.

The stories of these girls and women are rarely told in mainstream European discourse. They are invisible in statistics, marginalized in policy discussions, and absent from public debate. This file seeks to bring some of these stories to light, not to speak for these women, but to create space for their voices to be heard.

CORRIDOR FOUR: THE BALANCE TILTS

A Comparative and Philosophical Reading Between Two Systems

Scene Thirteen: Divine Honor Versus Human Legislation

Who Truly Protects Women in Moments of Weakness and Strength?

The comparison between Islamic and European approaches to women's rights is complex and often contentious. Both traditions have internal diversity, historical evolution, and contemporary debates. But a careful comparative analysis reveals fundamental differences in underlying assumptions and practical outcomes.

The Islamic tradition, while diverse in its interpretations and applications, is grounded in a conception of human beings as created by God with specific rights and responsibilities. Women's rights are not granted by the state or by social contract, but are recognized as inherent in human nature and guaranteed by divine law.

The European tradition, while diverse in its philosophical foundations, is largely grounded in secular humanism and social contract theory. Rights are constructed through human reason and social agreement, and are subject to revision and reinterpretation.

These different foundations produce different approaches to specific issues. In matters of family law, the Islamic tradition emphasizes the complementarity of gender roles and the protection of women within the family structure. The European tradition emphasizes individual autonomy and equality in the public sphere.

In matters of economic rights, the Islamic tradition guarantees women's right to own property, inherit, and engage in economic activity, while recognizing men's financial responsibility for the family. The European tradition emphasizes equal participation in the labor market, while often neglecting the economic value of unpaid care work.

In matters of protection, the Islamic tradition emphasizes the protection of women's dignity, honor, and physical safety through specific legal provisions. The European tradition emphasizes protection through anti-discrimination law and criminal sanctions, while often failing to address structural forms of violence.

The question is not which tradition is superior, but which provides more effective protection for women in practice. The evidence, this file suggests, is mixed. Both traditions have strengths and weaknesses, and both are subject to interpretation and evolution.

Complementary Justice Versus Competitive Justice

The fundamental difference may be in the conception of justice itself. The Islamic tradition emphasizes complementary justice, where men and women have different but complementary roles and responsibilities. The European tradition emphasizes competitive justice, where men and women are equal competitors in the public sphere.

These different conceptions produce different outcomes. Complementary justice may provide more effective protection in matters of family and care, while competitive justice may provide more effective opportunities in matters of public participation and economic advancement.

The question is whether these conceptions can be reconciled, or whether they represent fundamentally different visions of human flourishing. This file does not claim to answer this question, but seeks to open space for its serious examination.

Scene Fourteen: Nature Versus Text

How European Courts Failed to Comprehend Feminine Nature

The European legal framework is built on the assumption of gender neutrality. Laws are written in gender-neutral language, courts apply laws without regard to gender, and legal theory emphasizes the irrelevance of gender to legal rights and obligations.

But a critical examination reveals that this assumption of gender neutrality often masks the adoption of male norms as universal. The legal subject is constructed on the model of the

autonomous, independent, rational individual, a model that reflects male experience more than female experience.

This construction has consequences for how courts address issues that are specific to women's experience. Pregnancy, childbirth, menstruation, menopause, and caregiving are often treated as deviations from the norm rather than as integral aspects of human experience. The result is legal frameworks that do not adequately account for women's realities.

European Judicial Rulings: Critical Readings

A careful examination of European judicial decisions reveals patterns that deserve scrutiny. In cases involving pregnancy discrimination, courts have struggled to balance the protection of pregnant women with the principle of equal treatment. In cases involving caregiving responsibilities, courts have often failed to recognize the specific burdens faced by women. And in cases involving violence against women, courts have often applied evidentiary standards that reflect male experience rather than female experience.

These patterns are not necessarily the result of intentional discrimination. They may reflect the deeper structures of legal thought, which have been shaped by male experience and male perspectives. But the consequences are real, and they deserve serious examination.

The Law That Fights Nature: How Far Can It Continue?

The question raised by this analysis is whether a legal framework that ignores or denies gender differences can truly serve justice. If men and women have different experiences, different bodies, different social roles, and different vulnerabilities, does a gender-neutral legal framework adequately address these differences?

The European approach, this file suggests, has been to emphasize formal equality while neglecting substantive equality. The result is a system where women have equal rights on paper but face unequal outcomes in practice. The question is whether this approach can be sustained, or whether a different approach is needed.

Scene Fifteen: Testimony of Other Civilizations

Women in Asian Legislations: Lessons from the East

Asian legal traditions are diverse, reflecting the diversity of Asian civilizations. But common themes emerge that deserve examination. Many Asian legal traditions emphasize the family as the basic unit of society, recognize the complementary roles of men and women, and provide specific protections for women within the family structure.

These approaches have their own strengths and weaknesses. They may provide more effective protection in matters of family and care, while sometimes limiting women's opportunities in the

public sphere. But they offer perspectives that challenge the assumption that the European model is the only valid approach.

Women in African Experiences: Voices Unheard

African legal traditions are equally diverse, reflecting the diversity of African civilizations. Common themes include the recognition of women's roles in community life, the importance of kinship and social networks, and the integration of customary law with statutory law.

These traditions have been subject to colonial disruption and post-colonial reconstruction. The process of reconstruction has involved complex negotiations between customary law, statutory law, and international human rights norms. The outcomes are diverse, but they offer perspectives that challenge the assumption that European models are universally applicable.

What Europe Can Learn from the Rest of the World

The question is not whether European or non-European approaches are superior, but whether European approaches can benefit from engagement with other traditions. The evidence, this file suggests, is that they can. The European model, while achieving significant advances in some areas, faces challenges in others. Engagement with other traditions may offer insights that help address these challenges.

CORRIDOR FIVE: ALTERNATIVES AND SOLUTIONS

Toward a New Legal Balance

Scene Sixteen: EL-RAKHAWI Principles for Just Legislation

The Philosophical Foundations for an Alternative Legislative System

The EL-RAKHAWI Synthesis proposes a framework for just legislation that draws on insights from multiple traditions while maintaining intellectual rigor and practical relevance. This framework is grounded in several core principles.

The first principle is the recognition of human dignity as the foundation of all law. Human dignity is not granted by the state or by social contract, but is inherent in human nature. Law must respect and protect this dignity in all its dimensions.

The second principle is the recognition of complementarity as well as equality. Men and women are equal in dignity and rights, but they may have different roles, responsibilities, and experiences. Law must recognize both equality and complementarity, not reduce one to the other.

The third principle is the recognition of the family as a fundamental social unit. The family is not merely a collection of individuals, but a social entity with its own rights and responsibilities. Law must protect the family as well as the individuals within it.

The fourth principle is the recognition of the economic value of care work. Care work, whether paid or unpaid, is essential to society and the economy. Law must recognize this value and provide appropriate recognition and support.

The fifth principle is the recognition of substantive equality as well as formal equality. Formal equality of rights is necessary but not sufficient. Law must also address the structural conditions that produce unequal outcomes.

From Formal Justice to Substantive Justice

The shift from formal to substantive justice requires more than legal reform. It requires a transformation of legal thought, legal education, and legal practice. It requires recognition that law is not neutral, but reflects the assumptions and values of those who create and apply it.

The EL-RAKHAWI framework proposes a legal thought that is self-critical, historically aware, and open to multiple perspectives. It proposes legal education that integrates multiple traditions and perspectives. And it proposes legal practice that is attentive to the specific needs and experiences of all members of society.

Woman as Partner, Not Competitor: A New Integrative Vision

The EL-RAKHAWI framework proposes a vision of gender relations based on partnership rather than competition. This vision recognizes that men and women are equal in dignity and rights, but may have different strengths, experiences, and contributions.

This vision challenges both traditional patriarchy and contemporary competitive individualism. It proposes a third way that recognizes both equality and difference, both individual rights and social responsibilities, both public participation and family life.

Scene Seventeen: Proposed Legislations

Alternative Legal Texts for Real Protection

The EL-RAKHAWI framework proposes specific legislative reforms that would address the gaps and inadequacies identified in this file. These proposals are not presented as final solutions, but as starting points for serious discussion and debate.

In matters of family law, the framework proposes reforms that would better protect women's economic security after divorce, recognize the value of care work in property division and

maintenance, and provide more effective support for shared parenting arrangements that serve children's best interests.

In matters of economic rights, the framework proposes reforms that would better recognize the economic value of unpaid care work, provide more effective support for work-life balance, and address the structural barriers that limit women's economic advancement.

In matters of protection from violence, the framework proposes reforms that would better recognize economic and psychological violence, provide more effective enforcement of existing protections, and address the structural conditions that enable violence to continue.

From Symbolic Protection to Effective Protection

The shift from symbolic to effective protection requires more than legal reform. It requires adequate resources for enforcement, cultural change to support legal reform, and ongoing monitoring and evaluation to ensure that reforms achieve their intended purposes.

The EL-RAKHAWI framework proposes a comprehensive approach that integrates legal reform with institutional reform, cultural change, and ongoing evaluation. This approach recognizes that law is necessary but not sufficient, and that effective protection requires multiple complementary strategies.

Scene Eighteen: The Role of Civil Society and Religious Institutions

Building Cross-Border Alliances

The challenges identified in this file cannot be addressed by legal reform alone. They require the engagement of civil society, religious institutions, media, and citizens. The EL-RAKHAWI framework proposes the building of cross-border alliances that bring together diverse actors committed to genuine justice for women.

These alliances would include women's organizations, human rights organizations, religious institutions, academic institutions, and media organizations. They would work together to raise awareness, advocate for reform, monitor implementation, and support victims.

Churches, Mosques, and Associations: Partners in Reform

Religious institutions have important roles to play in this process. They have moral authority, community networks, and theological resources that can contribute to the promotion of genuine justice for women. The EL-RAKHAWI framework proposes constructive engagement with religious institutions, recognizing both their potential contributions and their need for internal reform.

Media: Responsibility or Tool of Misleading?

Media have crucial roles in shaping public perceptions and attitudes. The EL-RAKHAWI framework proposes media reform that would promote more accurate and respectful representations of women, challenge stereotypes and objectification, and provide space for diverse voices and perspectives.

FINAL VERDICT: SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

Final Chapter: European Women Between the Confessional Chair and the Platform of Innocence

Judgment on the System, Not the Victim

The evidence presented in this file leads to a clear conclusion: the European legal framework, while achieving significant advances in some areas, fails to provide genuine justice for women in others. This failure is not the fault of European women, who have struggled and achieved much within existing structures. It is the fault of a system that has not adequately addressed the structural conditions that produce inequality.

The judgment, therefore, is not on European women, but on the system that claims to serve them. This system has achieved much, but it has also failed in important ways. The task is not to reject the system entirely, but to reform it in ways that better serve its proclaimed values.

Messages to the Western Legislator: How to Recalibrate the Balance

The first message is to recognize the gap between rhetoric and reality. European legislation proclaims equality, but the outcomes often fall short of this proclamation. This gap must be acknowledged and addressed.

The second message is to recognize the limitations of formal equality. Formal equality of rights is necessary but not sufficient. Substantive equality requires attention to the structural conditions that produce unequal outcomes.

The third message is to recognize the value of care work. Care work, whether paid or unpaid, is essential to society and the economy. It must be recognized, valued, and supported.

The fourth message is to recognize the diversity of women's experiences. Women are not a monolithic category. Their experiences are shaped by class, ethnicity, religion, age, disability, and other factors. Legal frameworks must address this diversity.

The fifth message is to engage in genuine dialogue with other traditions. The European model is not the only valid approach. Engagement with other traditions may offer insights that help address existing challenges.

Messages to European Women: Reclaim Your Voice and Dignity

The first message to European women is to recognize their own strength and achievements. Despite the challenges identified in this file, European women have achieved much. They have built careers, raised families, contributed to society, and struggled for justice.

The second message is to claim their right to genuine justice. Formal equality is not enough. Substantive equality, recognition of care work, protection from violence, and economic security are all necessary for genuine justice.

The third message is to build solidarity across differences. Women's experiences are diverse, but their interests in genuine justice are shared. Solidarity across class, ethnicity, religion, and other differences is essential for effective advocacy.

Messages to the World: Lessons from the European Experience

The first lesson is that formal equality is not enough. The European experience shows that legal reforms proclaiming equality do not automatically produce equal outcomes. Substantive equality requires attention to structural conditions.

The second lesson is that the market is not a substitute for justice. The European experience shows that market mechanisms, while producing economic growth, do not automatically produce gender justice. State intervention and social protection are necessary.

The third lesson is that cultural change is as important as legal change. Legal reforms are necessary but not sufficient. Cultural attitudes, social norms, and institutional practices must also change.

The fourth lesson is that no model is universally applicable. The European model has achieved much, but it also faces challenges. Other societies can learn from both its successes and its failures.

Final Word: After the Verdict

Beyond the File: Toward an Integrated Civilizational Project

This file is not an end in itself, but a contribution to an ongoing dialogue about genuine justice for women. The challenges identified are complex and deep-rooted. Addressing them requires more than legal reform. It requires a comprehensive approach that integrates legal, economic, social, cultural, and spiritual dimensions.

The EL-RAKHAWI Synthesis proposes such an integrated approach. It recognizes that genuine justice for women requires attention to all dimensions of human life: the legal, the economic, the social, the cultural, and the spiritual. It proposes a vision of human flourishing that recognizes

both individual rights and social responsibilities, both equality and difference, both public participation and family life.

Woman as the Pillar of Society: Not a Tool for the Market

The final word is a reaffirmation of women's essential role in society. Women are not merely workers, consumers, or political actors. They are mothers, daughters, sisters, friends, community members, and human beings with inherent dignity and worth.

The legal framework must recognize and protect this full humanity. It must not reduce women to their economic utility or their political representation. It must recognize their contributions in all spheres of life: the family, the community, the workplace, and the public sphere.

This is the challenge before us. It is a challenge that requires honesty, courage, and commitment. It is a challenge that this file seeks to address, not with final answers, but with serious questions and constructive proposals.

May this work contribute to the ongoing dialogue about genuine justice for women, a dialogue that transcends civilizations and traditions, a dialogue that seeks truth rather than victory, a dialogue that serves the dignity of all human beings.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX ONE: Controversial European Legal Texts

This appendix presents selected extracts from European legislation that have been the subject of controversy and debate:

1. Directive 2006/54/EC on the implementation of the principle of equal opportunities and equal treatment of men and women in matters of employment and occupation (recast)
2. Directive 2012/29/EU establishing minimum standards on the rights, support and protection of victims of crime
3. Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (Istanbul Convention, CETS No. 210)
4. Directive 2022/2381 on improving the gender balance among directors of listed companies
5. Directive 2023/970 on the strengthening of the application of the principle of equal pay for equal work or work of equal value between men and women through pay transparency and enforcement mechanisms

The texts are presented with analytical commentary and critical notes, providing context for the arguments made in the main body of the file.

APPENDIX TWO: Statistics from Official European Sources

This appendix presents statistical data from official European sources:

1. Eurostat data on gender pay gap (2024): 12.7 percent average in EU-27
2. European Commission data on gender pension gap (2024): 28.4 percent average in EU-27
3. Eurostat data on women in national parliaments (2024): 42.3 percent average in EU-27
4. European Commission data on women in corporate boards (2024): 34.6 percent in largest listed companies
5. FRA survey data on violence against women (2023): 31 percent have experienced physical and/or sexual violence since age 15
6. Eurostat time-use survey data: women perform 26 hours per week of unpaid care work vs. 9 hours for men
7. Eurostat data on poverty risk for single-parent households (2024): 34.2 percent vs. 16.8 percent for couple households
8. Eurostat data on poverty risk for elderly women (2024): 19.6 percent vs. 14.8 percent for elderly men

The data is presented with analytical commentary highlighting patterns, trends, and gaps.

APPENDIX THREE: Living Testimonies

This appendix presents testimonies from European women from diverse backgrounds. The testimonies were collected through interviews, surveys, and written submissions from the following sources:

1. European Women's Lobby testimonies (2023-2024)
2. National women's organizations reports from France, Germany, Italy, Spain, and Poland
3. Academic research studies on women's experiences in the workplace
4. NGO reports on domestic violence survivors
5. Trade union reports on working mothers' experiences

The testimonies are presented anonymously to protect the privacy of the contributors. They represent a range of experiences: working women, mothers, elderly women, single mothers, women from immigrant backgrounds, and women from various countries and regions.

APPENDIX FOUR: Comparative Legislation

This appendix presents comparative tables examining European legislation alongside Islamic legislation in key areas:

1. Family law: divorce, custody, maintenance
2. Economic rights: property ownership, inheritance, employment
3. Protection from violence: domestic violence, sexual harassment, trafficking
4. Political representation: voting rights, candidacy, quotas

The comparison is not intended to declare one tradition superior, but to illuminate different approaches and their implications. The comparison recognizes the diversity within both traditions.

APPENDIX FIVE: Selected Bibliography

European Official Sources:

1. European Commission. (2024). Report on Equality between Women and Men in the EU.
2. European Institute for Gender Equality. (2024). Gender Equality Index 2024.
3. European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights. (2023). Violence against Women: An EU-wide Survey.
4. Eurostat. (2024). Gender Statistics Database.
5. European Parliament. (2024). Study on Women's Political Representation in the EU.

Academic Studies:

6. Fraser, N. (2013). *Fortunes of Feminism: From State-Managed Capitalism to Neoliberal Crisis*. Verso.
7. Walby, S. (2015). *Gendered Lives: Gender Inequality in Paid Work and Personal Lives*. Sage.
8. Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43(6), 1241-1299.
9. Tronto, J. (1993). *Moral Boundaries: A Political Argument for an Ethic of Care*. Routledge.
10. Nussbaum, M. (2000). *Women and Human Development: The Capabilities Approach*. Cambridge University Press.
11. MacKinnon, C. (2006). *Are Women Human? Sex and Equality, Really*. Harvard University Press.
12. Federici, S. (2004). *Caliban and the Witch: Women, the Body and Primitive Accumulation*. Autonomedia.

Legal Commentaries:

13. Council of Europe. (2011). *Istanbul Convention: Explanatory Report*.
14. European Commission. (2022). *Guidance on Directive 2022/2381 on Gender Balance in Corporate Boards*.
15. European Court of Justice. (2023). *Case Law Digest on Gender Equality*.

Critical Analyses:

16. EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). *The Illusion of Liberation: How Western Capitalism Transformed Women from Human Beings into Markets*. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22342134.
17. EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). *Decolonizing the Womb of the Market: How Women's Economic Empowerment Turned the Female Body into a Global Commodity*. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22346205.

APPENDIX SIX: Index of Judicial Decisions

This appendix presents an index of significant judicial decisions from the European Court of Justice and the European Court of Human Rights that relate to women's rights and gender equality:

European Court of Justice:

1. Case C-179/88, Handels- og Kontorfunktionærernes Forbund i Danmark v. Dansk Arbejdsgiverforening (1990) - Equal pay for work of equal value
2. Case C-400/95, Handels- og Kontorfunktionærernes Forbund i Danmark v. Dansk Arbejdsgiverforening (1997) - Pregnancy discrimination
3. Case C-320/01, Busch v. Klinikum Neustadt GmbH & Co. Betriebs-KG (2003) - Parental leave and career advancement
4. Case C-476/99, Lommers v. Minister van Landbouw, Natuurbeheer en Visserij (2002) - Positive action in childcare
5. Case C-104/09, Roca Álvarez v. Servicio Catalán de la Salud (2010) - Breastfeeding leave and equal treatment

European Court of Human Rights:

1. Opuz v. Turkey (2009) - State responsibility for domestic violence
2. Kontrová v. Slovakia (2007) - Police failure to protect from domestic violence
3. Eremia v. Moldova (2013) - Domestic violence and state obligations
4. Talpis v. Italy (2017) - Domestic violence and ineffective protection
5. Kurt v. Austria (2021) - Domestic violence and children's rights

The decisions are organized by topic and include brief summaries and analytical notes.

GENERAL INDEXES

Subject Index

A

Advertising and commodification

Age discrimination

Anti-discrimination law

B

Body autonomy

Bodily freedom

C

Care work

Childcare

Corporate boards

Custody arrangements

D

Divorce

Domestic violence

Double burden

E

Economic violence

Elderly women

Employment discrimination

F

Family law

Femininity

Feminism

G

Gender pay gap

Glass ceiling

Glass cliff

I

Immigrant women

Inheritance

M

Maternity leave

Migration

Motherhood

P

Parenthood

Pension gap

Political representation

Pornography

Q

Quotas

S

Single mothers

Substantive equality

T

Taxation

Testimonies

V

Violence against women

Name Index

C

Crenshaw, K.

E

EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A.

F

Federici, S.

Fraser, N.

G

Gilligan, C.

M

MacKinnon, C.

Mill, J. S.

N

Nussbaum, M.

T

Tronto, J.

W

Walby, S.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DECLARATION

Reference: EM-EW-2026-001-EN

Title: EL-RAKHAWI MESSAGE: European Women Before the Scales of Law - When the Balance Tilts Between the Mirage of Equality and the Chains of Legislation in the Old Continent

Author: Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa EL-RAKHAWI

Publication Date: September 2026

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22355064

All rights reserved. 2026 Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa EL-RAKHAWI.

This work is protected under the Berne Convention and the TRIPS Agreement. The author asserts his inalienable moral rights to paternity and integrity of the work. Strictly academic and non-commercial use is authorized with complete citation. Commercial use is strictly prohibited without express written license.

Academic citation format:

EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). European Women Before the Scales of Law: When the Balance Tilts Between the Mirage of Equality and the Chains of Legislation in the Old Continent. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22355064>

The author declares no conflicts of interest. This work adheres to the highest standards of academic rigor, methodological transparency, and intellectual honesty.

DIGITAL PRINTING COMPLETED

September 2026

Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa EL-RAKHAWI

Researcher, Consultant, Jurisconsult, Author, International Expert

Founder of the EL-RAKHAWI Message in Comparative Legal Studies

End of the work