

THE CRIMINAL LIABILITY FOR CRIMES COMMITTED AGAINST HUMAN SILENCE

A Comparative Study in the Prohibition of Noise, Violation of the Sanctity of Silent Spaces, and Incitement to Silence

Towards a Comprehensive International Legal Model for the Protection of the Human Right to Silence in the 21st Century

Author

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa Elrakhawi

Independent Researcher and International Legal Consultant

Digital Object Identifier

10.5281/zenodo.22420240

Date of Publication

September 6, 2026

Document Status

Final Verified Academic and Legal Disclosure

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND COPYRIGHT NOTICE

Copyright 2026 Prof. Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa Hassan Elrakhawi. All Rights Reserved.

All intellectual, moral, material, and industrial property rights relating to this monograph, including but not limited to the conceptual framework of Human Silence as a legally protected right, the tripartite typology of crimes against silence, the proposed Comprehensive International Legal Model, and all associated methodologies, theoretical constructs, and legislative drafts, are the exclusive and inalienable property of the author.

This work is protected under international copyright conventions, including the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, the TRIPS Agreement, and applicable global intellectual property standards.

Any unauthorized reproduction, distribution, adaptation, translation, or commercial exploitation of this work, in whole or in part, without the express, prior, written, and formally documented consent of the author, is strictly prohibited. Violations will be subject to immediate legal action seeking injunctive relief, statutory damages, and the seizure of infringing materials under applicable national and international laws.

The author retains the exclusive right to grant licenses, establish academic partnerships, or authorize governmental and educational applications under conditions that preserve the scientific integrity, technical sovereignty, and legal value of this work.

ACADEMIC CITATION

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2026). The Criminal Liability for Crimes Committed Against Human Silence: A Comparative Study in the Prohibition of Noise, Violation of the Sanctity of Silent Spaces, and Incitement to Silence. Independent Researcher.
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22420240>

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This monograph presents a pioneering comparative legal study that establishes Human Silence as an autonomous, legally protected right under international criminal law. Departing from traditional approaches that treat silence merely as the absence of speech, this work reconceptualizes silence as a positive value, a psychological sanctuary, and a cultural heritage that deserves affirmative legal protection in an increasingly noisy world.

The study identifies and systematizes three core categories of crimes against human silence: (1) Methodological Aggression through the crime of continuous noise, defined as persistent acoustic disturbance exceeding six hours daily in residential areas; (2) Violation of the Sanctity of Places Dedicated to Silence, encompassing the desecration of cemeteries, places of worship, hospitals, and natural silent environments; and (3) Incitement to Silence, which criminalizes policies that deprive individuals of their right to speech in workplaces, educational institutions, and public spaces.

Drawing upon comparative legal analysis spanning Anglo-American, Continental European, Scandinavian, Arab, Asian, and African legal systems, this work examines over forty contextual applications, from digital silence and social media to silence in agriculture, industry, maritime spaces, and correctional facilities. It proposes a Comprehensive International Legal Model that defines the material and moral elements of crimes against silence, establishes graduated sanctions, and provides for alternative restorative penalties including the funding of silent zones and community service in acoustic rehabilitation.

The monograph concludes with a visionary call for the establishment of a Universal Day for Human Silence, the integration of silence culture into educational curricula, and the creation of specialized silence courts. It argues that protecting silence is not a matter of luxury but an existential necessity, as the modern world faces what may be termed an epidemic of acoustic violence that threatens human dignity, mental health, and cultural heritage.

KEYWORDS

Human Silence, Acoustic Violence, Criminal Liability, Right to Quietude, Noise Pollution, Silent Sanctuaries, Acoustic Dignity, Digital Silence, Neuro-Rights, Environmental Law, Comparative Criminal Law, Restorative Justice, Mental Peace, Acoustic Heritage, International Legal Model.

LIST OF KEY TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

Human Silence: The positive right of individuals and communities to experience acoustic environments free from persistent, unwanted, or harmful noise, recognized as an autonomous legal value deserving affirmative protection.

Acoustic Violence: Any intentional, systematic, or negligent act that inflicts psychological, physiological, or cultural harm through the imposition of unwanted sound, including continuous noise, desecration of silent spaces, and enforced silence.

Continuous Noise: Acoustic disturbance persisting for more than six hours daily in residential areas, constituting a crime against human silence when it exceeds established decibel thresholds without legitimate justification.

Silent Sanctuaries: Spaces legally designated for the preservation of acoustic tranquility, including cemeteries, places of worship, hospitals, libraries, natural reserves, and correctional facilities.

Acoustic Dignity: The inherent right of every human being to be free from degrading or inhumane treatment through acoustic means, recognized as a component of human dignity under international law.

Digital Silence: The right to be free from persistent digital notifications, alerts, and acoustic intrusions in virtual environments, extending the protection of silence to cyberspace.

Methodological Aggression: The first category of crimes against silence, encompassing systematic and persistent noise that constitutes an ongoing assault on human psychological well-being.

Incitement to Silence: The third category of crimes against silence, involving policies or practices that deprive individuals of their right to speech, expression, or communication in institutional settings.

Acoustic Heritage: The cultural and historical legacy of silent environments, traditional soundscapes, and acoustic practices that constitute intangible cultural heritage deserving legal protection.

Silence Culture: The body of knowledge, practices, and values that promote the appreciation, preservation, and restoration of silence as a fundamental human need.

DEDICATION

To every human being who has ever sought a moment of silence in a world that never stops shouting.

To the monks in their monasteries, the meditators in their temples, the scholars in their libraries, the patients in their hospital beds, the mourners at their graves, and the children trying to sleep in noisy cities.

To the silent ones who cannot speak, and to the speakers who have been silenced.

To the silence of the desert, the silence of the mountains, the silence of the seas, and the silence of the forests, which are the original sanctuaries of the human soul.

This work is dedicated to the proposition that silence is not emptiness, but fullness; not absence, but presence; not weakness, but the deepest form of strength.

PROLOGUE

THE PHILOSOPHICAL FOUNDATION OF SILENCE AS A LEGAL VALUE

In a world where the human mind is subjected to a relentless bombardment of stimuli, where silence has become a rare commodity more precious than gold, and where noise has become the default condition of modern existence, this work emerges not as a mere academic exercise but as an existential necessity.

Silence, in its deepest philosophical sense, is not merely the absence of sound. As Martin Heidegger articulated in *Being and Time*, silence is a mode of discourse, a way of being-in-the-world that allows for authentic understanding. As Lao Tzu taught in the *Tao Te Ching*, silence is the language of the universe, the medium through which the deepest truths are communicated. As Hans Jonas argued in *The Imperative of Responsibility*, silence is a moral category, a space in which human responsibility for future generations must be cultivated.

Yet in contemporary legal systems, silence remains largely unprotected. It is treated as a residual category, a mere byproduct of noise regulation, rather than as an autonomous value deserving of affirmative legal protection. This monograph seeks to rectify this fundamental oversight by proposing a comprehensive legal framework that recognizes silence as a positive right, a cultural heritage, and a psychological sanctuary that must be defended against the threefold assault of continuous noise, violation of silent sanctuaries, and incitement to silence.

The approach of this work is neither purely theoretical nor merely practical. It seeks to build a bridge between the philosophical depth of silence as a human value and the legal precision required for its protection in criminal law. It draws upon comparative legal analysis spanning multiple jurisdictions, upon international human rights instruments, upon environmental law, upon neuroscientific research, and upon the lived experiences of communities around the world.

The ultimate aim is not merely to propose new crimes or new penalties, but to contribute to a fundamental shift in legal consciousness, from a paradigm that treats silence as a luxury to one that recognizes it as a necessity, from a framework that regulates noise to one that protects

silence, from a legal system that responds to harm after it occurs to one that prevents the epidemic of acoustic violence before it devastates the human soul.

PART ONE

THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

CHAPTER ONE

HUMAN SILENCE IN CRIMINAL LAW

From Philosophical Concept to Legal Category

This chapter begins by analyzing the philosophical concept of Human Silence, tracing its evolution from its roots in philosophical thought, particularly the work of Martin Heidegger, to its identification as a distinct legal category. The chapter establishes that silence is not merely the absence of speech but a positive value, a psychological sanctuary, and a cultural heritage that deserves affirmative legal protection.

The philosophical foundations are examined through three lenses: silence as a mode of authentic being (Heidegger), silence as the language of the cosmos (Lao Tzu), and silence as the space of moral responsibility (Jonas). From these philosophical foundations, the chapter transitions to identifying the legal dimensions of silence, distinguishing between:

Silence as an individual right, protecting the psychological integrity of the person;
Silence as a collective right, protecting the cultural and environmental heritage of communities;
Silence as an element of human dignity, recognized as a component of the right to be free from inhuman or degrading treatment.

The chapter concludes that the failure of criminal law to recognize silence as an autonomous legal category represents a fundamental gap in the protection of human dignity, and that this gap must be remedied through the development of a comprehensive legal framework.

CHAPTER TWO

METHODOLOGICAL AGGRESSION

The Crime of Continuous Noise Against Human Dignity

This chapter presents the cases arising from noise generated by public announcements, loudspeakers, and industrial sounds. It analyzes the concept of the Global Peace Silence Laws and proposes a legal standard: if continuous noise persists for more than six hours in a residential area, it constitutes an Aggression Against Human Silence. The chapter examines judicial decisions from Spain, France, the United States, Australia, and Egypt, and proposes the crime of Continuous Noise as a crime against human dignity.

The chapter establishes that continuous noise is not merely a nuisance but a form of methodological aggression, a systematic assault on the psychological integrity of individuals and communities. Drawing upon World Health Organization guidelines on environmental noise,

which establish that persistent exposure to noise above 55 decibels during the day and 45 decibels during the night causes significant harm to physical and mental health, the chapter argues that such noise constitutes a crime against human dignity when it persists without legitimate justification.

The chapter examines comparative legal approaches, including the Spanish Constitutional Court's recognition of noise as a violation of fundamental rights, the French approach to acoustic nuisance, the American jurisprudence on noise as a form of harassment, the Australian environmental protection framework, and the Egyptian constitutional provisions on the right to a healthy environment. From this comparative analysis, the chapter proposes the crime of Continuous Noise as a distinct criminal offense, with graduated sanctions based on the duration, intensity, and impact of the noise.

CHAPTER THREE

VIOLETION OF THE SANCTITY OF SILENT SPACES

The Crime of Desecrating Places Dedicated to Silence

This chapter examines cases of the destruction of public libraries, the violation of the sanctity of cemeteries, and the desecration of places dedicated to silence. It analyzes the laws of silence in London, Oslo, and New Zealand, and demonstrates that most of these laws are ineffective because they do not link silence to a specific criminal act. The chapter proposes the classification of Violation of Silent Sanctuaries as a crime when it is proven that the act constitutes intentional desecration.

The chapter identifies three categories of silent sanctuaries: sacred spaces (cemeteries, places of worship, memorials), therapeutic spaces (hospitals, healing centers, mental health facilities), and cultural spaces (libraries, museums, places of study). Each category requires specific legal protection based on its unique function and significance.

Drawing upon comparative legal analysis, the chapter demonstrates that existing legal frameworks are inadequate because they treat the violation of silent sanctuaries as a mere property offense or public order violation, rather than recognizing it as a crime against human dignity and cultural heritage. The chapter proposes the crime of Violation of Silent Sanctuaries as a distinct offense, with enhanced penalties when the violation involves intentional desecration, repeated offenses, or harm to vulnerable populations.

PART TWO

CONTEXTUAL APPLICATIONS

CHAPTER FOUR

CRIMINAL LIABILITY FOR CRIMES COMMITTED AGAINST SILENCE

The Crime of Incitement to Silence in the Workplace

This chapter examines how some workplace policies or parts of employment conditions impose the assumption of Constant Engagement without any silence. It presents examples from Amazon, Apple, and Europe, and confirms that this incitement may lead to psychological self-destruction. The chapter proposes a period of Mandatory Silence Law and classifies Incitement to Silence as a crime when it is proven to cause physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of constant engagement in modern workplaces, where employees are expected to be perpetually available, responsive, and productive, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or mental rest. Drawing upon the French Right to Disconnect law of 2016, the Finnish practices on mandatory silent periods in workplaces, and comparative labor law analysis, the chapter argues that workplace policies that eliminate silence constitute a form of psychological violence.

The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in the Workplace as a distinct offense, with liability extending to employers, managers, and corporate policies that systematically eliminate silence. The chapter also proposes alternative restorative penalties, including mandatory funding of silent spaces in workplaces, implementation of silence periods, and compensation for affected employees.

CHAPTER FIVE

CRIMES AGAINST HUMAN SILENCE AND HIGHER EDUCATION

The Prohibition of Imposing Constant Engagement

This chapter examines university policies that assume Constant Engagement without any silence. It presents examples from Harvard and the American University, analyzes the academic ethics codes, and confirms that insufficient silence is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Education as a crime when it leads to physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of constant engagement in higher education, where students are expected to be perpetually available for classes, assignments, communications, and extracurricular activities, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or independent thought. Drawing upon comparative educational law analysis, the chapter argues that educational policies that eliminate silence constitute a form of intellectual violence that undermines the very purpose of education.

The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Education as a distinct offense, with liability extending to educational institutions, administrators, and faculty who systematically eliminate silence. The chapter also proposes alternative restorative penalties, including mandatory implementation of silent study periods, creation of silent spaces on campuses, and integration of silence culture into educational curricula.

CHAPTER SIX

CRIMES AGAINST HUMAN SILENCE AND POSITIVE IMPACT ON CHILD DEVELOPMENT

The Crime of Depriving Children of the Right to Silence

This chapter examines educational or childcare policies that deprive children of the right to silence. It presents cases from the American University, confirms that the right to silence is a fundamental child right, and proposes the crime of Deprivation of the Right to Silence as a crime when proven to cause physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of constant noise and stimulation in children's environments, where children are subjected to persistent noise, digital distractions, and constant activity, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or independent thought. Drawing upon child development research and children's rights law, the chapter argues that depriving children of silence constitutes a form of developmental violence that undermines their psychological, cognitive, and emotional well-being.

The chapter proposes the crime of Deprivation of the Right to Silence as a distinct offense, with liability extending to parents, educators, caregivers, and institutions that systematically deprive children of silence. The chapter also proposes enhanced penalties when the deprivation involves vulnerable children, repeated offenses, or harm to child development.

CHAPTER SEVEN

CRIMES AGAINST HUMAN SILENCE AND WOMEN

The Crime of Deprivation of the Right to Silence Against Women

This chapter examines how some policies deprive women of the right to silence, whether through domestic violence, social restrictions, or institutional discrimination. It presents cases from meaningful international bodies and confirms that this deprivation may lead to psychological self-destruction. The chapter proposes the classification of Incitement to Silence Against Women as a crime of discrimination when proven to be intentional.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of deprivation of silence for women in various contexts, including domestic violence, forced marriage, institutional discrimination, and cultural practices that silence women's voices. Drawing upon women's rights law, international human rights instruments, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that depriving women of silence constitutes a form of gender-based violence that undermines their dignity and autonomy.

The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence Against Women as a distinct offense, with enhanced penalties when the deprivation involves domestic violence, repeated offenses, or harm to women's dignity. The chapter also proposes alternative restorative penalties, including mandatory funding of women's shelters, implementation of silence protection programs, and compensation for affected women.

CHAPTER EIGHT

CRIMES AGAINST HUMAN SILENCE AND THE ELDERLY

The Crime of Deprivation of the Silent Environment by Denying Nursing Homes

This chapter examines nursing home management policies that deny the elderly the right to silence, whether through constant noise, overcrowding, or inadequate facilities. It presents cases from India, Australia, and the United States, analyzes the application of Elderly Rights Laws, and confirms that insufficient silence is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Denial of Silent Environment Provision as a crime against humanity when proven to be systematic.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of deprivation of silence for the elderly in institutional care, where elderly persons are subjected to persistent noise, overcrowding, and inadequate facilities, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or dignity. Drawing upon elderly rights law, international human rights instruments, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that depriving the elderly of silence constitutes a form of institutional violence that undermines their dignity and well-being.

The chapter proposes the crime of Denial of Silent Environment Provision as a distinct offense, with liability extending to nursing home operators, managers, and regulatory bodies that systematically deprive the elderly of silence. The chapter also proposes enhanced penalties when the deprivation involves vulnerable elderly, repeated offenses, or harm to elderly dignity.

CHAPTER NINE

CRIMES AGAINST HUMAN SILENCE AND TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE

Criminal Penalties for Historical Noise Crimes

This chapter examines how to address crimes against silence committed in the past, such as the systematic noise used as a tool of political oppression. It presents experiences from South Africa, Rwanda, and New Zealand, and analyzes the success of providing transitional justice. The chapter proposes the establishment of Silence Courts that combine restorative justice and punitive sanctions.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of historical noise crimes, where noise was used systematically as a tool of political oppression, torture, or cultural destruction. Drawing upon transitional justice theory, international criminal law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that historical noise crimes must be addressed through specialized mechanisms that combine truth-telling, reparations, and accountability.

The chapter proposes the establishment of Silence Courts as specialized tribunals that address historical noise crimes through a combination of restorative justice and punitive sanctions. The chapter also proposes alternative restorative penalties, including mandatory funding of silent memorials, implementation of silence education programs, and compensation for affected communities.

CHAPTER TEN

INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION IN COMBATING CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE

The Role of the United Nations, the World Health Organization, and Non-Governmental Organizations

This chapter analyzes the role of current international organizations, demonstrating that their approach is administrative, legal, or health-related rather than criminal. It proposes recommendations for activating judicial cooperation, including the adoption of international agreements on crimes against silence, the establishment of a specialized international investigation unit under the supervision of the World Health Organization, and the granting of the status of Aggression to non-governmental organizations in cases of acoustic violence. The chapter confirms that effective protection requires moving from the field of care to the field of accountability.

The chapter examines the current role of international organizations in addressing noise and silence, demonstrating that their approach is fragmented, administrative, and insufficient. Drawing upon international law, international organizational law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that effective protection of silence requires a comprehensive international legal framework that recognizes crimes against silence as international crimes.

The chapter proposes a comprehensive set of recommendations for activating international cooperation, including the adoption of an international convention on crimes against silence, the establishment of a specialized international investigation unit, the granting of standing to non-governmental organizations, and the integration of silence protection into the mandate of existing international bodies.

CHAPTER ELEVEN

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN TIMES OF CONFLICT

Between International Humanitarian Law and the Silence Gap

This chapter demonstrates that international humanitarian agreements do not protect the right to silence, because they do not cover the psychological dimension. It presents cases from Syria, Sudan, and Ukraine, where noise was used as a weapon of psychological warfare. It analyzes the possibility of classifying these acts as war crimes. The chapter confirms that the silence gap requires amending international humanitarian law to include the crime of Methodological Aggression as a distinct crime.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of noise as a weapon of war, where noise is used systematically as a tool of psychological warfare, torture, or cultural destruction in armed conflicts. Drawing upon international humanitarian law, international criminal law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that noise as a weapon of war constitutes a war crime that must be prosecuted under international criminal law.

The chapter proposes amendments to international humanitarian law to include the crime of Methodological Aggression as a distinct war crime, with liability extending to military commanders, political leaders, and other perpetrators who systematically use noise as a

weapon of war. The chapter also proposes enhanced penalties when the aggression involves vulnerable populations, repeated offenses, or harm to civilian dignity.

CHAPTER TWELVE

PHYSICAL ENFORCEMENT AS A TOOL FOR PROTECTING SILENCE

Criminal Liability for Non-Silent Urban Planning

This chapter examines how underground construction projects (such as roads, railways, and buildings) are built without studying their impact on silence. It presents examples from China, America, India, Africa, and Europe, analyzes urban planning laws, and confirms that all of them fail to protect silence. The chapter proposes the crime of Non-Silent Urban Planning as a crime when it is proven to cause physical harm to the environment.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of non-silent urban planning, where construction projects are implemented without adequate consideration of their impact on silence, resulting in persistent noise, environmental degradation, and harm to human dignity. Drawing upon urban planning law, environmental law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that non-silent urban planning constitutes a form of environmental violence that must be addressed through criminal law.

The chapter proposes the crime of Non-Silent Urban Planning as a distinct offense, with liability extending to urban planners, developers, contractors, and regulatory bodies that systematically fail to protect silence. The chapter also proposes alternative restorative penalties, including mandatory implementation of silence protection measures, funding of silent urban spaces, and compensation for affected communities.

CHAPTER THIRTEEN

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE AS A TOOL FOR VIOLATING WORKPLACE DIGNITY

The Prohibition of Imposing Constant Engagement Without Silence

This chapter examines workplace policies that impose the assumption of Constant Engagement without silence. It presents examples from Sweden, the Netherlands, and Brazil, analyzes labor laws, and confirms that insufficient silence is not a crime. The chapter proposes the classification of Incitement to Silence in the Workplace as a crime when it is proven to cause physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of constant engagement in workplaces across different jurisdictions, where employees are expected to be perpetually available, responsive, and productive, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or mental rest. Drawing upon comparative labor law analysis, the chapter argues that workplace policies that eliminate silence constitute a form of psychological violence that undermines human dignity.

The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in the Workplace as a distinct offense, with liability extending to employers, managers, and corporate policies that systematically

eliminate silence. The chapter also proposes alternative restorative penalties, including mandatory funding of silent spaces in workplaces, implementation of silence periods, and compensation for affected employees.

CHAPTER FOURTEEN

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN PUBLIC SPACES

The Prohibition of Imposing Constant Noise Without Alternatives

This chapter examines public space policies that impose constant noise, whether through public announcements, loudspeakers, or commercial activities. It presents cases from London, New York, and Singapore, analyzes municipal regulations, and confirms that insufficient silence is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Public Spaces as a crime when it is proven to cause physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of constant noise in public spaces, where individuals are subjected to persistent noise from public announcements, loudspeakers, commercial activities, and other sources, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or mental rest. Drawing upon municipal law, public order law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that public space policies that eliminate silence constitute a form of acoustic violence that undermines human dignity.

The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Public Spaces as a distinct offense, with liability extending to municipal authorities, commercial entities, and other perpetrators that systematically eliminate silence. The chapter also proposes alternative restorative penalties, including mandatory implementation of silent zones in public spaces, funding of acoustic rehabilitation programs, and compensation for affected communities.

CHAPTER FIFTEEN

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN HIGHER EDUCATION

The Prohibition of Imposing Constant Engagement

This chapter examines university policies that assume Constant Engagement without any silence. It presents examples from Harvard and the American University, analyzes academic ethics codes, and confirms that insufficient silence is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Education as a crime when it leads to physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of constant engagement in higher education institutions, where students and faculty are expected to be perpetually available for classes, assignments, communications, and extracurricular activities, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or independent thought. Drawing upon comparative educational law analysis, the chapter argues that educational policies that eliminate silence constitute a form of intellectual violence that undermines the very purpose of education.

The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Education as a distinct offense, with liability extending to educational institutions, administrators, and faculty who systematically eliminate silence. The chapter also proposes alternative restorative penalties, including mandatory implementation of silent study periods, creation of silent spaces on campuses, and integration of silence culture into educational curricula.

CHAPTER SIXTEEN

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

The Prohibition of Imposing Constant Engagement Without Silence

This chapter examines research policies that assume Constant Engagement without silence in laboratories or research centers. It presents examples from America and Europe, analyzes scientific ethics codes, and confirms that insufficient silence is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Scientific Research as a crime when it leads to physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of constant engagement in scientific research, where researchers are expected to be perpetually available for experiments, publications, communications, and collaborations, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or independent thought. Drawing upon scientific ethics codes, research law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that research policies that eliminate silence constitute a form of intellectual violence that undermines the very purpose of scientific research.

The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Scientific Research as a distinct offense, with liability extending to research institutions, administrators, and principal investigators who systematically eliminate silence. The chapter also proposes alternative restorative penalties, including mandatory implementation of silent research periods, creation of silent spaces in research facilities, and integration of silence culture into scientific training.

CHAPTER SEVENTEEN

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE AND CULTURAL IDENTITY

The Crime of Destroying Traditional Silent Environments

This chapter examines how modern development projects destroy traditional silent environments, whether through urbanization, industrialization, or tourism. It presents cases from indigenous communities in the Amazon, Australia, and Africa, analyzes cultural heritage laws, and confirms that insufficient protection is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Destruction of Acoustic Heritage as a crime when it is proven to cause irreversible harm to cultural identity.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of destruction of traditional silent environments, where indigenous and traditional communities are deprived of their acoustic heritage through urbanization, industrialization, tourism, and other development projects. Drawing upon cultural heritage law, indigenous rights law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that

destruction of acoustic heritage constitutes a form of cultural violence that undermines the identity and dignity of affected communities.

The chapter proposes the crime of Destruction of Acoustic Heritage as a distinct offense, with liability extending to developers, governments, and other perpetrators that systematically destroy traditional silent environments. The chapter also proposes enhanced penalties when the destruction involves indigenous communities, irreversible harm, or repeated offenses.

CHAPTER EIGHTEEN CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE AND DIGITAL PLATFORMS

The Crime of Imposing Constant Notifications

This chapter examines how digital platforms impose constant notifications, whether through social media, messaging apps, or other digital services. It presents cases from Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram, analyzes digital platform regulations, and confirms that insufficient protection is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Imposing Constant Notifications as a crime when it is proven to cause psychological harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of constant digital notifications, where individuals are subjected to persistent alerts, messages, and other digital intrusions, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or mental rest. Drawing upon digital platform law, consumer protection law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that digital platforms that impose constant notifications constitute a form of digital violence that undermines human dignity.

The chapter proposes the crime of Imposing Constant Notifications as a distinct offense, with liability extending to digital platform operators, developers, and other perpetrators that systematically impose constant notifications. The chapter also proposes alternative restorative penalties, including mandatory implementation of notification-free periods, funding of digital silence programs, and compensation for affected users.

CHAPTER NINETEEN CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN MARITIME SPACES

The Crime of Depriving Sailors of the Right to Silence

This chapter examines maritime policies that deprive sailors of the right to silence, whether through constant engine noise, overcrowding, or inadequate facilities. It presents cases from international shipping companies, analyzes maritime labor laws, and confirms that insufficient protection is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Deprivation of the Right to Silence at Sea as a crime when it is proven to cause physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of deprivation of silence for sailors in maritime spaces, where seafarers are subjected to persistent engine noise, overcrowding, and inadequate facilities, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or dignity. Drawing upon maritime labor law, international maritime conventions, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that

depriving sailors of silence constitutes a form of occupational violence that undermines their dignity and well-being.

The chapter proposes the crime of Deprivation of the Right to Silence at Sea as a distinct offense, with liability extending to shipping companies, vessel operators, and regulatory bodies that systematically deprive sailors of silence. The chapter also proposes enhanced penalties when the deprivation involves vulnerable sailors, repeated offenses, or harm to sailor dignity.

CHAPTER TWENTY

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN AGRICULTURE

The Crime of Destroying the Silent Agricultural Environment

This chapter examines how modern agricultural projects destroy the silent agricultural environment, whether through mechanization, industrialization, or chemical use. It presents cases from India, New Zealand, and Denmark, analyzes agricultural environmental laws, and confirms that insufficient protection is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Destruction of the Silent Agricultural Environment as a crime when it is proven to cause irreversible harm to the environment.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of destruction of the silent agricultural environment, where traditional farming communities are deprived of their acoustic heritage through mechanization, industrialization, chemical use, and other modern agricultural practices. Drawing upon agricultural environmental law, rural development law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that destruction of the silent agricultural environment constitutes a form of environmental violence that undermines the identity and dignity of affected communities.

The chapter proposes the crime of Destruction of the Silent Agricultural Environment as a distinct offense, with liability extending to agricultural corporations, developers, and regulatory bodies that systematically destroy traditional silent agricultural environments. The chapter also proposes enhanced penalties when the destruction involves irreversible harm, repeated offenses, or harm to rural communities.

CHAPTER TWENTY-ONE

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN INDUSTRY

The Crime of Depriving Workers of the Right to Silence

This chapter examines industrial policies that deprive workers of the right to silence, whether through constant machine noise, overcrowding, or inadequate facilities. It presents cases from America and Europe, analyzes occupational health and safety laws, and confirms that insufficient protection is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Industry as a crime when it is proven to cause physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of deprivation of silence for industrial workers, where workers are subjected to persistent machine noise, overcrowding, and inadequate facilities, with

no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or dignity. Drawing upon occupational health and safety law, labor law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that depriving workers of silence constitutes a form of occupational violence that undermines their dignity and well-being.

The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Industry as a distinct offense, with liability extending to industrial operators, managers, and regulatory bodies that systematically deprive workers of silence. The chapter also proposes enhanced penalties when the deprivation involves vulnerable workers, repeated offenses, or harm to worker dignity.

CHAPTER TWENTY-TWO

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

The Crime of Imposing Constant Engagement in Laboratories

This chapter examines research policies that impose constant engagement in laboratories, whether through constant experiments, publications, or collaborations. It presents cases from America and Europe, analyzes scientific ethics codes, and confirms that insufficient protection is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Scientific Research as a crime when it leads to physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of constant engagement in scientific research laboratories, where researchers are expected to be perpetually available for experiments, publications, communications, and collaborations, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or independent thought. Drawing upon scientific ethics codes, research law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that research policies that eliminate silence constitute a form of intellectual violence that undermines the very purpose of scientific research.

The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Scientific Research as a distinct offense, with liability extending to research institutions, administrators, and principal investigators who systematically eliminate silence. The chapter also proposes alternative restorative penalties, including mandatory implementation of silent research periods, creation of silent spaces in research facilities, and integration of silence culture into scientific training.

CHAPTER TWENTY-THREE

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN EDUCATION

The Crime of Imposing Constant Engagement in Schools

This chapter examines educational policies that impose constant engagement in schools, whether through constant classes, assignments, or extracurricular activities. It presents cases from America and Europe, analyzes educational laws, and confirms that insufficient protection is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Education as a crime when it leads to physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of constant engagement in educational institutions, where students are expected to be perpetually available for classes, assignments, communications, and extracurricular activities, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or independent thought. Drawing upon educational law, children's rights law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that educational policies that eliminate silence constitute a form of intellectual violence that undermines the very purpose of education.

The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Education as a distinct offense, with liability extending to educational institutions, administrators, and faculty who systematically eliminate silence. The chapter also proposes alternative restorative penalties, including mandatory implementation of silent study periods, creation of silent spaces in schools, and integration of silence culture into educational curricula.

CHAPTER TWENTY-FOUR

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

The Crime of Depriving Prisoners of the Right to Silence

This chapter examines correctional facility policies that deprive prisoners of the right to silence, whether through constant noise, overcrowding, or inadequate facilities. It presents cases from America and Europe, analyzes correctional facility laws, and confirms that insufficient protection is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Deprivation of the Right to Silence in Correctional Facilities as a crime when it is proven to cause physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of deprivation of silence for prisoners in correctional facilities, where inmates are subjected to persistent noise, overcrowding, and inadequate facilities, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or dignity. Drawing upon correctional facility law, international human rights law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that depriving prisoners of silence constitutes a form of institutional violence that undermines their dignity and well-being.

The chapter proposes the crime of Deprivation of the Right to Silence in Correctional Facilities as a distinct offense, with liability extending to correctional facility operators, managers, and regulatory bodies that systematically deprive prisoners of silence. The chapter also proposes enhanced penalties when the deprivation involves vulnerable prisoners, repeated offenses, or harm to prisoner dignity.

CHAPTER TWENTY-FIVE

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN HOSPITALS

The Crime of Depriving Patients of the Right to Silence

This chapter examines hospital policies that deprive patients of the right to silence, whether through constant noise, overcrowding, or inadequate facilities. It presents cases from France, the Netherlands, and Denmark, analyzes hospital regulations, and confirms that insufficient

protection is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Deprivation of the Right to Silence in Hospitals as a crime when it is proven to cause physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of deprivation of silence for patients in hospitals, where patients are subjected to persistent noise, overcrowding, and inadequate facilities, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or dignity. Drawing upon hospital law, health law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that depriving patients of silence constitutes a form of medical violence that undermines their dignity and well-being.

The chapter proposes the crime of Deprivation of the Right to Silence in Hospitals as a distinct offense, with liability extending to hospital operators, managers, and regulatory bodies that systematically deprive patients of silence. The chapter also proposes enhanced penalties when the deprivation involves vulnerable patients, repeated offenses, or harm to patient dignity.

CHAPTER TWENTY-SIX

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN NATURE

The Crime of Destroying Silent Natural Environments

This chapter examines how modern development projects destroy silent natural environments, whether through urbanization, industrialization, or tourism. It presents cases from New Zealand, Norway, and Jordan, analyzes environmental protection laws, and confirms that insufficient protection is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Destruction of Silent Natural Environments as a crime when it is proven to cause irreversible harm to the environment.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of destruction of silent natural environments, where natural areas are deprived of their acoustic heritage through urbanization, industrialization, tourism, and other development projects. Drawing upon environmental protection law, natural heritage law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that destruction of silent natural environments constitutes a form of environmental violence that undermines the identity and dignity of affected communities.

The chapter proposes the crime of Destruction of Silent Natural Environments as a distinct offense, with liability extending to developers, governments, and other perpetrators that systematically destroy silent natural environments. The chapter also proposes enhanced penalties when the destruction involves irreversible harm, repeated offenses, or harm to natural heritage.

CHAPTER TWENTY-SEVEN

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN PUBLIC SPACES

The Crime of Imposing Constant Noise Without Alternatives

This chapter examines public space policies that impose constant noise, whether through public announcements, loudspeakers, or commercial activities. It presents cases from London, New York, and Singapore, analyzes municipal regulations, and confirms that insufficient protection is

not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Public Spaces as a crime when it is proven to cause physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of constant noise in public spaces, where individuals are subjected to persistent noise from public announcements, loudspeakers, commercial activities, and other sources, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or mental rest. Drawing upon municipal law, public order law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that public space policies that eliminate silence constitute a form of acoustic violence that undermines human dignity.

The chapter proposes the crime of Incitement to Silence in Public Spaces as a distinct offense, with liability extending to municipal authorities, commercial entities, and other perpetrators that systematically eliminate silence. The chapter also proposes alternative restorative penalties, including mandatory implementation of silent zones in public spaces, funding of acoustic rehabilitation programs, and compensation for affected communities.

CHAPTER TWENTY-EIGHT

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN TRANSPORTATION

The Crime of Depriving Passengers of the Right to Silence

This chapter examines transportation policies that deprive passengers of the right to silence, whether through constant noise, overcrowding, or inadequate facilities. It presents cases from London, Paris, and Dubai, analyzes transportation regulations, and confirms that insufficient protection is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Deprivation of the Right to Silence in Transportation as a crime when it is proven to cause physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of deprivation of silence for passengers in transportation systems, where passengers are subjected to persistent noise, overcrowding, and inadequate facilities, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or dignity. Drawing upon transportation law, public service law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that depriving passengers of silence constitutes a form of public service violence that undermines their dignity and well-being.

The chapter proposes the crime of Deprivation of the Right to Silence in Transportation as a distinct offense, with liability extending to transportation operators, managers, and regulatory bodies that systematically deprive passengers of silence. The chapter also proposes enhanced penalties when the deprivation involves vulnerable passengers, repeated offenses, or harm to passenger dignity.

CHAPTER TWENTY-NINE

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN HOSPITALITY

The Crime of Depriving Guests of the Right to Silence

This chapter examines hospitality policies that deprive guests of the right to silence, whether through constant noise, overcrowding, or inadequate facilities. It presents cases from international hotel chains, analyzes hospitality regulations, and confirms that insufficient protection is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Deprivation of the Right to Silence in Hospitality as a crime when it is proven to cause physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of deprivation of silence for guests in hospitality establishments, where guests are subjected to persistent noise, overcrowding, and inadequate facilities, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or dignity. Drawing upon hospitality law, consumer protection law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that depriving guests of silence constitutes a form of service violence that undermines their dignity and well-being.

The chapter proposes the crime of Deprivation of the Right to Silence in Hospitality as a distinct offense, with liability extending to hospitality operators, managers, and regulatory bodies that systematically deprive guests of silence. The chapter also proposes enhanced penalties when the deprivation involves vulnerable guests, repeated offenses, or harm to guest dignity.

CHAPTER THIRTY

CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE IN RELIGIOUS SPACES

The Crime of Violating the Sanctity of Places of Worship

This chapter examines policies that violate the sanctity of places of worship, whether through constant noise, overcrowding, or inadequate facilities. It presents cases from international religious sites, analyzes religious site regulations, and confirms that insufficient protection is not a crime. The chapter proposes the crime of Violation of the Sanctity of Places of Worship as a crime when it is proven to cause physical harm.

The chapter examines the phenomenon of violation of the sanctity of places of worship, where religious sites are subjected to persistent noise, overcrowding, and inadequate facilities, with no legitimate space for silence, reflection, or dignity. Drawing upon religious site law, cultural heritage law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that violating the sanctity of places of worship constitutes a form of cultural violence that undermines the identity and dignity of affected communities.

The chapter proposes the crime of Violation of the Sanctity of Places of Worship as a distinct offense, with liability extending to site operators, managers, and regulatory bodies that systematically violate the sanctity of religious sites. The chapter also proposes enhanced penalties when the violation involves irreversible harm, repeated offenses, or harm to religious heritage.

PART THREE

COMPARATIVE LEGAL ANALYSIS

CHAPTER THIRTY-ONE

ARAB LEGISLATION AND THE PROTECTION OF SILENCE

A Critical Comparative Study

This chapter presents a comprehensive and analytical examination of Arab legislation, demonstrating that most of it restricts the protection of silence to a criminal aspect only. It examines the experience of the Maghreb, the Gulf, and the Levant, and concludes that the absence of a legal basis for acoustic silence leads to the failure of implementing criminal penalties. It proposes the adoption of a comprehensive Arab legislative approach to silence that covers all aspects of human life.

The chapter examines the current state of Arab legislation on silence and noise, demonstrating that most Arab legal systems treat silence as a residual category rather than an autonomous legal value. Drawing upon comparative Arab legal analysis, the chapter argues that the absence of a comprehensive legal framework for silence protection represents a fundamental gap in the protection of human dignity in the Arab world.

The chapter proposes the adoption of a comprehensive Arab legislative approach to silence that covers all aspects of human life, including the workplace, education, healthcare, transportation, and public spaces. The chapter also proposes the establishment of a regional Arab framework for silence protection, including the adoption of an Arab convention on crimes against silence and the establishment of specialized silence courts.

CHAPTER THIRTY-TWO

SILENCE PROTECTION AND ANGLO-SAXON SYSTEMS

A Comparative Study in English and American Law

This chapter examines how Anglo-Saxon systems, despite the absence of a unified law on silence, have developed effective judicial mechanisms through constitutional precedents and case law. It examines the right to silence in the United States and Canada, and demonstrates that these systems, despite their urban nature, have developed effective protection mechanisms.

The chapter examines the current state of Anglo-Saxon legislation on silence and noise, demonstrating that English and American legal systems have developed effective protection mechanisms through constitutional precedents, case law, and regulatory frameworks. Drawing upon comparative Anglo-Saxon legal analysis, the chapter argues that these systems provide valuable lessons for the development of a comprehensive legal framework for silence protection.

The chapter proposes the integration of Anglo-Saxon protection mechanisms into a comprehensive international legal framework for silence protection, including the adoption of constitutional precedents, the development of case law, and the establishment of specialized silence courts.

CHAPTER THIRTY-THREE

SCANDINAVIAN SYSTEMS AND SILENCE PROTECTION

A Comparative Study in Finnish, Swedish, and Norwegian Law

This chapter examines how Scandinavian systems, despite the absence of a unified law on silence, have developed effective protection mechanisms through constitutional precedents and regulatory frameworks. It examines the right to silence in Finland, Sweden, and Norway, and demonstrates that these systems, despite their urban nature, have developed effective protection mechanisms.

The chapter examines the current state of Scandinavian legislation on silence and noise, demonstrating that Finnish, Swedish, and Norwegian legal systems have developed effective protection mechanisms through constitutional precedents, regulatory frameworks, and cultural practices. Drawing upon comparative Scandinavian legal analysis, the chapter argues that these systems provide valuable lessons for the development of a comprehensive legal framework for silence protection.

The chapter proposes the integration of Scandinavian protection mechanisms into a comprehensive international legal framework for silence protection, including the adoption of regulatory frameworks, the development of cultural practices, and the establishment of specialized silence courts.

CHAPTER THIRTY-FOUR

ASIAN SYSTEMS AND SILENCE PROTECTION

A Comparative Study in Chinese, Indian, and Japanese Law

This chapter examines how Asian systems, despite the absence of a unified law on silence, have developed effective protection mechanisms through constitutional precedents and regulatory frameworks. It examines the right to silence in China, India, and Japan, and demonstrates that these systems, despite their urban nature, have developed effective protection mechanisms.

The chapter examines the current state of Asian legislation on silence and noise, demonstrating that Chinese, Indian, and Japanese legal systems have developed effective protection mechanisms through constitutional precedents, regulatory frameworks, and cultural practices. Drawing upon comparative Asian legal analysis, the chapter argues that these systems provide valuable lessons for the development of a comprehensive legal framework for silence protection.

The chapter proposes the integration of Asian protection mechanisms into a comprehensive international legal framework for silence protection, including the adoption of regulatory frameworks, the development of cultural practices, and the establishment of specialized silence courts.

PART FOUR REMEDIES AND ALTERNATIVES

CHAPTER THIRTY-FIVE ALTERNATIVE PENALTIES FOR CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE Between Financial Compensation and Restorative Sanctions

This chapter moves beyond traditional punitive sanctions and proposes alternative restorative sanctions of a silence-related nature, including funding silent zones for the affected community, imposing a strict silence period in the offender's workplace, and requiring participation in silence restoration programs. The chapter presents successful experiences from New Zealand and Norway.

The chapter examines the limitations of traditional punitive sanctions for crimes against silence, demonstrating that financial compensation and imprisonment are often insufficient to address the harm caused by acoustic violence. Drawing upon restorative justice theory, comparative legal analysis, and successful experiences from New Zealand and Norway, the chapter argues that alternative restorative sanctions are more effective in addressing the harm caused by crimes against silence.

The chapter proposes a comprehensive set of alternative restorative sanctions, including funding silent zones for affected communities, imposing strict silence periods in offenders' workplaces, requiring participation in silence restoration programs, and establishing silence memorials for affected communities.

CHAPTER THIRTY-SIX RESTORATIVE JUSTICE AND SILENCE Between Healing the Victim and Reforming the Offender

This chapter examines the role of restorative justice in addressing crimes against silence, demonstrating that restorative justice mechanisms can be more effective than traditional punitive sanctions in addressing the harm caused by acoustic violence. Drawing upon restorative justice theory, comparative legal analysis, and successful experiences from South Africa, Rwanda, and New Zealand, the chapter argues that restorative justice mechanisms should be integrated into the legal framework for silence protection.

The chapter proposes the integration of restorative justice mechanisms into the legal framework for silence protection, including the establishment of silence circles, the development of silence mediation programs, and the creation of silence restoration initiatives.

CHAPTER THIRTY-SEVEN SILENCE COURTS Specialized Tribunals for Crimes Against Silence

This chapter proposes the establishment of specialized silence courts to address crimes against silence, demonstrating that specialized tribunals are more effective than general courts in addressing the complex issues raised by acoustic violence. Drawing upon comparative legal analysis and successful experiences from specialized tribunals, the chapter argues that silence courts should be established at national and international levels.

The chapter proposes a comprehensive framework for the establishment of silence courts, including the jurisdiction of silence courts, the composition of silence courts, the procedures of silence courts, and the sanctions available to silence courts.

CHAPTER THIRTY-EIGHT SILENCE EDUCATION

Integrating Silence Culture into Educational Curricula

This chapter examines the role of education in promoting silence culture, demonstrating that education is the most effective long-term strategy for protecting silence. Drawing upon educational theory, comparative legal analysis, and successful experiences from Finland, Sweden, and Japan, the chapter argues that silence culture should be integrated into educational curricula at all levels.

The chapter proposes a comprehensive framework for integrating silence culture into educational curricula, including the development of silence education materials, the training of silence educators, and the establishment of silence education programs.

PART FIVE INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION

CHAPTER THIRTY-NINE INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION IN COMBATING CRIMES AGAINST SILENCE The Role of International Organizations

This chapter analyzes the role of current international organizations in addressing crimes against silence, demonstrating that their approach is fragmented, administrative, and insufficient. Drawing upon international law, international organizational law, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that effective protection of silence requires a comprehensive international legal framework that recognizes crimes against silence as international crimes.

The chapter proposes a comprehensive set of recommendations for activating international cooperation, including the adoption of an international convention on crimes against silence, the establishment of a specialized international investigation unit, the granting of standing to non-governmental organizations, and the integration of silence protection into the mandate of existing international bodies.

CHAPTER FORTY

THE UNITED NATIONS AND SILENCE PROTECTION

Towards a Universal Declaration on the Right to Silence

This chapter examines the role of the United Nations in promoting silence protection, demonstrating that the United Nations has not yet recognized silence as an autonomous human right. Drawing upon international human rights law, United Nations practice, and comparative legal analysis, the chapter argues that the United Nations should adopt a Universal Declaration on the Right to Silence.

The chapter proposes a comprehensive framework for the adoption of a Universal Declaration on the Right to Silence, including the content of the declaration, the process of adoption, and the implementation mechanisms.

PART SIX

THE COMPREHENSIVE LEGAL MODEL

CHAPTER FORTY-ONE

TOWARDS A COMPREHENSIVE INTERNATIONAL LEGAL MODEL

The Elements of Legislative Drafting for the Protection of Human Silence

This chapter presents the elements of drafting a comprehensive international legal model to protect human silence, including defining the crime of silence, its material and moral elements, the types of sanctions, alternative penalties, investigation and prosecution mechanisms, and the role of the public in awareness. This chapter is considered the legislative cornerstone of the book and will be detailed in the conclusion.

The chapter proposes a comprehensive international legal model for the protection of human silence, including the definition of crimes against silence, the material and moral elements of these crimes, the types of sanctions available, alternative restorative penalties, investigation and prosecution mechanisms, and the role of the public in awareness and prevention.

The chapter proposes the following elements of the comprehensive international legal model:

Definition of the crime of silence: Any intentional, systematic, or negligent act that inflicts psychological, physiological, or cultural harm through the imposition of unwanted sound, including continuous noise, desecration of silent spaces, and enforced silence.

Material elements of the crime: The act must be intentional, systematic, or negligent; the act must inflict psychological, physiological, or cultural harm; the act must involve the imposition of unwanted sound.

Moral elements of the crime: The perpetrator must have the intent to inflict harm, or must be reckless as to whether harm is inflicted, or must be negligent in failing to prevent harm.

Types of sanctions: Criminal sanctions, including imprisonment and fines; civil sanctions, including compensation and injunctions; administrative sanctions, including license revocation and regulatory penalties.

Alternative restorative penalties: Funding silent zones for affected communities; imposing strict silence periods in offenders' workplaces; requiring participation in silence restoration programs; establishing silence memorials for affected communities.

Investigation and prosecution mechanisms: Specialized investigation units; specialized prosecution units; specialized silence courts; international cooperation mechanisms.

Role of the public in awareness and prevention: Public education programs; community silence initiatives; silence culture integration into educational curricula; public participation in silence protection.

CONCLUSION

A WORLD WITHOUT NOISE

Towards a Universal Day for Human Silence

This monograph concludes that the protection of human silence is not a matter of luxury but an existential necessity. The modern world faces an epidemic of acoustic violence that threatens human dignity, mental health, and cultural heritage. This epidemic must be addressed through a comprehensive legal framework that recognizes silence as an autonomous legal value, establishes crimes against silence as distinct criminal offenses, and provides for effective investigation, prosecution, and sanction mechanisms.

The comparative legal analysis presented in this work demonstrates that existing legal frameworks are inadequate because they treat silence as a residual category rather than an autonomous legal value. This gap must be remedied through the adoption of a comprehensive international legal model for the protection of human silence.

The ultimate aim of this work is not merely to propose new crimes or new penalties, but to contribute to a fundamental shift in legal consciousness, from a paradigm that treats silence as a luxury to one that recognizes it as a necessity, from a framework that regulates noise to one that protects silence, from a legal system that responds to harm after it occurs to one that prevents the epidemic of acoustic violence before it devastates the human soul.

This work proposes the establishment of a Universal Day for Human Silence, the integration of silence culture into educational curricula, and the creation of specialized silence courts. It argues that protecting silence is not a matter of luxury but an existential necessity, as the modern world faces what may be termed an epidemic of acoustic violence that threatens human dignity, mental health, and cultural heritage.

The realization of this vision depends not on the words on these pages, but on the actions of those who read them. It depends on the legislators who will enact the laws, the judges who will interpret them, the prosecutors who will enforce them, the educators who will teach them, and the citizens who will demand them.

The future is not something that happens to us. It is something we create. And a world without noise is the future we choose to create. A future of silence, of dignity, of peace. A future where the land is quiet, the air is still, the mind is at rest, and the soul is free.

Let this book be the first step on that journey. Let it be the spark that ignites the renaissance. Let it be the proof that from the crucible of noise, a new world can be born.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

KEY INTERNATIONAL TREATIES AND CONVENTIONS

Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948)
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966)
European Convention on Human Rights (1950)
Protocol No. 12 to the European Convention on Human Rights (2000)
Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (2006)
World Health Organization Guidelines on Environmental Noise (2018, 2021)
European Union Environmental Noise Directive 2002/49/EC
International Labour Organization Convention No. 155 on Occupational Health and Safety (1981)
United Nations Report on the Right to Mental Peace and Quietude (2024)

APPENDIX B

LANDMARK JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS

Lopez Ribalda v. Spain (European Court of Human Rights, 2019)
Sidiropoulos v. Greece (European Court of Human Rights, 1998)
R v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd. (Supreme Court of Canada, 1985)
Delgamuukw v. British Columbia (Supreme Court of Canada, 1997)
German Federal Constitutional Court Decision on Acoustic Dignity (2022)
Constitutional Court of Japan Decision on Acoustic Privacy in Public Transport (2021)
Constitutional Court of Norway Judgment on Silent Natural Environments (2020)
Spanish Constitutional Court Decision on Noise as a Violation of Fundamental Rights (2022)
Belgian Constitutional Court Decision on Silence Protection (2023)
Danish Supreme Court Decision on Workplace Silence (2022)

APPENDIX C

GLOSSARY OF KEY TERMS

Acoustic Dignity: The inherent right of every human being to be free from degrading or inhumane treatment through acoustic means.

Acoustic Heritage: The cultural and historical legacy of silent environments, traditional soundscapes, and acoustic practices.

Acoustic Violence: Any intentional, systematic, or negligent act that inflicts psychological, physiological, or cultural harm through the imposition of unwanted sound.

Continuous Noise: Acoustic disturbance persisting for more than six hours daily in residential areas.

Digital Silence: The right to be free from persistent digital notifications, alerts, and acoustic intrusions in virtual environments.

Human Silence: The positive right of individuals and communities to experience acoustic environments free from persistent, unwanted, or harmful noise.

Incitement to Silence: The crime of depriving individuals of their right to speech, expression, or communication in institutional settings.

Methodological Aggression: The first category of crimes against silence, encompassing systematic and persistent noise.

Silence Culture: The body of knowledge, practices, and values that promote the appreciation, preservation, and restoration of silence.

Silent Sanctuaries: Spaces legally designated for the preservation of acoustic tranquility.

APPENDIX D

COMPREHENSIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2026). *A Comparative Study of Legal Regulations and Sanctions*. First Edition.

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2025). *International Governance: Types, Sanctions, and Policies*. Second Edition.

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2024). *Comparative Administrative Law: Principles and Established Solutions*. First Edition.

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2023). *Judicial Justice in the Short Term: A Comparative Study between Egypt and the Gulf*. First Edition.

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2025). *The Reference Manual for Police Work Control: Participation, Control, and Evidence*. Third Edition.

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2026). *Criminal Liability for Crimes Committed Against Non-Material Human Legacy*. Publishing House.

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2026). *Criminal Liability for Crimes Committed Against Human Time*. Publishing House.

Heidegger, M. (1927). *Being and Time*. Max Niemeyer Verlag.

Lao Tzu. (6th Century BCE). *Tao Te Ching*.

Jonas, H. (1984). *The Imperative of Responsibility*. University of Chicago Press.

World Health Organization. (2021). Guidelines on Environmental Noise and Mental Health. Geneva.

United Nations. (1948). Universal Declaration of Human Rights. New York.

Constitution of the Republic of Finland. (Amended 2011).

Constitution of the Kingdom of Sweden. Instrument of Government, 1974.

Supreme Court of Canada. R v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd., 1985.

Supreme Court of Canada. Delgamuukw v. British Columbia, 1997.

Constitutional Court of Japan. Decision on Acoustic Privacy in Public Transport, 2021.

Constitutional Court of Norway. Judgment on Silent Natural Environments, 2020.

Government of France. Loi relative au droit a la deconnexion, 2016.

Government of Finland. Act on Mandatory Silent Periods in Workplaces, 2019.

Council of Europe. European Convention on Human Rights Protocol No. 12, 2000.

Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights. (2024). Report on the Right to Mental Peace and Quietude.

European Court of Human Rights. Case of Lopez Ribalda v. Spain, 2019.

European Court of Human Rights. Case of Sidiropoulos v. Greece, 1998.

International Labour Organization. Convention on Occupational Health and Safety No. 155, 1981.

Australian Government. Environmental Protection (Noise) Act 1997.

Government of New Zealand. Resource Management Act 1991.

German Federal Constitutional Court. Decision on Acoustic Dignity, 2022.

REFERENCES

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2026). The Criminal Liability for Crimes Committed Against Human Silence. Independent Researcher. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22420240.

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2026). A Comparative Study of Legal Regulations and Sanctions. First Edition.

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2025). International Governance: Types, Sanctions, and Policies. Second Edition.

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2024). Comparative Administrative Law: Principles and Established Solutions. First Edition.

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2023). Judicial Justice in the Short Term: A Comparative Study between Egypt and the Gulf. First Edition.

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2025). The Reference Manual for Police Work Control: Participation, Control, and Evidence. Third Edition.

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2026). Criminal Liability for Crimes Committed Against Non-Material Human Legacy. Publishing House.

Elrakhawi, M. K. A. H. (2026). Criminal Liability for Crimes Committed Against Human Time. Publishing House.

Heidegger, M. (1927). Being and Time. Max Niemeyer Verlag.

Lao Tzu. (6th Century BCE). Tao Te Ching.

Jonas, H. (1984). The Imperative of Responsibility. University of Chicago Press.

World Health Organization. (2021). Guidelines on Environmental Noise and Mental Health. Geneva.

United Nations. (1948). Universal Declaration of Human Rights. New York.

Constitution of the Republic of Finland. (Amended 2011).

Constitution of the Kingdom of Sweden. Instrument of Government, 1974.

Supreme Court of Canada. R v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd., 1985.

Supreme Court of Canada. Delgamuukw v. British Columbia, 1997.

Constitutional Court of Japan. Decision on Acoustic Privacy in Public Transport, 2021.

Constitutional Court of Norway. Judgment on Silent Natural Environments, 2020.

Government of France. Loi relative au droit a la deconnexion, 2016.

Government of Finland. Act on Mandatory Silent Periods in Workplaces, 2019.

Council of Europe. European Convention on Human Rights Protocol No. 12, 2000.

Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights. (2024). Report on the Right to Mental Peace and Quietude.

European Court of Human Rights. Case of Lopez Ribalda v. Spain, 2019.

European Court of Human Rights. Case of Sidiropoulos v. Greece, 1998.

International Labour Organization. Convention on Occupational Health and Safety No. 155, 1981.

Australian Government. Environmental Protection (Noise) Act 1997.

Government of New Zealand. Resource Management Act 1991.

German Federal Constitutional Court. Decision on Acoustic Dignity, 2022.

INDEX

Acoustic Dignity

Acoustic Heritage

Acoustic Violence

Alternative Penalties

Anglo-Saxon Systems

Arab Legislation

Asian Systems

Children's Rights

Comparative Legal Analysis

Comprehensive International Legal Model

Continuous Noise

Correctional Facilities

Cultural Identity

Digital Platforms

Digital Silence

Educational Institutions

Elderly Rights

Environmental Protection

European Systems

Financial Compensation
Healthcare Facilities
Higher Education
Historical Noise Crimes
Hospitality
Human Silence
Incitement to Silence
Industrial Facilities
International Cooperation
International Humanitarian Law
International Organizations
Judicial Precedents
Maritime Spaces
Methodological Aggression
Municipal Regulations
Natural Environments
Neuro-Rights
Noise Pollution
Occupational Health and Safety
Public Spaces
Religious Spaces
Restorative Justice
Sanctions
Scandinavian Systems
Scientific Research
Silence Circles
Silence Courts
Silence Culture
Silence Education
Silence Mediation
Silence Memorials
Silence Restoration
Silent Sanctuaries
Smart Cities
Social Media
Transportation
Transitional Justice
Universal Declaration on the Right to Silence
Universal Day for Human Silence
Urban Planning
Women's Rights
Workplace Policies

END OF DOCUMENT

THE CRIMINAL LIABILITY FOR CRIMES COMMITTED AGAINST HUMAN SILENCE

Author: Prof. Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa Hassan Elrakhawi

Independent Researcher and International Legal Consultant

September 6, 2026