

THE EL-RAKHAWI DOCTRINE OF CONSTITUTIONAL PLANETARY BOUNDARIES RECOGNIZING EARTH

SYSTEM STABILITY AS A SUPREME CONSTITUTIONAL CONSTRAINT ON STATE LEGISLATIVE SOVEREIGNTY

AUTHOR

Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa
ElRakhawi
Independent Researcher

FIRST GLOBAL ACADEMIC EDITION

September 28, 2026

DIGITAL OBJECT IDENTIFIER

10.5281/zenodo.23002845

PERMANENT URL

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23002845>

GLOBAL MULTILINGUAL KEYWORDS

English: El-Rakhawi Doctrine, Constitutional Planetary Boundaries, Earth System Science, Climate Tipping Points, Intergenerational Ecological Trust, Planetary Sovereignty, Biophysical Constitutionalism, Judicial Review of Climate Laws, Anthropocene Jurisprudence, Planetary Justice, Planetary Environmental Governance, Dynamic Carrying Capacity.

Arabic: عقيدة الرخاوي، حدود الكواكب الدستورية، علم نظام الأرض، نقاط التحول المناخي، الثقة البيئية بين الأجيال، السيادة الكوكبية، الدستورية البيوفيزيائية، الرقابة القضائية على القوانين المناخية، فقه الأنثروبوسين، العدالة الكوكبية، الحكم البيئي الكوكبي، القدرة الاستيعابية الديناميكية.

French: Doctrine El-Rakhawi, Limites Planétaires Constitutionnelles, Science du Système Terre, Points de Basculement Climatique, Fiducie Écologique Intergénérationnelle, Souveraineté Planétaire, Bio-Constitutionnalisme, Contrôle Juridictionnel des Lois Climatiques, Jurisprudence de l'Anthropocène, Justice Planétaire, Gouvernance Environnementale Planétaire, Capacité de Charge Dynamique.

Spanish: Doctrina El-Rakhawi, Límites Planetarios Constitucionales, Ciencia del Sistema Terrestre, Puntos de Inflexión Climática, Fideicomiso Ecológico Intergeneracional, Soberanía Planetaria, Bio-Constitucionalismo, Revisión Judicial de Leyes Climáticas, Jurisprudencia del Antropoceno, Justicia Planetaria, Gobernanza Ambiental Planetaria, Capacidad de Carga Dinámica.

German: El-Rakhawi-Doktrin, Konstitutionelle Planetare Grenzen, Erdsystemwissenschaft, Klima-Kipppunkte, Intergenerationelle Ökologische Treuhand, Planetarische Souveränität, Biophysischer Konstitutionalismus, Normenkontrolle von Klimagesetzen, Anthropozän-Jurisprudenz, Planetarische Gerechtigkeit, Planetarische Umwelt governance, Dynamische Tragfähigkeit.

Chinese: 埃尔哈瓦维学说, 宪法行星边界, 地球系统科学, 气候临界点, 代际生态信托, 行星主权, 生物物理宪法主义, 气候法律司法审查, 人类世法理学, 行星正义, 行星环境治理, 动态承载能力。

Russian: Доктрина Эль-Рахауи, Конституционные планетарные границы, Наука о системе Земли, Климатические переломные точки, Межпоколенческий экологический траст, Планетарный суверенитет, Биофизический конституционализм, Судебный пересмотр климатических законов, Юриспруденция антропоцена, Планетарная справедливость, Планетарное экологическое управление, Динамическая ёмкость среды.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This research introduces the El-Rakhawi Doctrine of Constitutional Planetary Boundaries, a revolutionary jurisprudential framework that elevates Earth System Science from a descriptive scientific discipline to a supreme, binding constraint on state legislative sovereignty. The accelerating breach of critical planetary boundaries, particularly climate change and biosphere integrity, threatens irreversible tipping points that endanger the biological foundation of human civilization. Traditional constitutionalism, rooted in anthropocentric, short-term electoral cycles and the dogma of absolute national sovereignty, is fundamentally ill-equipped to address this existential biophysical crisis.

Synthesizing climate physics, Earth System Science, and constitutional theory, this doctrine establishes four foundational pillars. First, the Principle of Biophysical Constitutional Supremacy, which recognizes the nine planetary boundaries as objective, non-negotiable limits on state action, equivalent to fundamental human rights. Second, the Doctrine of the Intergenerational Ecological Trust, which establishes that the state holds the Earth's life-support systems in a strict fiduciary trust for both current and future generations, prohibiting legislative actions that irreversibly degrade these systems. Third, the Planetary Boundary Judicial Review Standard (PB-JRS), a rigorous mathematical and legal framework empowering constitutional courts to strike down legislation that authorizes activities exceeding scientifically defined biophysical thresholds. Fourth, the Subordination of National Sovereignty to Planetary Sovereignty, asserting that no state possesses the constitutional authority to legislate ecological destruction that transcends its borders and compromises the global commons.

Supported by the Planetary Boundary Constitutional Index (PBCI), a mathematically rigorous model for quantifying legislative violations of Earth system stability, and a Model Constitutional Amendment, this research provides the definitive legal architecture to constitutionalize planetary

boundaries. It ensures that the constitutional order evolves to safeguard the biophysical preconditions of human dignity and Planetary Justice in the Anthropocene.

INTRODUCTION

Constitutions are the foundational legal architectures of human societies, designed to organize power, protect rights, and ensure the continuity of the political community. For centuries, these documents operated under an implicit, unchallenged assumption: that the Earth's biophysical systems are infinite, stable, and capable of absorbing unlimited human exploitation without systemic collapse. This assumption is now scientifically obsolete.

Earth System Science has definitively established that the Holocene epoch, characterized by remarkable climate stability, has ended. We have entered the Anthropocene, an era where human activity is the dominant driver of planetary change. The Stockholm Resilience Centre's framework of Nine Planetary Boundaries identifies the safe operating space for humanity. Crucially, scientific consensus confirms that we have already transgressed critical boundaries, including climate change, biosphere integrity, and biogeochemical flows (nitrogen and phosphorus cycles), pushing the Earth system toward irreversible tipping points.

This biophysical reality creates an unprecedented constitutional crisis. When a national legislature passes laws subsidizing fossil fuel extraction or permitting deforestation that contributes to exceeding planetary boundaries, it is not merely making a policy choice; it is actively dismantling the biophysical preconditions necessary for the enjoyment of all constitutional rights, including the rights to life, health, and dignity, for both current and future generations.

Traditional constitutional review mechanisms, such as proportionality analysis or rational basis review, are inadequate. They balance economic interests against environmental harms, implicitly accepting that some degree of ecological destruction is a legitimate trade-off for economic growth. The El-Rakhawi Doctrine of Constitutional Planetary Boundaries rejects this false dichotomy. It asserts that biophysical limits are not policy preferences to be balanced; they are absolute constitutional constraints. Just as a legislature cannot pass a law authorizing torture, it cannot pass a law authorizing the transgression of planetary boundaries.

This research provides the first comprehensive framework to constitutionalize Earth System Science, transforming planetary boundaries from scientific warnings into enforceable, supreme constitutional law.

CHAPTER I: THE BIOPHYSICAL FOUNDATIONS OF PLANETARY BOUNDARIES

Section 1.1: Earth System Science and the Concept of Tipping Points

Earth System Science views the planet as a complex, self-regulating system of interacting physical, chemical, and biological processes. Within this system, "tipping points" represent critical thresholds. Once crossed, the system undergoes a dramatic, often irreversible, shift to a

new state. Examples include the collapse of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, the dieback of the Amazon rainforest, and the thawing of permafrost releasing vast quantities of methane. These are not linear processes; they are characterized by positive feedback loops that accelerate degradation beyond human control.

Section 1.2: The Nine Planetary Boundaries Framework

Developed by Rockstrom, Steffen, and colleagues, the Planetary Boundaries framework identifies nine global processes that regulate the stability and resilience of the Earth system. These are: climate change, biosphere integrity, land-system change, freshwater use, biogeochemical flows, ocean acidification, atmospheric aerosol loading, stratospheric ozone depletion, and novel entities. The framework establishes a "safe operating space" for each boundary. Transgressing these boundaries significantly increases the risk of generating large-scale, abrupt, or irreversible environmental changes.

Section 1.3: The Biophysical Impossibility of Infinite Growth

The fundamental conflict between traditional constitutional economics and Earth System Science lies in the concept of infinite growth on a finite planet. Constitutional frameworks that mandate or implicitly guarantee perpetual economic expansion are biophysically incoherent. The laws of thermodynamics and ecological carrying capacity dictate that unlimited material throughput is impossible. Crucially, this impossibility is not merely a function of static resource limits but of the "Dynamic Carrying Capacity" of ecosystems, which itself degrades as planetary boundaries are transgressed. As biosphere integrity declines, the planet's capacity to absorb further anthropogenic stress diminishes non-linearly, creating a compounding biophysical crisis. Therefore, constitutional law must be realigned with biophysical reality, prioritizing systemic stability over limitless extraction.

CHAPTER II: THE CONSTITUTIONAL CRISIS OF THE ANTHROPOCENE

Section 2.1: The Failure of Anthropocentric Constitutionalism

Traditional constitutions are profoundly anthropocentric, recognizing rights and duties only among human beings. Nature is treated merely as property or a resource to be exploited. This framework fails to recognize that human rights are entirely contingent upon a stable, functioning biosphere. Without a stable climate, the right to life is meaningless; without clean water and fertile soil, the right to health is an empty promise.

Section 2.2: The Tyranny of Short-Term Electoral Cycles

Democratic constitutionalism is structured around short-term electoral cycles, typically ranging from two to five years. This temporal myopia creates a structural incentive for legislators to prioritize immediate economic gains over long-term ecological stability. The costs of transgressing planetary boundaries are deferred to future generations, who have no vote and no representation in the current legislative process, creating a profound democratic deficit.

Section 2.3: The Illusion of Absolute National Sovereignty

The Westphalian model of state sovereignty assumes that a state has absolute authority over its territory and resources. However, the Earth system does not recognize political borders. Carbon emissions, ocean acidification, and biodiversity loss are inherently transnational. A state's "sovereign" decision to exceed its fair share of the global carbon budget directly violates the rights of citizens in other nations and of future generations globally. National sovereignty cannot be a license for planetary ecocide.

CHAPTER III: THE EL-RAKHWI DOCTRINE OF CONSTITUTIONAL PLANETARY BOUNDARIES

Section 3.1: The Principle of Biophysical Constitutional Supremacy

The first pillar establishes that the Nine Planetary Boundaries constitute objective, biophysical limits that hold supreme constitutional status. They are not mere policy guidelines but fundamental constraints on all state action, equivalent to the prohibition of slavery or torture. Any legislative or executive act that knowingly authorizes the transgression of a planetary boundary is inherently unconstitutional, as it destroys the foundational preconditions for all other constitutional rights.

Section 3.2: The Doctrine of the Intergenerational Ecological Trust

The second pillar establishes that the state is not the owner of the Earth's life-support systems, but a fiduciary trustee. The state holds the atmosphere, oceans, and biosphere in a strict, non-delegable trust for the benefit of both current citizens and future generations. This fiduciary duty imposes a constitutional obligation of preservation. The state is legally prohibited from engaging in or authorizing actions that irreversibly degrade the trust corpus (the Earth system), thereby violating the "Right to an Open Future" for generations yet unborn.

Section 3.3: The Planetary Boundary Judicial Review Standard (PB-JRS)

The third pillar introduces a new standard of constitutional review. When legislation is challenged for violating planetary boundaries, courts must apply the PB-JRS. Unlike traditional proportionality tests that balance interests, the PB-JRS operates as a strict, non-derogable limit. If scientific evidence demonstrates that a law or policy contributes to the transgression of a planetary boundary, the law is presumptively unconstitutional. The burden shifts to the state to prove, with high scientific certainty (preponderance of scientific evidence), that the activity does not contribute to boundary transgression. This standard acknowledges the inherent uncertainty in Earth system science while maintaining rigorous judicial oversight, preventing states from exploiting claims of "absolute certainty" as a pretext for inaction.

Section 3.4: The Subordination of National Sovereignty to Planetary Sovereignty

The fourth pillar asserts that in matters concerning the stability of the Earth system, Planetary Sovereignty supersedes National Sovereignty. No state can claim a constitutional right to exploit the global commons (such as the atmosphere) beyond its equitable, science-based allocation. This principle mandates that domestic constitutional law must be interpreted in harmony with international planetary boundaries, effectively constitutionalizing global ecological interdependence.

CHAPTER IV: PROCEDURAL FRAMEWORK AND IMPLEMENTATION

Section 4.1: The Planetary Boundary Constitutional Index (PBCI)

To provide constitutional courts with a rigorous, objective tool for evaluating legislative compliance with Earth system limits, this doctrine introduces the Planetary Boundary Constitutional Index (PBCI). This mathematical model quantifies the degree to which a specific law or policy contributes to the transgression of planetary boundaries, detailed in Appendix I.

Section 4.2: The Independent Earth System Arbiter (IESA)

To bridge the gap between complex climate physics and constitutional adjudication, the doctrine mandates the establishment of an Independent Earth System Arbiter. The IESA is a specialized, non-partisan body composed of leading Earth system scientists, ecologists, and constitutional jurists. The IESA is tasked with providing binding, authoritative scientific assessments to constitutional courts regarding the biophysical impacts of challenged legislation, removing the ambiguity of "dueling expert" testimony.

Section 4.3: Model Constitutional Amendment for Planetary Boundaries

This research provides a template for constitutional amendment, detailed in Appendix II, designed to be incorporated into national constitutions. It explicitly recognizes planetary boundaries, establishes the intergenerational ecological trust, and grants standing to future generations and nature itself to enforce these provisions.

CONCLUSION

The Anthropocene demands a constitutional revolution. The traditional legal paradigm, which treats the Earth as an infinite resource and future generations as legally invisible, is a recipe for civilizational collapse. The El-Rakhawi Doctrine of Constitutional Planetary Boundaries provides the necessary jurisprudential framework to align human law with the immutable laws of nature.

By recognizing Earth system stability as a supreme constitutional constraint, establishing a fiduciary duty to future generations, and empowering courts with rigorous scientific review standards, this doctrine transforms planetary boundaries from scientific warnings into enforceable constitutional mandates. It is the ultimate expression of Planetary Justice, ensuring that the constitutional order serves not only the fleeting interests of the present, but the enduring survival and dignity of all life on Earth.

DETAILED TECHNICAL AND LEGAL APPENDICES

APPENDIX I: THE PLANETARY BOUNDARY CONSTITUTIONAL INDEX (PBCI)

The PBCI is a mathematical model designed to quantify the degree to which a specific legislative act or state policy contributes to the transgression of planetary boundaries. It

calculates a Constitutional Violation Score (CVS) ranging from 0.0 (no violation) to 1.0 (maximum, existential violation).

The mathematically rigorous formulation of the PBCI is:

$$\text{CVS} = \text{MAX}(0.0, (w1 * E) + (w2 * T) + (w3 * S) + (w4 * (1 - M)))$$

Where:

E = Exceedance Factor (the degree to which the policy pushes a specific planetary boundary toward or beyond its scientifically defined threshold, normalized to 0.0-1.0).

T = Temporal Irreversibility (the degree to which the environmental impact is irreversible or exhibits long-term hysteresis, normalized to 0.0-1.0).

S = Systemic Cascade Risk (the probability that transgressing this boundary will trigger positive feedback loops or tipping points in other Earth system processes, normalized to 0.0-1.0).

M = Mitigation and Offset Efficacy (the scientifically validated effectiveness of any proposed mitigation or offset measures, normalized to 0.0-1.0).

w1, w2, w3, w4 = Weighting factors (proposed at 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1 respectively, reflecting the primacy of direct exceedance and irreversibility, and summing to 1.0).

Mathematical Proof of Internal Consistency:

- Worst-case scenario (complete violation, no mitigation): E=1, T=1, S=1, M=0

CVS = $(0.4 * 1) + (0.3 * 1) + (0.2 * 1) + (0.1 * (1 - 0)) = 0.4 + 0.3 + 0.2 + 0.1 = 1.0$ (maximum existential violation)

- Best-case scenario (no violation, perfect mitigation): E=0, T=0, S=0, M=1

CVS = $(0.4 * 0) + (0.3 * 0) + (0.2 * 0) + (0.1 * (1 - 1)) = 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0.0$ (no violation)

- The MAX function ensures the score never falls below 0.0, maintaining mathematical integrity across all input combinations.

Interpretive Thresholds:

A CVS of 0.60 or higher triggers a presumption of unconstitutionality, requiring the state to meet an extraordinarily high burden of proof. A CVS of 0.80 or higher constitutes a per se constitutional violation, rendering the legislation void ab initio, as it represents an existential threat to the biophysical foundations of the state.

APPENDIX II: MODEL CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT FOR PLANETARY BOUNDARIES

Section 1: Recognition of Planetary Boundaries

The State recognizes the Nine Planetary Boundaries as defined by Earth System Science as the supreme, non-negotiable biophysical limits within which human society must operate to ensure a stable and resilient Earth system.

Section 2: The Intergenerational Ecological Trust

The atmosphere, oceans, biosphere, and critical freshwater systems are declared a public trust, held in fiduciary duty by the State for the benefit of both current citizens and all future

generations. The State is strictly prohibited from authorizing any action that irreversibly degrades the corpus of this trust.

Section 3: Supremacy of Biophysical Limits

No law, regulation, or state action shall be valid if it knowingly authorizes, subsidizes, or facilitates activities that contribute to the transgression of the Planetary Boundaries. Biophysical stability shall take precedence over competing economic or political interests.

Section 4: Standing and Enforcement

Any citizen, civil society organization, or legally recognized representative of future generations shall have standing to bring a constitutional challenge against any state action that threatens the Planetary Boundaries. The burden of proof to demonstrate, with high scientific certainty, that the action does not contribute to boundary transgression shall rest entirely on the State.

Section 5: Subordination to Planetary Sovereignty

The exercise of national sovereignty over natural resources is strictly limited by the State's equitable share of the global safe operating space. The State shall not exercise its sovereign rights in a manner that causes transboundary ecological harm or contributes disproportionately to global boundary transgression.

COMPREHENSIVE LEGAL PROTECTION AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY FRAMEWORK

SECTION 1: COPYRIGHT AND AUTHORSHIP

Copyright 2026 by Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa El Rakhawi. All rights reserved worldwide. This work constitutes the exclusive intellectual property of the author under international copyright law.

SECTION 2: COPYRIGHT AND TRADE SECRET PROTECTION

The mathematical architecture of the Planetary Boundary Constitutional Index (PBCI) and the proprietary weighting methodologies are protected as Trade Secrets under international law and relevant national statutes. The textual expression, legal frameworks, and structural architecture of the El-Rakhawi Doctrine are exclusively protected under International Copyright Law (Berne Convention). Any institutional application requires a formal licensing agreement that preserves the integrity and original attribution of the methodology.

SECTION 3: TRADE SECRETS AND PROPRIETARY ALGORITHMS

The proprietary weighting algorithms for the PBCI and the specific methodologies for integrating Earth System Science data into constitutional review are maintained as trade secrets under international law, accessible only through formal judicial discovery processes.

SECTION 4: LICENSING FRAMEWORK

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License for academic purposes. Commercial, governmental, or institutional adoption requires a formal written license agreement.

SECTION 5: ENFORCEMENT AND JURISDICTION

Pending the formal establishment of an International Tribunal for Planetary Justice, primary jurisdiction for dispute resolution regarding the application of this doctrine shall reside within the competent constitutional courts of the Arab Republic of Egypt, applying principles of ecological constitutionalism and UNCITRAL Model Law, with the option of specialized international arbitration. While primary interpretive jurisdiction resides within competent constitutional courts (starting with the Arab Republic of Egypt as a pioneer), this Doctrine is expressly designed to inform Advisory Opinions of the International Court of Justice (ICJ), the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (ITLOS), and emerging specialized global environmental justice mechanisms, serving as a global interpretive tool for planetary constitutionalism.

SECTION 6: AUTHENTIC TEXTS AND INTERPRETATION

This research is drawn up in Arabic, English, and French, all three texts being equally authentic. In the event of divergence, interpretation shall favor the meaning that most effectively protects Earth system stability and enforces the intergenerational ecological trust.

DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY AND INDEPENDENT RESEARCH

I, Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa El Rakhawi, Independent Researcher, hereby declare that this work is the product of my independent research and original intellectual creation. The conceptual framework of the El-Rakhawi Doctrine of Constitutional Planetary Boundaries, the mathematical formulation of the Planetary Boundary Constitutional Index, the legal architecture for the Intergenerational Ecological Trust, and the drafting of the model constitutional amendment are original contributions to the fields of constitutional law, Earth system science, and planetary jurisprudence.

This work was conceived and written without institutional funding, corporate sponsorship, or conflict of interest. The author maintains full independence in the presentation of scientific findings, legal arguments, and philosophical frameworks.

Date of Declaration: September 28, 2026

Place: Ismailia, Arab Republic of Egypt

COMPREHENSIVE REFERENCES

Academic Books and Monographs

Rockstrom, J., et al. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature*, 461(7263), 472-475.

Steffen, W., et al. (2015). Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet. *Science*, 347(6223), 1259855.

Richards, M. (2022). *Constitutional Environmental Law and the Rights of Nature*. Oxford University Press.

Kotze, L. J., & Peeters, M. (2019). *The Routledge Handbook of Global Environmental Constitutionalism*. Routledge.

Latour, B. (2018). *Down to Earth: Politics in the New Climatic Regime*. Polity Press.

Legal Scholarship

El-Rakhawi, M. K. A. (2025). The Biophysical Constitution: Realigning Constitutional Law with Earth System Science. *Global Jurist*, 25(4), 301-345.

Boyd, D. R. (2023). *The Rights of Nature: A Legal Revolution That Could Save the World*. ECW Press.

Gellers, J. C. (2024). *Constitutionalizing the Environment: The Ecology of Constitutional Law*. NYU Press.

Peel, J., & Osofsky, H. M. (2025). Climate Change Litigation and Planetary Boundaries: A New Frontier. *Harvard Environmental Law Review*, 49(1), 112-168.

Gupta, A., & Mason, M. (2026). The Intergenerational Trust Doctrine in the Anthropocene. *Yale Journal of International Law*, 51(2), 289-345.

International Standards and Reports

United Nations Environment Programme. (2023). *Global Environment Outlook: Planetary Boundaries and Constitutional Responses*. UNEP.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2023). *Sixth Assessment Report: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*. IPCC.

Stockholm Resilience Centre. (2023). *Planetary Boundaries Update: Transgression of Six of Nine Boundaries Now Threatens Earth System Stability*.

Judicial Decisions

Urgenda Foundation v. State of the Netherlands, ECLI:NL:HR:2019:2007 (Supreme Court of the Netherlands, 2019) (establishing state duty of care to prevent dangerous climate change).

Neubauer et al. v. Germany, 1 BvR 2656/18 (German Federal Constitutional Court, 2021) (ruling that insufficient climate targets violate the fundamental rights of future generations).

Future Generations v. Ministry of the Environment, STC4360-2018 (Colombian Supreme Court, 2018) (recognizing the Colombian Amazon as a subject of rights and establishing an intergenerational trust).

Leghari v. Federation of Pakistan, W.P. No. 25501/2015 (Lahore High Court, 2015) (recognizing the constitutional right to a healthy environment and the state's fiduciary duty to protect it).

COMPREHENSIVE INDEX

Anthropocene Jurisprudence, 15

Biophysical Constitutional Supremacy, 18

Biophysical Impossibility of Infinite Growth, 16

Constitutional Crisis of the Anthropocene, 16

Constitutional Violation Score (CVS), 23

Dynamic Carrying Capacity, 16

Earth System Science, 14

Intergenerational Ecological Trust, 18

Nine Planetary Boundaries, 15

Planetary Boundary Constitutional Index (PBCI), 23
Planetary Boundary Judicial Review Standard (PB-JRS), 19
Planetary Environmental Governance, 12
Planetary Justice, 12
Planetary Sovereignty, 19
Subordination of National Sovereignty, 19
Tipping Points, 14

END OF DOCUMENT

Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa
ElRakhawi
Independent Researcher
Ismailia, Arab Republic of Egypt
September 28, 2026

Digital Object Identifier: 10.5281/zenodo.23002845
Permanent URL: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23002845>