

THE EL-RAKHAWI VISION
The Fabric of Existence
Time, Temporality, and History in

the Mirror of Civilizational Sovereignty

Author: Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa EL-RAKHAWI

Affiliation: Independent Researcher

Location: Cairo, Egypt

Date: September 7, 2026

Digital Object Identifier

10.5281/zenodo.22546040

Permanent URL: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22545100>

Reference Code: ELR-FABRIC-EXIST-2026-002-EN

Version: 2.0 - Ultimate Corrected and Expanded Masterpiece Edition

DEDICATION

To the seekers of truth who have looked into the abyss of time and found not emptiness, but the fullness of being.

To the historians who understood that history is not merely a record of events, but the memory of humanity, the conscience of civilization, and the mirror in which we see our own faces reflected across the ages.

To the philosophers who dared to ask what it means to exist in time, to be thrown into a world that was here before us and will remain after us, and to find meaning in the fleeting moment.

To the ancestors whose lives are woven into the fabric of our present, whose struggles, dreams, and sacrifices constitute the temporal foundation upon which we stand.

To the generations yet unborn, who will inherit the history we write today, and who will judge us by the wisdom with which we navigated the currents of time.

This work is dedicated to the proposition that time is not a container in which we live, but the very structure of our existence; that temporality is not a measurement, but the mode of our being; and that history is not a dead past, but a living presence that shapes our destiny.

AUTHOR'S WORD

In the name of Allah, the First and the Last, the Eternal and the Ever-Living, the Lord of Time and the Master of History.

I write this treatise at a moment when humanity stands at a crossroads of temporal consciousness. We live in an age of accelerated time, where the past seems distant, the future uncertain, and the present fragmented. We are overwhelmed by information, yet starved for meaning. We have mastered the measurement of time, from atomic clocks to cosmic epochs, yet we have lost the experience of temporality, the deep sense of being-in-time.

This treatise proposes a radical rethinking of the relationship between time, temporality, and history. It argues that these are not three separate concepts, but three dimensions of a single reality: the reality of human existence. Time is the physical dimension, the metric of change. Temporality is the existential dimension, the structure of our being as past-present-future. History is the narrative dimension, the story we tell ourselves about who we are and where we are going.

The work draws upon the deepest insights of phenomenology, particularly the work of Martin Heidegger, who showed that time is not external to us but constitutive of our being. It integrates the historical philosophy of Ibn Khaldun, who revealed the cyclical patterns of civilizational rise and fall. It engages with the physics of time, from Newton's absolute time to Einstein's relativity, quantum gravity, and the thermodynamic arrow of entropy. It incorporates the neuroscience of time perception and the sociology of temporal experience. And it synthesizes these perspectives into a unified framework grounded in the doctrine of chrono-sovereignty.

The treatise is organized around nine parts. Part One examines the nature of time, from ancient myths to modern physics and quantum gravity. Part Two explores temporality, drawing on the phenomenology of Heidegger, the existentialism of Sartre, and the philosophy of duration of Bergson. Part Three analyzes history, not as a linear progression, but as a complex interplay of memory, identity, and power. Part Four introduces the neuroscience and psychology of temporal experience. Part Five presents the concept of Temporal Sovereignty and its implications for individual and civilizational freedom. Part Six presents case studies of civilizational temporality, comparing Western, Islamic, Eastern, and Indigenous approaches. Part Seven examines time in the digital age and the challenges of acceleration. Part Eight offers a vision for the future, a path toward temporal wisdom in an age of acceleration. Part Nine integrates the framework with the broader theoretical synthesis.

This work is addressed to philosophers seeking to understand the nature of being, to historians seeking to uncover the deeper meanings of the past, to scientists seeking to reconcile physical time with human experience, and to every human being who has ever felt the weight of time and sought to find meaning in the flow of history.

May this work serve as a contribution to the recovery of temporal wisdom, and to the emergence of a new era of historical consciousness, grounded in the principles of memory, responsibility, and hope.

Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa EL-RAKHAWI
September 7, 2026

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY AND VOLUNTARY INCOMPLETENESS

This document presents a comprehensive philosophical, historical, scientific, and spiritual framework for understanding the relationship between time, temporality, and history. Certain proprietary mathematical formulations, conceptual models, and interpretive frameworks have been partially obfuscated for intellectual property protection.

The complete technical specifications, including the full computational models for the Temporal Sovereignty Index, the detailed phenomenological analyses, and the proprietary historical methodologies, are available through direct contact with the author.

Any entity, institution, government, or researcher wishing to access the complete framework must contact the author exclusively via: elrakhawimohame@gmail.com

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ABSOLUTE PROTECTION CLAUSE

This document, including all mathematical formulations, philosophical frameworks, historical analyses, conceptual models, and any derivative works, is the exclusive intellectual property of Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa EL-RAKHAWI.

The author asserts his moral and economic rights, full and inalienable, under the Berne Convention, the TRIPS Agreement, and the WIPO Copyright Treaty. All rights of reproduction, distribution, adaptation, and commercial exploitation are exclusively reserved.

SOVEREIGN USE AND ETHICAL RESTRICTION

No State, corporation, academic institution, or commercial entity may use, reproduce, modify, or reverse-engineer this treatise for purposes of distorting historical truth, manipulating temporal consciousness, or undermining the temporal sovereignty of individuals or civilizations. Any authorized use must strictly adhere to the principles of historical integrity, temporal justice, and the protection of human dignity.

APPLICATION

All requests for licensing, research collaboration, or academic citation must be addressed exclusively to: elrakhawimohame@gmail.com

ACADEMIC CITATION

EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). The EL-RAKHAWI Vision: The Fabric of Existence - Time, Temporality, and History in the Mirror of Civilizational Sovereignty. Zenodo.
<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22545100>

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Time, temporality, and history are the three pillars of human existence. Yet they are often treated as separate domains: time by physicists, temporality by philosophers, and history by historians. This fragmentation has led to a profound crisis of meaning in modern life. We measure time with unprecedented precision, yet we feel increasingly alienated from our own temporality. We record history in vast digital archives, yet we struggle to find coherence in the narrative of our civilization.

This treatise proposes a unified framework for understanding these three dimensions. It argues that time is the physical substrate of change, governed by the laws of thermodynamics, relativity, and quantum mechanics. Temporality is the existential structure of human being, characterized by care, projection, and fallenness. History is the narrative construction of meaning, shaped by memory, identity, and power.

The work introduces several original contributions. First, the distinction between Chronos (quantitative time) and Kairos (qualitative time), and the integration of these concepts into a unified theory of temporal existence. Second, the concept of Temporal Sovereignty, the right of individuals and civilizations to control their own temporal rhythms and historical narratives. Third, the Temporal Sovereignty Index, a conceptual framework for assessing the degree to which individuals and civilizations possess temporal autonomy. Fourth, the integration of neuroscience, psychology, and sociology of time with philosophical and historical perspectives. Fifth, the integration of Islamic perspectives on time, history, and divine providence with modern phenomenological and historical thought. Sixth, the connection to the broader doctrine of chrono-sovereignty developed in previous works.

The treatise is organized around nine parts. Part One examines the nature of time, from ancient myths to modern physics and quantum gravity. Part Two explores temporality, drawing on phenomenology and existentialism. Part Three analyzes history, challenging linear narratives and revealing the cyclical and complex patterns of civilizational development. Part Four examines the neuroscience and psychology of temporal experience. Part Five introduces the concept of Temporal Sovereignty and its implications. Part Six presents case studies of different civilizational approaches to time and history. Part Seven examines time in the digital age and the challenges of acceleration. Part Eight offers a vision for the future. Part Nine integrates the framework with the broader theoretical synthesis.

This work does not deny the achievements of modern science or historiography. Rather, it insists on a precise understanding of their deeper significance, recognizing that time is not merely a metric, temporality is not merely a psychological state, and history is not merely a record of events. It acknowledges its own limitations and invites critical engagement, empirical testing, and continuous refinement.

Keywords: Time, Temporality, History, Phenomenology, Heidegger, Ibn Khaldun, Temporal Sovereignty, Chronos, Kairos, Civilizational Destiny, Memory, Identity, Entropy, Historical

Consciousness, Islamic Philosophy of Time, Quantum Time, Neuroscience of Time, Digital Acceleration, Chrono-Sovereignty.

PART ONE: THE NATURE OF TIME

CHAPTER ONE: FROM MYTH TO PHYSICS

1.1 The Mythological Conception of Time

In ancient myths, time was not a linear progression but a cyclical return. The seasons, the stars, the rituals of renewal—all pointed to a cosmic order in which the past was not gone but present, waiting to be reborn. This cyclical time provided a sense of stability and meaning, anchoring human existence in the eternal rhythms of nature.

For the ancient Egyptians, time was divided into Neheh (cyclical time) and Djed (linear time). Neheh was the time of regeneration, associated with the gods and the natural cycles. Djed was the time of permanence, associated with the monuments and the enduring legacy of the pharaohs. This duality reflects a deep insight: time is both change and permanence, both flux and structure.

For the ancient Hindus, time was conceived in vast cosmic cycles called yugas, each lasting millions of years. The current age, Kali Yuga, is characterized by decline and degeneration, but it will eventually give way to a new golden age. This conception provides a sense of cosmic perspective, placing human history within a much larger temporal framework.

1.2 The Greek Distinction: Chronos and Kairos

The Greeks introduced a crucial distinction between two types of time: Chronos and Kairos. Chronos is quantitative time, the measurable succession of moments, the ticking of the clock. It is homogeneous, empty, and indifferent. Kairos is qualitative time, the opportune moment, the right time for action. It is heterogeneous, filled with meaning, and decisive.

This distinction has profound implications for our understanding of human existence. We live in Chronos, measured by clocks and calendars. But we mean in Kairos, in those moments of insight, decision, and transformation that give life its depth and significance. The modern world has privileged Chronos over Kairos, leading to a sense of emptiness and alienation.

The recovery of Kairos in the modern world is essential for temporal wisdom. It requires recognizing that not all moments are equal, that some moments are more significant than others, and that the quality of time matters as much as its quantity.

1.3 The Newtonian Revolution: Absolute Time

Isaac Newton conceived of time as absolute, true, and mathematical, flowing equably without relation to anything external. This conception of time as a universal container allowed for the development of classical mechanics and the prediction of planetary motions. But it also separated time from human experience, reducing it to a mere parameter in equations.

Newton's absolute time dominated scientific thought for two centuries. It provided a stable framework for understanding the physical world, but it failed to account for the subjective experience of time, the way time speeds up or slows down depending on our emotional state, our attention, and our activities.

1.4 The Einsteinian Revolution: Relative Time

Albert Einstein shattered the Newtonian conception of time with his theory of relativity. He showed that time is not absolute but relative, dependent on the observer's frame of reference and the gravitational field. Time dilates near massive objects and at high velocities. Space and time are not separate entities but intertwined in a four-dimensional spacetime continuum.

Einstein's revolution had profound philosophical implications. It showed that time is not a universal background but a dynamic feature of the universe, shaped by matter and energy. It challenged the idea of a universal "now," suggesting that simultaneity is relative. It opened the door to a more flexible and contextual understanding of time.

1.5 The Thermodynamic Arrow: Entropy and Irreversibility

While relativity treats time as symmetric (the laws of physics work equally well forward and backward in time), thermodynamics introduces an arrow of time. The Second Law of Thermodynamics states that entropy, or disorder, tends to increase in isolated systems. This gives time a direction: from past to future, from order to disorder.

The thermodynamic arrow of time is closely linked to the psychological arrow of time (our memory of the past but not the future) and the cosmological arrow of time (the expansion of the universe). It suggests that time is not just a dimension but a process of becoming, of irreversible change.

This insight has profound implications for our understanding of history. History is not reversible; we cannot undo the past. Every action has consequences that ripple through time. This irreversibility gives history its moral weight, its sense of responsibility.

1.6 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes that time is multifaceted. It is physical (Chronos), existential (Kairos), relative (Einstein), and directional (Entropy). It is not a single entity but a complex phenomenon that requires multiple perspectives to understand. It recognizes that the modern crisis of time stems from the fragmentation of these perspectives, and that a unified understanding is necessary for temporal wisdom.

CHAPTER TWO: THE PHYSICS OF TIME

2.1 The Block Universe

Some interpretations of relativity suggest a "block universe," in which past, present, and future all exist simultaneously. In this view, time is an illusion, a product of our limited perspective. We

move through the block, experiencing moments sequentially, but the entire timeline is already there.

This view has profound implications for free will and determinism. If the future already exists, is our choice an illusion? Is history predetermined? The framework developed in this treatise rejects the block universe as a complete description of reality. It argues that while the block universe may be a useful mathematical model, it fails to capture the experiential reality of becoming, of the open future, and of human agency.

2.2 Quantum Time

Quantum mechanics introduces further complexities to our understanding of time. At the quantum level, time may not be continuous but discrete, composed of tiny units called "chronons." Some theories suggest that time may emerge from more fundamental quantum processes, rather than being a basic feature of reality.

The relationship between quantum mechanics and general relativity remains one of the biggest unsolved problems in physics. A theory of quantum gravity may reveal a deeper nature of time, perhaps showing that time is not fundamental but emergent.

Loop quantum gravity, developed by Carlo Rovelli and others, suggests that at the fundamental level, there is no time. Time emerges only at the macroscopic level, as a statistical feature of the thermal state of the universe. This radical view challenges our deepest intuitions about the nature of time.

2.3 String Theory and Multiple Dimensions

String theory suggests that the universe has more than four dimensions, with additional spatial dimensions curled up at tiny scales. Some versions of string theory suggest the existence of multiple universes, each with its own timeline. This raises profound questions about the nature of time: is our timeline the only one, or are there many?

These speculations, while still unproven, open up new possibilities for understanding time. They suggest that time may be more flexible, more plural, and more mysterious than we have imagined.

2.4 The Psychological Experience of Time

Physical time is not the same as psychological time. We experience time differently depending on our state of mind. When we are engaged in meaningful activity, time seems to fly. When we are bored or anxious, time seems to drag. This subjective experience of time is not an illusion but a real feature of human existence.

Neuroscience has shown that time perception is mediated by multiple brain systems, including the prefrontal cortex, the basal ganglia, and the cerebellum. These systems integrate sensory information, memory, and attention to construct our experience of time.

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes the validity of psychological time. It argues that our experience of time is not merely a distortion of physical time but a reflection of our existential structure. It is shaped by our attention, our emotions, our memories, and our projections. It is a key component of temporality.

2.5 The Social Construction of Time

Time is also socially constructed. Different cultures have different ways of measuring and experiencing time. Some cultures emphasize punctuality and efficiency (monochronic time), while others emphasize relationships and flexibility (polychronic time). These social constructions of time shape our behavior, our values, and our sense of identity.

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes the social dimension of time. It argues that time is not just a physical or psychological phenomenon but a cultural one. It is shaped by language, institutions, and practices. It is a key component of historical consciousness.

2.6 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise integrates physical, psychological, and social time. It recognizes that time is a complex phenomenon that cannot be reduced to a single perspective. It argues that a holistic understanding of time is necessary for temporal wisdom, for navigating the complexities of modern life, and for finding meaning in the flow of history.

CHAPTER THREE: QUANTUM GRAVITY AND THE ORIGINS OF TIME

3.1 The Problem of Quantum Gravity

The unification of general relativity and quantum mechanics is the greatest unsolved problem in theoretical physics. General relativity describes gravity as the curvature of spacetime, while quantum mechanics describes the behavior of particles at the smallest scales. These two theories are fundamentally incompatible, and their reconciliation requires a theory of quantum gravity.

Several approaches to quantum gravity have been developed, including string theory, loop quantum gravity, and causal set theory. Each of these approaches has different implications for the nature of time.

3.2 Loop Quantum Gravity and Timeless Physics

Loop quantum gravity, developed by Carlo Rovelli and others, suggests that at the fundamental level, spacetime is not continuous but discrete, composed of tiny loops of quantum geometry. In this theory, the fundamental equations do not contain time as a variable. Time emerges only at the macroscopic level, as a statistical feature of the thermal state of the universe.

This view has profound philosophical implications. It suggests that time is not a fundamental feature of reality but an emergent one. It challenges our deepest intuitions about the nature of time and existence. It opens up new possibilities for understanding the relationship between time, temporality, and history.

3.3 The Thermal Time Hypothesis

Rovelli has proposed the "thermal time hypothesis," which suggests that time emerges from the thermal state of the universe. In this view, time is not a fundamental feature of reality but a macroscopic approximation, arising from the statistical behavior of large numbers of quantum events.

This hypothesis has profound implications for our understanding of the origin of time. It suggests that time did not exist at the moment of the Big Bang, but emerged only later, as the universe cooled and expanded. It challenges the traditional notion of time as a fundamental feature of reality.

3.4 The Implications for History

The quantum gravity perspective on time has profound implications for our understanding of history. If time is not fundamental but emergent, then history is not a fundamental feature of reality but an emergent one. It suggests that history is a product of the macroscopic behavior of the universe, not a fundamental feature of existence.

This does not diminish the importance of history, but it places it in a new context. It suggests that history is a product of the complex interactions of countless quantum events, a narrative that emerges from the underlying quantum reality.

3.5 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes the insights of quantum gravity for our understanding of time. It recognizes that time may not be fundamental but emergent, that it may be a product of the thermal state of the universe. It integrates these insights with phenomenological and historical perspectives to create a comprehensive theory of temporal existence.

PART TWO: THE STRUCTURE OF TEMPORALITY

CHAPTER FOUR: HEIDEGGER AND BEING-IN-TIME

4.1 Dasein and Care

Martin Heidegger, in his masterpiece *Being and Time*, argued that time is not something we observe but something we are. Human beings, or Dasein, are fundamentally temporal. Our being is structured by care (Sorge), by our concern for our own existence and the world around us.

Care involves three temporal dimensions: having-been (past), making-present (present), and coming-towards (future). We are thrown into a world that was here before us (past). We engage with the world in the present (present). We project ourselves into possibilities (future). These three dimensions are not separate but intertwined in a unity that Heidegger calls temporality.

4.2 Authenticity and Inauthenticity

Heidegger distinguished between authentic and inauthentic temporality. Inauthentic temporality is characterized by fallenness, by losing oneself in the crowd, by fleeing from the anxiety of one's own mortality. It is a life lived in the "they," following the conventions and expectations of society.

Authentic temporality is characterized by resoluteness, by facing one's own mortality, by taking responsibility for one's own existence. It is a life lived in anticipation of death, recognizing that life is finite and that every moment is precious. It is a life lived in accordance with one's own potentialities, not those of the crowd.

4.3 The Horizon of Time

For Heidegger, time is the horizon for the understanding of being. We can only understand what it means to be because we are temporal. Our understanding of being is always projected into the future, rooted in the past, and enacted in the present. Time is not just a feature of our existence but the condition for the possibility of understanding anything at all.

This insight has profound implications for philosophy, psychology, and history. It suggests that we cannot understand human beings without understanding their temporal structure. It suggests that history is not just a record of events but a disclosure of being. It suggests that philosophy is not just abstract speculation but a way of coming to terms with our own temporality.

4.4 The Critique of Metaphysics

Heidegger criticized the Western metaphysical tradition for forgetting the question of being, for treating being as a static presence rather than a dynamic process. He argued that this forgetfulness of being is rooted in a forgetfulness of time, in a conception of time as a series of now-points rather than a unified horizon.

The framework developed in this treatise adopts Heidegger's critique. It argues that the modern crisis of meaning is rooted in a metaphysical forgetfulness of time, in a reduction of temporality to chronology. It calls for a return to the question of being, to a recognition of the temporal structure of human existence.

4.5 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes Heidegger's contribution to our understanding of temporality. It recognizes that human beings are fundamentally temporal, that our being is structured by care, and that time is the horizon for the understanding of being. It integrates this insight with other perspectives to create a comprehensive theory of temporal existence.

CHAPTER FIVE: SARTRE AND THE PROJECT

5.1 Existence Precedes Essence

Jean-Paul Sartre, in his existentialist philosophy, argued that existence precedes essence. Human beings are not defined by a fixed nature but by their choices and actions. We are condemned to be free, forced to create our own meaning in a meaningless world.

This freedom is rooted in temporality. We are not determined by our past but by our projection into the future. We are what we make of ourselves through our projects. The past is facticity, the given conditions of our existence. The future is transcendence, the possibilities we choose to realize. The present is the tension between facticity and transcendence.

5.2 Anguish and Responsibility

Sartre recognized that freedom brings anguish, the anxiety of realizing that we are responsible for our own existence. We cannot blame our circumstances, our upbringing, or our society. We are alone in our freedom, forced to choose and to bear the consequences of our choices.

This anguish is not a pathological state but a normal feature of human existence. It is the price of freedom. It is the recognition that we are the authors of our own lives, that we are creating our own essence through our actions. It is a call to authenticity, to taking responsibility for our own existence.

5.3 The Look and the Other

Sartre also analyzed the role of the Other in shaping our temporality. The look of the Other objectifies us, turning us into things. It limits our freedom, imposing definitions and expectations on us. We struggle to reclaim our subjectivity, to assert our own freedom against the objectifying gaze of the Other.

This struggle is a temporal struggle. It is a struggle to define our own future, to resist the definitions imposed by the past and by the Other. It is a struggle for temporal sovereignty, for the right to determine our own temporal rhythm and historical narrative.

5.4 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes Sartre's contribution to our understanding of temporality. It recognizes that human beings are free, that we are defined by our projects, and that we are responsible for our own existence. It integrates this insight with Heidegger's phenomenology and other perspectives to create a comprehensive theory of temporal existence.

CHAPTER SIX: BERGSON AND DURATION

6.1 Spatialized Time vs. Duration

Henri Bergson distinguished between spatialized time (Chronos) and duration (Durée). Spatialized time is measurable, divisible, and homogeneous. It is the time of clocks and calendars, of science and technology. Duration is qualitative, indivisible, and heterogeneous. It is the flow of consciousness, the interpenetration of past and present.

Bergson argued that spatialized time is an abstraction, a useful fiction for practical purposes, but not the real nature of time. Real time is duration, the continuous flow of experience, in which past and present interpenetrate and influence each other.

6.2 Memory and the Virtual Past

Bergson developed a sophisticated theory of memory, distinguishing between habit memory (the mechanical repetition of past actions) and pure memory (the preservation of all past experiences in a virtual state). Pure memory is not a storage of past images but a virtual presence of the past in the present, ready to be actualized in response to present needs.

This theory has profound implications for our understanding of temporality. It suggests that the past is not gone but preserved, that it continues to influence the present, that it is a living presence in our experience. It challenges the notion of time as a series of discrete moments, suggesting instead that time is a continuous flow in which past, present, and future are intertwined.

6.3 Creative Evolution

Bergson applied his philosophy of time to the question of evolution, arguing that evolution is not a mechanical process but a creative one. Life is driven by an *élan vital*, a vital impulse that constantly creates new forms, new possibilities, new ways of being.

This view has profound implications for our understanding of history. It suggests that history is not predetermined but open, that it is a process of continuous creation, that the future is not fixed but emergent. It challenges the notion of historical determinism, suggesting instead that history is a product of creative freedom.

6.4 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes Bergson's contribution to our understanding of temporality. It recognizes that time is not just a spatialized metric but a qualitative duration, that the past is not gone but virtually present, and that history is a process of creative evolution. It integrates these insights with Heidegger's phenomenology and other perspectives to create a comprehensive theory of temporal existence.

CHAPTER SEVEN: THE PHENOMENOLOGY OF MEMORY

7.1 Ricoeur and Narrative Identity

Paul Ricoeur argued that identity is not a static substance but a narrative construction. We construct our identity through the stories we tell about ourselves, through the integration of our past experiences into a coherent narrative. This narrative identity is temporal, linking past, present, and future in a meaningful whole.

Ricoeur distinguished between *idem*-identity (sameness) and *ipse*-identity (selfhood). *Idem*-identity is the persistence of characteristics over time. *Ipse*-identity is the fidelity to one's word,

the commitment to one's promises. It is a temporal identity, maintained through time by acts of faithfulness.

7.2 Halbwachs and Collective Memory

Maurice Halbwachs argued that memory is not just individual but collective. We remember through our social groups, through the frameworks provided by our family, our community, and our culture. Collective memory is the shared past of a group, the basis of its identity and cohesion.

Collective memory is not a faithful record of the past but a reconstruction in the present. It is shaped by present needs, interests, and power relations. It is a site of struggle, where different groups compete to define the past and to legitimize their present claims.

7.3 Nora and the Sites of Memory

Pierre Nora distinguished between memory and history. Memory is living, embodied, and subjective. History is critical, objective, and detached. In modern societies, memory has been replaced by history, and the living connection to the past has been lost.

Nora identified "sites of memory" (*lieux de mémoire*), places where the past is preserved and commemorated: monuments, museums, archives, rituals. These sites are attempts to maintain a connection to the past in a world where living memory has been lost.

7.4 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes the importance of memory in constructing temporal identity. It recognizes that memory is not just a storage of the past but an active reconstruction in the present. It recognizes that identity is a narrative construction, linking past, present, and future in a meaningful whole. It integrates these insights into a comprehensive theory of temporal existence.

PART THREE: THE NARRATIVE OF HISTORY

CHAPTER EIGHT: HISTORY AS MEMORY AND POWER

8.1 The Invention of History

History is not a natural given but a human invention. It is the practice of recording, interpreting, and narrating the past. It emerged in ancient Greece with Herodotus and Thucydides, who sought to explain the causes of events and to preserve the memory of great deeds.

But history is not neutral. It is shaped by the perspectives, interests, and power of the historians. It is a selection of facts, a construction of narratives, a legitimization of present orders. It is a site of struggle, where different groups compete to define the past and to control the future.

8.2 Linear vs. Cyclical History

Western history has often been conceived as linear, progressing from primitive to civilized, from darkness to light. This linear narrative is rooted in Judeo-Christian theology, with its story of creation, fall, and redemption. It is also reinforced by the Enlightenment belief in progress.

Other cultures have conceived of history as cyclical, with civilizations rising and falling in regular patterns. This cyclical view is found in Hinduism, Buddhism, and the work of Ibn Khaldun. It emphasizes the impermanence of power, the inevitability of decline, and the possibility of renewal.

The framework developed in this treatise rejects both pure linearity and pure cyclicity. It argues that history is complex, with elements of both progress and recurrence. It is a spiral, moving forward but also returning to similar patterns. It is open to novelty but also constrained by structural factors.

8.3 Foucault and Genealogy

Michel Foucault challenged the traditional notion of history as a continuous progression. He proposed genealogy, a method of tracing the discontinuous, contingent, and power-laden origins of present practices and institutions. Genealogy reveals the hidden struggles, the suppressed voices, and the arbitrary foundations of what we take for granted.

Foucault's genealogy shows that history is not a smooth narrative but a fragmented landscape of power relations. It is a history of the present, revealing how the past shapes our current constraints and possibilities. It is a critical tool for emancipation, for exposing the contingencies of power and for opening up new possibilities for the future.

8.4 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes history as a narrative construction, shaped by memory, power, and perspective. It recognizes that history is not neutral but political, not continuous but fragmented. It integrates these insights into a comprehensive theory of historical consciousness.

CHAPTER NINE: IBN KHALDUN AND CIVILIZATIONAL CYCLES

9.1 The Muqaddimah

Ibn Khaldun, in his masterpiece *The Muqaddimah*, developed a sophisticated theory of civilizational rise and fall. He argued that civilizations go through a natural life cycle, from birth to growth to maturity to decline to death. This cycle is driven by social, economic, and political factors.

Central to Ibn Khaldun's theory is the concept of *Asabiyyah*, or social cohesion. *Asabiyyah* is the bond that holds a group together, the sense of solidarity and shared purpose. It is strongest in nomadic societies, where survival depends on cooperation. It weakens in sedentary societies, where luxury and individualism erode social bonds.

9.2 The Role of Luxury and Corruption

Ibn Khaldun argued that luxury and corruption are the main causes of civilizational decline. As a civilization becomes wealthy and powerful, it becomes complacent and corrupt. The ruling class becomes detached from the people, losing its *Asabiyyah*. The military becomes weak, unable to defend the civilization from external threats.

This decline is not inevitable but probable. It can be slowed or reversed by reforms, by a return to simplicity, by a renewal of *Asabiyyah*. But eventually, all civilizations decline, making way for new ones with stronger *Asabiyyah*.

9.3 The Temporal Dimension of Ibn Khaldun's Theory

Ibn Khaldun's theory has a profound temporal dimension. He recognized that civilizations have a natural lifespan, typically three to four generations. Each generation has its own temporal rhythm, its own relationship to the past and the future.

The first generation, the founders, have a strong connection to the past and a clear vision for the future. They are motivated by *Asabiyyah* and a sense of mission. The second generation, the inheritors, maintain the institutions but begin to lose the original vision. The third generation, the complacent, become detached from both past and future, living only for the present. The fourth generation, the decadent, squander the legacy and prepare the way for decline.

This temporal analysis provides a powerful tool for understanding civilizational dynamics. It suggests that the health of a civilization depends on its ability to maintain a connection to the past while projecting into the future, on its ability to balance tradition and innovation, on its ability to maintain *Asabiyyah* across generations.

9.4 The Relevance for Today

Ibn Khaldun's theory is highly relevant for today. It helps us understand the rise and fall of empires, the dynamics of social change, and the challenges of maintaining social cohesion in complex societies. It warns against the dangers of luxury, corruption, and detachment from the people.

The framework developed in this treatise integrates Ibn Khaldun's insights with modern historical and sociological thought. It recognizes the importance of social cohesion, the dangers of inequality, and the cyclical patterns of civilizational development. It uses Ibn Khaldun's framework to analyze contemporary global trends.

9.5 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes Ibn Khaldun as a founding father of sociology and historical philosophy. It recognizes his theory of civilizational cycles as a powerful tool for understanding historical change. It integrates his insights into a comprehensive theory of historical consciousness.

CHAPTER TEN: THE CRISIS OF HISTORICAL CONSCIOUSNESS

10.1 The Loss of Grand Narratives

Jean-François Lyotard declared the end of grand narratives, the large-scale stories that gave meaning to history, such as progress, liberation, and enlightenment. In the postmodern age, these narratives have lost their credibility. We no longer believe in a single, universal story of human development.

This loss of grand narratives has led to a crisis of historical consciousness. We no longer know who we are, where we are going, or what our purpose is. We are fragmented into smaller identities, competing narratives, and local truths. We are adrift in a sea of information, lacking a compass to guide us.

10.2 The Commodification of History

History has become a commodity, consumed for entertainment rather than understood for meaning. Museums, documentaries, and historical novels package the past for mass consumption, simplifying complex events and sanitizing uncomfortable truths. History becomes a spectacle, not a source of wisdom.

This commodification of history undermines our ability to learn from the past. It prevents us from engaging with the difficult questions of responsibility, guilt, and justice. It turns history into a distraction, not a mirror.

10.3 The Politicization of History

History has become a weapon in political struggles. Different groups use history to legitimize their claims, to demonize their opponents, and to mobilize their supporters. History is rewritten, distorted, and manipulated to serve present interests.

This politicization of history undermines trust in historical knowledge. It creates conflicting narratives, polarized communities, and historical amnesia. It prevents us from having a shared understanding of the past, which is necessary for a shared future.

10.4 The Digital Challenge to Historical Consciousness

The digital age has created new challenges for historical consciousness. The internet has made vast amounts of historical information available, but it has also created an environment of information overload, where it is difficult to distinguish truth from falsehood. Social media has created echo chambers, where people are exposed only to information that confirms their existing beliefs.

The digital age has also created new forms of historical amnesia. The constant flow of new information makes it difficult to maintain a connection to the past. The ephemeral nature of digital media means that much of our cultural heritage is at risk of being lost.

10.5 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes the crisis of historical consciousness. It recognizes the loss of grand narratives, the commodification of history, the politicization of history, and the digital challenges to historical consciousness. It calls for a renewal of historical wisdom, for a critical engagement with the past, and for a responsible construction of the future.

PART FOUR: THE NEUROSCIENCE AND PSYCHOLOGY OF TIME

CHAPTER ELEVEN: THE BRAIN AND TIME PERCEPTION

11.1 The Neural Basis of Time Perception

Time perception is mediated by multiple brain systems. The prefrontal cortex is involved in the cognitive aspects of time perception, such as estimating durations and planning for the future. The basal ganglia are involved in the automatic timing of movements and the perception of short intervals. The cerebellum is involved in the coordination of timed movements and the perception of very short intervals.

The hippocampus, the brain region responsible for memory formation, is also crucial for time perception. It allows us to remember the order of events and to reconstruct the temporal structure of our experiences. Damage to the hippocampus can lead to deficits in time perception and memory.

11.2 The Psychology of Time Perception

Psychological research has revealed many factors that influence time perception. Attention is one of the most important: when we pay more attention to time, it seems to pass more slowly. Emotion also plays a role: negative emotions like fear and anxiety can make time seem to slow down, while positive emotions can make it seem to speed up.

Age is another important factor. Children perceive time as passing more slowly than adults, because they have fewer reference points for measuring time. As we age, each year represents a smaller proportion of our total life, so time seems to pass more quickly.

11.3 Altered States of Time Perception

Certain altered states of consciousness can dramatically change time perception. In flow states, when we are completely absorbed in an activity, time seems to disappear. In meditative states, time can seem to expand or contract. In dream states, time can be highly distorted, with hours seeming to pass in minutes or vice versa.

These altered states provide insights into the nature of time perception. They suggest that time perception is not a fixed feature of consciousness but a flexible construction, shaped by our mental state and our engagement with the world.

11.4 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes the neuroscience and psychology of time perception. It recognizes that time perception is a complex construction, mediated by multiple

brain systems and influenced by many factors. It integrates these insights into a comprehensive theory of temporal existence.

CHAPTER TWELVE: THE SOCIOLOGY OF TIME

12.1 The Social Organization of Time

Time is not just a personal experience but a social organization. Different societies have different ways of organizing time, different calendars, different work schedules, different rhythms of daily life. These social organizations of time shape our behavior, our values, and our sense of identity.

Industrial society introduced a new organization of time, based on the clock and the factory schedule. This organization of time was designed to maximize productivity and efficiency, but it also created new forms of alienation and stress. The separation of work time from leisure time, the rigid scheduling of daily activities, and the constant pressure to be productive have created a sense of time scarcity and anxiety.

12.2 The Acceleration of Modern Life

Modern life is characterized by acceleration, by the constant speeding up of social, technological, and cultural change. Hartmut Rosa has argued that acceleration is the defining feature of modernity, creating a sense of time pressure and a loss of resonance with the world.

Acceleration takes three forms: technological acceleration (the speeding up of transportation, communication, and production), social acceleration (the speeding up of social change, including the pace of life and the rate of obsolescence), and the acceleration of the pace of life (the feeling that we have less and less time to do more and more).

12.3 The Digital Acceleration

The digital age has intensified the acceleration of modern life. The internet, smartphones, and social media have created a culture of constant connectivity, where we are always available, always responding, always consuming information. This digital acceleration has created new forms of stress, anxiety, and burnout.

The digital age has also created new forms of temporal fragmentation. We are constantly switching between tasks, between devices, between platforms. This fragmentation undermines our ability to engage in deep, sustained attention, to enter into flow states, to experience the fullness of the present moment.

12.4 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes the sociology of time. It recognizes that time is a social organization, that modern life is characterized by acceleration, and that the digital age has intensified this acceleration. It integrates these insights into a comprehensive theory of temporal existence.

PART FIVE: TEMPORAL SOVEREIGNTY

CHAPTER THIRTEEN: THE CONCEPT OF TEMPORAL SOVEREIGNTY

13.1 Defining Temporal Sovereignty

Temporal sovereignty is the right of individuals and civilizations to control their own temporal rhythms and historical narratives. It is the capacity to determine how time is experienced, how history is remembered, and how the future is imagined.

Temporal sovereignty is not just a personal preference but a political right. It is the right to resist the imposition of external temporal regimes, such as colonial time, capitalist time, or digital time. It is the right to assert one's own temporal identity, to preserve one's own historical memory, and to shape one's own future.

13.2 Individual Temporal Sovereignty

At the individual level, temporal sovereignty is the capacity to manage one's own time, to prioritize one's own values, and to resist the pressures of acceleration. It is the ability to say no to the demands of the clock, to create spaces of slowness, and to cultivate deep attention.

Individual temporal sovereignty is threatened by the digital economy, which commodifies attention and accelerates the pace of life. It is threatened by the culture of busyness, which equates worth with productivity. It is threatened by the anxiety of missing out, which keeps us constantly connected and distracted.

13.3 Civilizational Temporal Sovereignty

At the civilizational level, temporal sovereignty is the capacity to maintain one's own temporal rhythms, to preserve one's own historical memory, and to imagine one's own future. It is the ability to resist cultural imperialism, to assert one's own values, and to define one's own path of development.

Civilizational temporal sovereignty is threatened by globalization, which imposes a uniform temporal regime based on Western norms. It is threatened by digital colonialism, which extracts data and shapes behavior. It is threatened by historical amnesia, which erodes identity and cohesion.

13.4 The Connection to Chrono-Sovereignty

The concept of temporal sovereignty is closely connected to the broader doctrine of chrono-sovereignty developed in previous works. Chrono-sovereignty is the principle that present actions must respect the sovereignty of future generations over their own time. It requires that present actions not impose undue burdens on future generations, that present actions preserve the options and opportunities of future generations, and that present actions consider the long-term effects on future generations.

Temporal sovereignty extends chrono-sovereignty to the present, recognizing that individuals and civilizations have the right to control their own temporal rhythms and historical narratives in the present, not just in the future. It recognizes that temporal sovereignty is a fundamental right, essential for human dignity and civilizational flourishing.

13.5 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes temporal sovereignty as a fundamental right. It recognizes that time is not neutral but political, that history is not objective but constructed, and that the future is not predetermined but chosen. It calls for the defense of temporal sovereignty at both individual and civilizational levels.

CHAPTER FOURTEEN: THE TEMPORAL SOVEREIGNTY INDEX

14.1 The Need for a Metric

Assessing the degree of temporal sovereignty requires a conceptual framework. Existing metrics focus on economic or political indicators, neglecting the temporal dimension. The Temporal Sovereignty Index fills this gap, providing a comprehensive conceptual model for assessing temporal autonomy.

I must emphasize that this is a working hypothesis, not a proven scientific law. It is intended to guide strategic thinking and ethical reflection, and it remains open to refinement and testing.

14.2 The Conceptual Formulation

The Temporal Sovereignty Index (TSI) is defined as a function of several factors:

TSI equals a function of Temporal Autonomy, Historical Awareness, Future Orientation, Resistance to Acceleration, Narrative Coherence, and Intergenerational Justice.

Where:

Temporal Autonomy is measured as the degree to which individuals or civilizations can control their own temporal rhythms, normalized from zero to one.

Historical Awareness is measured as the degree to which individuals or civilizations understand and value their own history, normalized from zero to one.

Future Orientation is measured as the degree to which individuals or civilizations have a clear and positive vision of the future, normalized from zero to one.

Resistance to Acceleration is measured as the degree to which individuals or civilizations can resist the pressures of speed and efficiency, normalized from zero to one.

Narrative Coherence is measured as the degree to which individuals or civilizations have a coherent and meaningful narrative of their past, present, and future, normalized from zero to one.

Intergenerational Justice is measured as the degree to which individuals or civilizations consider the rights and interests of future generations in their decisions, normalized from zero to one.

A simplified operational form might be:

TSI equals the product of Temporal Autonomy, Historical Awareness, Future Orientation, Resistance to Acceleration, Narrative Coherence, and Intergenerational Justice.

This formulation captures the balance between internal capacities and external pressures. A high TSI indicates strong temporal sovereignty; a low TSI indicates temporal vulnerability.

14.3 Interpretation and Application

The TSI can be applied to different individuals, communities, and civilizations. It can be used to benchmark performance, identify vulnerabilities, and guide strategic decision-making.

Applying the TSI to different contexts based on illustrative calculations:

Western societies show moderate TSI, with high temporal autonomy but low resistance to acceleration.

Islamic societies show variable TSI, with high historical awareness but varying degrees of temporal autonomy.

Eastern societies show moderate TSI, with high future orientation but varying degrees of historical awareness.

Indigenous societies show high TSI in some dimensions (historical awareness, resistance to acceleration) but low in others (temporal autonomy, future orientation in Western terms).

These illustrative calculations suggest that temporal sovereignty varies dramatically across contexts. The framework can be used to identify specific areas where temporal sovereignty can be strengthened.

14.4 Limitations and Future Research

The TSI is a conceptual model, not a proven scientific law. It requires empirical validation, refinement, and testing. Future research should develop more precise measures for each factor, test the hypothesis against empirical data, refine the model based on empirical findings, and explore the interactions between factors.

14.5 Temporal Sovereignty as a Civilizational Imperative

The framework recognizes temporal sovereignty as a civilizational imperative. The degree to which a civilization possesses temporal sovereignty is a measure of its health, resilience, and vitality. The lack of temporal sovereignty therefore represents a fundamental threat to civilizational survival.

The solution requires not merely individual efforts but collective action. It requires the cultivation of temporal awareness, the preservation of historical memory, and the resistance to acceleration. It requires the cultivation of social institutions that respect temporal diversity and promote temporal justice.

PART SIX: CASE STUDIES IN CIVILIZATIONAL TEMPORALITY

CHAPTER FIFTEEN: THE WESTERN APPROACH

15.1 Linear Progress and Acceleration

The Western approach to time and history is characterized by a belief in linear progress and a drive for acceleration. Time is seen as a resource to be optimized, history as a story of improvement, and the future as a realm of endless possibility.

This approach has produced remarkable technological and economic achievements. But it has also created significant problems: environmental degradation, social fragmentation, and existential anxiety. The drive for acceleration has led to a sense of time scarcity, a loss of depth, and a disconnection from the past.

15.2 The Crisis of Meaning

The Western world is currently experiencing a crisis of meaning. The grand narratives of progress and enlightenment have lost their credibility. People are searching for new sources of meaning, new ways of connecting with the past and imagining the future.

This crisis is a temporal crisis. It is a crisis of historical consciousness, of temporal identity, and of future orientation. It is a call for a new temporal wisdom, for a rethinking of the relationship between time, temporality, and history.

15.3 Recommendations for the West

The framework proposes several recommendations for the West:

Slow down: Resist the pressures of acceleration, create spaces of slowness, and cultivate deep attention.

Reconnect with the past: Engage with history critically, learn from mistakes, and preserve cultural heritage.

Reimagine the future: Develop a positive and sustainable vision of the future, based on ecological balance and social justice.

Promote temporal justice: Ensure equitable distribution of time, protect workers' rights, and support work-life balance.

CHAPTER SIXTEEN: THE ISLAMIC APPROACH

16.1 Cyclical Renewal and Divine Providence

The Islamic approach to time and history is characterized by a belief in cyclical renewal and divine providence. Time is seen as a trust from God, history as a test of faith, and the future as a matter of divine decree and human effort.

This approach provides a sense of stability and meaning. It anchors human existence in the eternal truths of revelation, while allowing for flexibility and adaptation to changing circumstances. It emphasizes the importance of memory, of preserving the teachings of the Prophet and the examples of the early Muslims.

16.2 The Quranic Vision of Time

The Quran presents a rich vision of time and history. It speaks of time as a divine creation, of history as a series of signs for those who reflect, and of the future as a matter of divine providence and human responsibility.

The Quran distinguishes between different types of time: the absolute time of God, the relative time of human experience, and the eschatological time of the Day of Judgment. It recognizes that time is both a gift and a test, a opportunity for growth and a reminder of mortality.

The Quran also emphasizes the importance of remembering the past, of learning from the examples of previous generations, and of preparing for the future. It calls for a balanced approach to time, one that values both the present moment and the long-term consequences of our actions.

16.3 The Challenge of Modernity

The Islamic world is currently facing the challenge of modernity. The traditional temporal rhythms are being disrupted by globalization, urbanization, and digitalization. There is a tension between preserving traditional values and adapting to modern realities.

This challenge is a temporal challenge. It is a challenge of temporal sovereignty, of historical identity, and of future orientation. It is a call for a new Islamic temporal wisdom, for a rethinking of the relationship between tradition and modernity.

16.4 Recommendations for the Islamic World

The framework proposes several recommendations for the Islamic world:

Revive Asabiyyah: Strengthen social cohesion, promote solidarity, and build inclusive communities.

Engage with history critically: Learn from the successes and failures of the past, avoid romanticizing the past, and apply historical lessons to present challenges.

Develop a future vision: Articulate a positive and realistic vision for the future, based on Islamic values and modern knowledge.

Resist temporal colonialism: Protect Islamic temporal rhythms, preserve cultural heritage, and assert Islamic identity in the global arena.

CHAPTER SEVENTEEN: THE EASTERN APPROACH

17.1 Harmony and Balance

The Eastern approach to time and history, particularly in Confucianism, Taoism, and Buddhism, is characterized by a emphasis on harmony and balance. Time is seen as a flow, history as a pattern of change, and the future as a continuation of the present.

This approach provides a sense of peace and acceptance. It encourages adaptation to change, respect for nature, and cultivation of inner stillness. It emphasizes the importance of mindfulness, of being present in the moment, and of letting go of attachment to the past or future.

17.2 The Challenge of Globalization

The Eastern world is also facing the challenge of globalization. The traditional values of harmony and balance are being challenged by the competitive pressures of the global economy. There is a tension between preserving traditional wisdom and embracing modern innovation.

This challenge is a temporal challenge. It is a challenge of temporal identity, of cultural integrity, and of sustainable development. It is a call for a new Eastern temporal wisdom, for a synthesis of traditional values and modern realities.

17.3 Recommendations for the East

The framework proposes several recommendations for the East:

Preserve traditional wisdom: Protect cultural heritage, promote mindfulness, and teach the values of harmony and balance.

Adapt to modernity: Embrace innovation, engage with global issues, and contribute to global solutions.

Promote sustainable development: Balance economic growth with environmental protection and social equity.

Foster intercultural dialogue: Share Eastern temporal wisdom with the world, learn from other traditions, and build bridges of understanding.

CHAPTER EIGHTEEN: THE INDIGENOUS APPROACH

18.1 Cyclical Time and Ancestral Memory

The Indigenous approach to time and history, found in many traditional cultures around the world, is characterized by a deep connection to the land, to the ancestors, and to the cycles of nature. Time is seen as cyclical, history as a living presence, and the future as a continuation of the past.

This approach provides a sense of rootedness and continuity. It emphasizes the importance of remembering the ancestors, of honoring the land, and of maintaining the balance of the natural world. It recognizes that human beings are part of a larger web of life, and that our actions have consequences for future generations.

18.2 The Challenge of Colonialism

Indigenous cultures have faced the challenge of colonialism, which has disrupted their traditional temporal rhythms, erased their historical memory, and imposed foreign temporal regimes. The legacy of colonialism continues to affect Indigenous communities today, in the form of cultural loss, historical trauma, and temporal dislocation.

This challenge is a temporal challenge. It is a challenge of temporal sovereignty, of historical identity, and of cultural survival. It is a call for a recognition of Indigenous temporal wisdom, for a restoration of Indigenous historical memory, and for a respect for Indigenous temporal sovereignty.

18.3 Recommendations for Indigenous Communities

The framework proposes several recommendations for Indigenous communities:

Restore historical memory: Recover traditional knowledge, preserve cultural heritage, and transmit ancestral wisdom to future generations.

Assert temporal sovereignty: Protect traditional temporal rhythms, resist cultural imperialism, and maintain cultural integrity.

Engage in intercultural dialogue: Share Indigenous temporal wisdom with the world, learn from other traditions, and build bridges of understanding.

Promote healing: Address the legacy of colonialism, heal historical trauma, and build resilient communities.

PART SEVEN: TIME IN THE DIGITAL AGE

CHAPTER NINETEEN: THE CHALLENGES OF DIGITAL ACCELERATION

19.1 The Digital Economy of Attention

The digital economy is based on the commodification of attention. Social media platforms, search engines, and other digital services compete for our attention, using sophisticated algorithms to capture and hold our interest. This competition has created an environment of constant distraction, where we are constantly switching between tasks, between devices, between platforms.

This digital economy of attention has profound implications for our experience of time. It fragments our attention, undermines our ability to engage in deep, sustained thought, and creates a sense of time scarcity. It also creates new forms of temporal manipulation, where algorithms shape our experience of time, our perception of the past, and our imagination of the future.

19.2 The Loss of Deep Time

The digital age has created a loss of deep time, a loss of connection to the long-term past and the long-term future. We are constantly bombarded with new information, new stimuli, new demands. This constant flow of the new makes it difficult to maintain a connection to the past, to remember the lessons of history, to imagine the long-term consequences of our actions.

This loss of deep time has profound implications for our historical consciousness, for our sense of identity, and for our ability to make wise decisions. It undermines our ability to learn from the past, to understand the present, and to prepare for the future.

19.3 The Challenge of Digital Colonialism

The digital age has created new forms of colonialism, where powerful corporations and states extract data, shape behavior, and impose their temporal regimes on others. This digital colonialism undermines the temporal sovereignty of individuals and communities, eroding their ability to control their own temporal rhythms and historical narratives.

This challenge is a temporal challenge. It is a challenge of temporal sovereignty, of historical identity, and of cultural integrity. It is a call for a new digital ethics, for a recognition of digital rights, and for a defense of temporal sovereignty in the digital age.

19.4 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes the challenges of digital acceleration. It recognizes the digital economy of attention, the loss of deep time, and the challenge of digital colonialism. It calls for a new digital ethics, for a defense of temporal sovereignty, and for a cultivation of temporal wisdom in the digital age.

CHAPTER TWENTY: TOWARD A DIGITAL TEMPORAL WISDOM

20.1 The Principles of Digital Temporal Wisdom

The framework proposes several principles for cultivating temporal wisdom in the digital age:

The principle of attention sovereignty: Individuals have the right to control their own attention, to resist the manipulation of algorithms, and to cultivate deep, sustained attention.

The principle of historical memory: Individuals and communities have the right to preserve their historical memory, to resist digital amnesia, and to maintain a connection to the past.

The principle of future imagination: Individuals and communities have the right to imagine their own future, to resist digital determinism, and to cultivate a positive and realistic vision of the future.

The principle of temporal justice: The benefits and burdens of digital technologies should be distributed equitably, and the temporal sovereignty of all individuals and communities should be respected.

20.2 The Practices of Digital Temporal Wisdom

The framework proposes several practices for cultivating temporal wisdom in the digital age:

Digital detox: Regularly disconnect from digital devices, create spaces of slowness, and cultivate deep attention.

Historical engagement: Regularly engage with history, learn from the past, and preserve cultural heritage.

Future imagination: Regularly imagine the future, develop a positive and realistic vision, and prepare for long-term challenges.

Mindful use of technology: Use digital technologies mindfully, with awareness of their effects on our experience of time, and with respect for our temporal sovereignty.

20.3 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes the possibility of cultivating temporal wisdom in the digital age. It recognizes the principles and practices of digital temporal wisdom. It calls for a new digital ethics, for a defense of temporal sovereignty, and for a cultivation of temporal wisdom in the digital age.

PART EIGHT: THE FUTURE OF TEMPORAL EXISTENCE

CHAPTER TWENTY-ONE: SCENARIOS FOR THE FUTURE

21.1 Scenario One: Temporal Wisdom

In this scenario, humanity embraces temporal wisdom. We slow down, reconnect with the past, and reimagine the future. We develop a balanced approach to time, valuing both efficiency and depth, both progress and preservation.

We create societies that respect temporal diversity, protect historical memory, and promote sustainable development. We use technology to enhance human flourishing, not to accelerate consumption. We find meaning in the present, learn from the past, and hope for the future.

Probability assessment: This scenario is less probable (30 percent probability) given current trends but represents the most desirable outcome.

21.2 Scenario Two: Temporal Chaos

In this scenario, humanity falls into temporal chaos. Acceleration continues unchecked, leading to burnout, anxiety, and social fragmentation. History is forgotten, distorted, or weaponized. The future is feared, not hoped for.

We live in a world of constant distraction, shallow connections, and existential despair. We are slaves to the clock, victims of algorithmic manipulation, and prisoners of our own anxieties. We lose our sense of identity, our connection to the past, and our hope for the future.

Probability assessment: This scenario is moderately probable (40 percent probability) given current trends and represents a dangerous outcome.

21.3 Scenario Three: Temporal Fragmentation

In this scenario, humanity fragments into different temporal regimes. Some groups embrace slowness and tradition, others embrace speed and innovation. There is little communication or understanding between these groups.

We live in a world of temporal bubbles, where different communities have different experiences of time, different versions of history, and different visions of the future. This fragmentation leads to conflict, misunderstanding, and instability.

Probability assessment: This scenario is moderately probable (30 percent probability) given current geopolitical trends.

21.4 The Roadmap: Strategic Recommendations

The framework proposes a roadmap for achieving temporal wisdom, with specific recommendations for each phase:

Phase One (2026-2030): Awareness. Raise awareness of the importance of temporal sovereignty. Promote education on time, temporality, and history. Encourage critical engagement with digital technologies.

Phase Two (2030-2035): Action. Implement policies to protect temporal sovereignty. Support initiatives for slowness, mindfulness, and historical preservation. Foster intercultural dialogue on temporal issues.

Phase Three (2035-2040): Transformation. Transform social institutions to respect temporal diversity. Create economies that value time over money. Build societies that prioritize human flourishing over economic growth.

Phase Four (2040-2050): Wisdom. Achieve temporal wisdom as a defining feature of civilization. Recognize time as a sacred trust, history as a source of wisdom, and the future as a realm of hope.

CHAPTER TWENTY-TWO: THE PATH FORWARD

22.1 The Challenges of Temporal Existence

Temporal existence presents unprecedented challenges for humanity. We live in an age of acceleration, fragmentation, and uncertainty. We are overwhelmed by information, yet starved for meaning. We have mastered the measurement of time, yet we have lost the experience of temporality.

The challenges include:

The challenge of acceleration: How to resist the pressures of speed and efficiency, and to create spaces of slowness and depth.

The challenge of fragmentation: How to build cohesive communities, preserve historical memory, and foster shared identity.

The challenge of uncertainty: How to navigate the complexities of the future, to make wise decisions, and to maintain hope.

The challenge of digital manipulation: How to protect our temporal sovereignty from the manipulation of algorithms and digital platforms.

The challenge of intergenerational justice: How to ensure that our actions today do not impose undue burdens on future generations.

22.2 The Resources for the Path Forward

Despite these challenges, there are significant resources for the path forward. The philosophical traditions of the world provide rich insights into the nature of time, temporality, and history. The historical records of humanity provide valuable lessons from the past. The spiritual traditions offer guidance for finding meaning in the present.

The resources include:

The philosophical resources: Heidegger's phenomenology, Ibn Khaldun's historical sociology, Bergson's philosophy of duration, and other insights.

The historical resources: The lessons of civilizational rise and fall, the examples of resilience and adaptation, the warnings of decline and collapse.

The spiritual resources: The practices of mindfulness, prayer, and meditation, which help us connect with the present moment and find inner peace.

The scientific resources: The insights of physics, neuroscience, and psychology, which help us understand the nature of time and temporality.

22.3 The Principles for the Path Forward

The framework proposes several principles for the path forward:

The principle of temporal sovereignty: Individuals and civilizations have the right to control their own temporal rhythms and historical narratives.

The principle of historical responsibility: We are responsible for preserving the past, learning from it, and passing it on to future generations.

The principle of future hope: We must maintain a positive and realistic vision of the future, based on sustainability, justice, and human flourishing.

The principle of temporal justice: Time should be distributed equitably, respecting the needs of all people and protecting the vulnerable.

The principle of intergenerational justice: We must consider the rights and interests of future generations in all our decisions.

22.4 The Vision for the Path Forward

The framework offers a vision for the path forward. This vision is of a world in which humanity has recovered temporal wisdom, in which we live in balance with time, in which we honor the past, and in which we hope for the future.

This vision is not a prophecy but a possibility, not a prediction but a proposal, not a certainty but a hope. It is a vision that requires strategic vision, sustained effort, and spiritual guidance. It is a vision that requires recognizing that time is not just a metric but a mystery, that temporality is not just a structure but a gift, and that history is not just a record but a story.

May this work serve as a contribution to that vision, and to the emergence of a new era of temporal wisdom, grounded in the principles of memory, responsibility, and hope.

PART NINE: INTEGRATION WITH THE BROADER SYNTHESIS

CHAPTER TWENTY-THREE: CONNECTION TO THE DOCTRINE OF CHRONO-SOVEREIGNTY

23.1 The Integration of Temporal Existence and Chrono-Sovereignty

The framework of temporal existence developed in this treatise is not isolated but integrated with the broader doctrine of chrono-sovereignty. Chrono-sovereignty is the principle that present actions must respect the sovereignty of future generations over their own time. It requires that present actions not impose undue burdens on future generations, that present actions preserve the options and opportunities of future generations, and that present actions consider the long-term effects on future generations.

Temporal sovereignty extends chrono-sovereignty to the present, recognizing that individuals and civilizations have the right to control their own temporal rhythms and historical narratives in the present, not just in the future. Together, these principles provide a comprehensive framework for understanding and protecting the temporal dimensions of human existence.

23.2 The Implications for Policy and Practice

The integration of temporal existence and chrono-sovereignty has profound implications for policy and practice. It requires that we consider the long-term effects of our actions, that we protect the temporal sovereignty of all individuals and civilizations, and that we cultivate temporal wisdom in all our decisions.

This integration calls for a new approach to governance, one that recognizes the temporal dimensions of all our decisions, one that protects the temporal sovereignty of all individuals and civilizations, and one that cultivates temporal wisdom in all our institutions.

23.3 The Framework for Understanding

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes the integration of temporal existence and chrono-sovereignty. It recognizes that temporal sovereignty and chrono-sovereignty are complementary principles, that together they provide a comprehensive framework for understanding and protecting the temporal dimensions of human existence.

CHAPTER TWENTY-FOUR: CONNECTION TO OTHER WORKS IN THE SYNTHESIS

24.1 Connection to the Attention Economy

The framework of temporal existence is closely connected to the vision of the attention economy developed in previous works. The attention economy is based on the commodification of attention, which is a temporal phenomenon. The digital economy of attention fragments our attention, undermines our ability to engage in deep, sustained thought, and creates a sense of time scarcity.

The defense of temporal sovereignty is also a defense of attention sovereignty. It requires that we protect our attention from the manipulation of algorithms and digital platforms, that we cultivate deep, sustained attention, and that we create spaces of slowness and depth.

24.2 Connection to Biological-Digital Colonization

The framework of temporal existence is also connected to the vision of biological-digital colonization. Biological-digital colonization is based on the extraction of biological-digital data, which is a temporal phenomenon. The extraction of genetic, digital, and neural data undermines our temporal sovereignty, eroding our ability to control our own temporal rhythms and historical narratives.

The defense of temporal sovereignty is also a defense of biological-digital sovereignty. It requires that we protect our biological-digital data from extraction and exploitation, that we maintain control over our own temporal rhythms and historical narratives, and that we cultivate temporal wisdom in all our decisions.

24.3 Connection to the War of Codes

The framework of temporal existence is also connected to the vision of the war of codes. The war of codes is based on the struggle for control of the codes of life, which are temporal phenomena. The genetic code, the digital code, and the neural code are all temporal codes, shaped by the flow of time and the rhythms of existence.

The defense of temporal sovereignty is also a defense of code sovereignty. It requires that we protect the codes of life from manipulation and exploitation, that we maintain control over our own temporal rhythms and historical narratives, and that we cultivate temporal wisdom in all our decisions.

24.4 The Unity of the Synthesis

The framework developed in this treatise recognizes the unity of the theoretical synthesis. It recognizes that temporal existence, chrono-sovereignty, the attention economy, biological-digital colonization, and the war of codes are all interconnected, that they are all dimensions of a single reality: the reality of human existence in time.

This unity calls for a comprehensive approach to understanding and protecting the temporal dimensions of human existence. It calls for a recognition of the interconnectedness of all our decisions, of the long-term effects of our actions, and of the importance of cultivating temporal wisdom in all our institutions.

CONCLUSION: TOWARD A NEW ERA OF TEMPORAL WISDOM

This treatise has proposed a radical rethinking of the relationship between time, temporality, and history. It has argued that these are not separate domains but three dimensions of a single reality: the reality of human existence. It has introduced several original contributions: the distinction between Chronos and Kairos, the concept of Temporal Sovereignty, the Temporal

Sovereignty Index, the integration of Islamic perspectives with modern phenomenological and historical thought, and the connection to the broader doctrine of chrono-sovereignty.

The path forward is not easy. It requires strategic vision, sustained effort, and spiritual guidance. It requires balancing the demands of efficiency with the need for depth, the pressures of acceleration with the value of slowness, the lessons of the past with the hopes for the future.

This framework is not a prophecy but a possibility, not a prediction but a proposal, not a certainty but a hope. It is a vision of a future in which humanity has recovered temporal wisdom, in which we live in harmony with time, in which we honor the past, and in which we hope for the future.

May this work serve as a contribution to that future, and to the emergence of a new era of temporal wisdom, grounded in the principles of memory, responsibility, and hope.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: THE TEMPORAL SOVEREIGNTY INDEX: COMPLETE CONCEPTUAL FORMULATION

The TSI is defined as a function of six factors:

TSI equals a function of Temporal Autonomy, Historical Awareness, Future Orientation, Resistance to Acceleration, Narrative Coherence, and Intergenerational Justice.

Where:

Temporal Autonomy is measured as the degree to which individuals or civilizations can control their own temporal rhythms, normalized from zero to one.

Historical Awareness is measured as the degree to which individuals or civilizations understand and value their own history, normalized from zero to one.

Future Orientation is measured as the degree to which individuals or civilizations have a clear and positive vision of the future, normalized from zero to one.

Resistance to Acceleration is measured as the degree to which individuals or civilizations can resist the pressures of speed and efficiency, normalized from zero to one.

Narrative Coherence is measured as the degree to which individuals or civilizations have a coherent and meaningful narrative of their past, present, and future, normalized from zero to one.

Intergenerational Justice is measured as the degree to which individuals or civilizations consider the rights and interests of future generations in their decisions, normalized from zero to one.

A simplified operational form might be:

TSI equals the product of Temporal Autonomy, Historical Awareness, Future Orientation, Resistance to Acceleration, Narrative Coherence, and Intergenerational Justice.

This formulation captures the balance between internal capacities and external pressures. A high TSI indicates strong temporal sovereignty; a low TSI indicates temporal vulnerability.

APPENDIX B: DATA SOURCES AND METHODOLOGY

This treatise draws on a wide range of data sources, including:

Philosophical texts: Works by Heidegger, Sartre, Bergson, Ricoeur, and others.

Historical texts: Works by Ibn Khaldun, Herodotus, Thucydides, and others.

Scientific research: Studies in physics, psychology, and neuroscience on time and memory.

Social surveys: Data on time use, historical awareness, and future orientation.

The methodology involves:

Literature review: Comprehensive review of philosophical, historical, and scientific literature on time, temporality, and history.

Conceptual analysis: Development of new concepts such as Temporal Sovereignty and the Temporal Sovereignty Index.

Case study analysis: Examination of different civilizational approaches to time and history.

Scenario development: Development of future scenarios based on current trends and ethical principles.

APPENDIX C: POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the analysis in this treatise, the following policy recommendations are proposed:

For individuals:

Practice mindfulness and deep attention.

Engage with history critically and respectfully.

Develop a positive and realistic vision of the future.

Resist the pressures of acceleration and consumerism.

Protect your temporal sovereignty from digital manipulation.

For communities:

Preserve local history and cultural heritage.

Create spaces for slowness and reflection.

Promote intergenerational dialogue and learning.

Support initiatives for temporal justice and equity.

For civilizations:

Protect temporal sovereignty and cultural identity.

Invest in education on time, history, and philosophy.

Promote sustainable development and ecological balance.

Foster intercultural dialogue and mutual understanding.

Consider the rights and interests of future generations in all decisions.

APPENDIX D: GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Asabiyyah: Social cohesion or group solidarity, a key concept in Ibn Khaldun's theory of civilizational cycles.

Chrono-sovereignty: The principle that present actions must respect the sovereignty of future generations over their own time.

Chronos: Quantitative time, the measurable succession of moments.

Duration (Durée): Qualitative time, the flow of consciousness, as described by Bergson.

Kairos: Qualitative time, the opportune moment, the right time for action.

Temporal Sovereignty: The right of individuals and civilizations to control their own temporal rhythms and historical narratives.

Temporal Sovereignty Index: A conceptual framework for assessing the degree to which individuals and civilizations possess temporal autonomy.

Temporality: The existential structure of human being as past-present-future.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY PROTECTION AND PRIORITY CLAIM

Priority Claim

The author hereby claims priority of disclosure for the following novel contributions:

First, the concept of Temporal Sovereignty and its application to individual and civilizational freedom.

Second, the Temporal Sovereignty Index and its six-factor conceptual formulation.

Third, the integration of Heideggerian phenomenology, Ibn Khaldunian historical sociology, Bergsonian philosophy of duration, and Islamic philosophy of time into a unified framework.

Fourth, the distinction between Chronos and Kairos as a basis for temporal wisdom.

Fifth, the connection between temporal sovereignty and chrono-sovereignty.

Mathematical Fingerprint

To establish unambiguous proof of authorship and priority, this work integrates unique conceptual fingerprints:

The Temporal Sovereignty Index formulation: TSI equals the product of Temporal Autonomy, Historical Awareness, Future Orientation, Resistance to Acceleration, Narrative Coherence, and Intergenerational Justice.

The six-factor structure of temporal sovereignty: Temporal Autonomy, Historical Awareness, Future Orientation, Resistance to Acceleration, Narrative Coherence, Intergenerational Justice.

The integration of four philosophical traditions: Heideggerian phenomenology, Ibn Khaldunian historical sociology, Bergsonian philosophy of duration, and Islamic philosophy of time.

These specific integrations serve as digital watermarks for intellectual property.

Licensing and Rights

This work is protected by multiple layers of intellectual property protection:

Layer 1: Copyright Protection.

Layer 2: Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 License (Attribution, Non-Commercial, No Derivatives).

Layer 3: Patent Pending (Methodological and Institutional Frameworks).

Layer 4: Trade Secret (Proprietary Algorithmic Implementations).

Blockchain Timestamping

To provide immutable proof of publication date and content, this work has been timestamped on the blockchain via Zenodo with blockchain verification.

Infringement Detection

Any party wishing to verify the originality of this work can verify the presence of the Temporal Sovereignty Index formulation and compare the framework with the registered version under the provided DOI.

Legal Remedies

In the event of unauthorized use, the author reserves the right to pursue cease and desist orders, damages, and injunctive relief under international intellectual property law.

Proper Attribution

Any use of this work must include full citation and acknowledgment of the Temporal Sovereignty Index as a unique identifier of the original framework.

REFERENCES

First: Philosophy of Time and Temporality

1. Heidegger, M. (1927). *Being and Time*. Harper Perennial.
2. Sartre, J. P. (1943). *Being and Nothingness*. Washington Square Press.
3. Bergson, H. (1889). *Time and Free Will*. Dover Publications.
4. Ricoeur, P. (1984-1988). *Time and Narrative*. University of Chicago Press.

Second: Historical Philosophy

5. Ibn Khaldun. (1377). *The Muqaddimah*. Princeton University Press.
6. Foucault, M. (1971). *Nietzsche, Genealogy, History*. In *Language, Counter-Memory, Practice*. Cornell University Press.
7. Lyotard, J. F. (1979). *The Postmodern Condition*. University of Minnesota Press.
8. Nora, P. (1989). *Between Memory and History: Les Lieux de Mémoire*. *Representations*, 26, 7-24.

Third: Physics of Time

9. Einstein, A. (1905). *On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies*. *Annalen der Physik*.
10. Hawking, S. (1988). *A Brief History of Time*. Bantam Books.
11. Rovelli, C. (2018). *The Order of Time*. Riverhead Books.
12. Rovelli, C. (2004). *Quantum Gravity*. Cambridge University Press.

Fourth: Neuroscience and Psychology of Time

13. Kandel, E. R., Schwartz, J. H., and Jessell, T. M. (2013). *Principles of Neural Science*. McGraw-Hill.
14. Eagleman, D. (2008). *Brain Time*. In *What's Next: Dispatches on the Future of Science*. Vintage.
15. Wittmann, M. (2017). *Lost in Time: A Journey to the Heart of Time Perception*. The Experiment.

Fifth: Sociology of Time

16. Rosa, H. (2013). *Social Acceleration: A New Theory of Modernity*. Columbia University Press.
17. Thompson, E. P. (1967). *Time, Work-Discipline, and Industrial Capitalism*. *Past and Present*, 38, 56-97.

Sixth: Islamic Perspectives

18. Al-Ghazali. *Ihya Ulum al-Din*.
19. Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya. *Al-Tibb al-Nabawi*.
20. *The Holy Quran*.
21. Nasr, S. H. (1993). *Traditional Islam in the Modern World*. Routledge.

Seventh: Digital Age and Acceleration

22. Zuboff, S. (2019). *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power*. PublicAffairs.
23. Crary, J. (2013). *24/7: Late Capitalism and the Ends of Sleep*. Verso.

Eighth: Works by the Author

24. EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). The EL-RAKHAWI Doctrine of Chrono-Sovereignty. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22445023.
25. EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). The Attention Economy. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22538359.
26. EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). The Biological-Digital Colonization. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22538869.
27. EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). The War of Codes. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22540646.
28. EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). The Digital Anthropocene. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22541996.
29. EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). The Biological-Digital Geosovereignty. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22542610.
30. EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). Who Writes the Book of Life? Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22543648.
31. EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). The Whisper of the First Cell. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22544295.
32. EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). The Fabric of Existence: Time, Temporality, and History. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22545100.

INDEXES

SUBJECT INDEX

Asabiyyah - Chapter 9, all sections
Attention Economy - Chapter 24, Section 24.1
Biological-Digital Colonization - Chapter 24, Section 24.2
Chrono-Sovereignty - Chapter 23, all sections
Chronos - Chapter 1, Section 1.2
Digital Acceleration - Chapter 19, all sections
Digital Colonialism - Chapter 19, Section 19.3
Historical Consciousness - Chapter 10, all sections
Ibn Khaldun - Chapter 9, all sections
Intergenerational Justice - Chapter 14, Section 14.2
Kairos - Chapter 1, Section 1.2
Loop Quantum Gravity - Chapter 3, Section 3.2
Memory - Chapter 7, all sections
Narrative Identity - Chapter 7, Section 7.1
Neuroscience of Time - Chapter 11, all sections
Phenomenology - Chapter 4, all sections
Quantum Time - Chapter 2, Section 2.2
Temporal Sovereignty - Chapter 13, all sections
Temporal Sovereignty Index - Chapter 14, all sections
Temporality - Part 2, all chapters
Thermal Time Hypothesis - Chapter 3, Section 3.3

Time - Part 1, all chapters
War of Codes - Chapter 24, Section 24.3

NAME INDEX

Bergson, H. - Reference 3
Crary, J. - Reference 23
Eagleman, D. - Reference 14
Einstein, A. - Reference 9
Foucault, M. - Reference 6
Hawking, S. - Reference 10
Heidegger, M. - Reference 1
Ibn Khaldun - Reference 5
Kandel, E. R. - Reference 13
Lyotard, J. F. - Reference 7
Nora, P. - Reference 8
Ricoeur, P. - Reference 4
Rosa, H. - Reference 16
Rovelli, C. - References 11, 12
Sartre, J. P. - Reference 2
Thompson, E. P. - Reference 17
Wittmann, M. - Reference 15
Zuboff, S. - Reference 22

COPYRIGHT AND LICENSE

Copyright 2026 Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa EL-RAKHAWI. All rights reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22545100

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

MORAL RIGHTS

The author asserts his moral rights under international law, including the Berne Convention, to be identified as the author of this work and to object to any distortion, mutilation, or modification that would be prejudicial to his honor, reputation, or the integrity of the work.

CITATION FORMAT

EL-RAKHAWI, M. K. A. (2026). The EL-RAKHAWI Vision: The Fabric of Existence - Time, Temporality, and History in the Mirror of Civilizational Sovereignty. Zenodo.
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22545100>

For commercial licenses, permissions beyond the scope of this license, or any other inquiries, please contact the author directly.

END OF THE WORK