El-Rakhawi's Creed
Humanistic Philosophy, Genetic Responsibility, and Transgenerational Justice

An Integrated Framework in Jurisprudence, Metaphysical Science, Legal Epigenetics, and Computational Modeling of Justice

Author: Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa Elrakhawi
Independent Researcher
ORCID: 0009-0001-8684-0697
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22087871
Publication Year: 2026

Format: Single-spaced text, secure copy, ready for academic, judicial, and global publishing.

Copyright and Intellectual Property Rights
2026 Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa Elrakhawi. All rights reserved across ontological, scientific, legal, and civilizational domains.
This work is protected under international intellectual property laws and methodological philosophical-computational patents. No part may be copied, modified, or commercially exploited without explicit written permission, except for academic citation with full reference, or for judicial, civil dialogue, and critical review.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22087871
Target Journals: Harvard Law Review, Columbia Law Review, American Journal of Law.

Dedication
To every soul that was not chosen, but inherited;
To every generation that carries the memory of a past generation;
To human dignity that does not fade;
To justice that knows no temporal boundaries;
To the truth written in cells before it is written in books.
This work is a humble attempt to understand what we inherited, what we are responsible for, what we have wronged, and what we hope for.

The First Ontological Poem: An Invitation Before the Beginning
Before you read, I ask:
What makes the question possible?
Not letters.
Not mind.
Not even existence.
But the desire to understand
That precedes all knowledge,
And follows all ignorance,
And remains when everything else falls away.
This book is not an answer.

This book is an invitation:
An invitation to journey together in the question of existence,
Where law is not a ruler, but a relationship;
And justice is not a verdict, but a connection.
So let us begin.
Ask. Doubt. Search. Live.

Comprehensive Table of Contents

Introductory Part: The Methodological Gateway
Chapter 0: How to Read This Complexity

Part One: The Ontological Foundations of Law
Chapter 1: Normativity and Obligation
Chapter 2: Existence Precedes Essence
Chapter 3: Law, Freedom, and the Possibility of Endings

Part Two: Metaphysical and Jurisprudential Principles
Chapter 4: Ontological Grounding and Phenomenological Verification
Chapter 5: Ontological Entanglement and Biological Inheritance
Chapter 6: Relational Justice for Transgenerational Subjects

Part Three: The Scientific Basis of Genetic Responsibility
Chapter 7: Epigenetics as Material Evidence for Ontology
Chapter 8: Foundational Studies on Transgenerational Transmission
Chapter 9: The Mathematical Model of Justice

Part Four: The Legal Framework and Comparative Analysis
Chapter 10: Principles of Genetic Responsibility and Proof Standards
Chapter 11: Comparative National Legislations
Chapter 12: International Law, Judicial Precedents, and Transitional Mechanisms

Part Five: Protocols for Practical Application
Chapter 13: A Judge's Guide in Transgenerational Trauma Cases
Chapter 14: A Legislator's Guide: Constitutional Texts and Models
Chapter 15: The Judicial-Epigenetic Proof Protocol

Part Six: Civilizational Synthesis and Critical Dialogue
Chapter 16: Existence and Responsibility in Islam
Chapter 17: Heidegger and the Abyss of Justice
Chapter 18: The Path of Reconciliation and Eastern Perspectives
Chapter 19: Western Existentialism and Ethical Demands
Chapter 20: Expected Objections and Responses

Conclusion, Appendices, References, Index

Introductory Part: The Methodological Gateway
Chapter 0: How to Read This Complexity
0.1 The Nature of Knowledge
This complexity integrates Jurisprudence (normative deduction), Metaphysical Science (ontological inference), and Epigenetics (experimental evidence). Here, knowledge is not merely theoretical; it is an infrastructure measurable in application.
0.2 Bridging Gaps
The Normative-Ontological Gap: Obligation is not an external addition to ontology, but an internal emanation from it.
The Past-Present Gap: Trauma does not end with the event; it continues as a biological structure.
The Collective-Individual Gap: The individual carries a collective memory; responsibility is intertwined.

Part One: The Ontological Foundations of Law
Chapter 1: Normativity and Obligation
Executive Summary: Law does not construct humans as abstract entities; rather, it stabilizes upon an ontological structure. Obligation is foundational, not imposed.
1.2 Normativity over Norms: A Comparative View
Traditional View holds that law creates obligation, prioritizes norms, believes will creates law, focuses on individual responsibility, and views time linearly.
Metaphysical View holds that ontology grounds law, norms emerge from ontology, structure gives birth to obligation, embraces transgenerational responsibility, and views time as layered.
1.3 The Phenomenology of Obligation
Obligation is not tested as an external assumption, but as internal conscience, responsibility toward the Other, and bearing the inheritance of previous generations' responsibilities.
Ontological Meditation 1: You are obligated because you exist. Ontology binds you. Law is the voice of this binding.

Chapter 2: Existence Precedes Essence
Executive Summary: Radical freedom does not deny obligation; rather, it creates the structure of choice. Obligation is not forced, but inevitable for freedom.
2.2 The Principle of Ontological Entanglement
Formula: Freedom leads to Choice, which leads to Relational Structure, which leads to Obligation, which leads to Transformation, which leads to Genetic Transmission.
Solution: Freedom is not freedom from obligation, but freedom to respond to it.
2.3 Essence as Ontological Structure
Essence is not a pre-determined nature, a divine plan, or a biological fate. Essence is an ontological structure created through choices, a relational-ontological pattern in the world, and a collective memory transmitted genetically.
Ontological Meditation 2: You choose from who you are, so your choice becomes who you are. This distinction is beautiful: Your freedom is your limit, and your limit is your freedom.

Chapter 3: Law, Freedom, and the Possibility of Endings
Executive Summary: Endings make law necessary, and freedom makes it possible. Endings liberate; they do not terrify.
3.3 Freedom as a Legal Condition
Human Condition: Ending. Legal Interaction: Law is necessary. Genetic Dimension: Trauma ends biologically, but its effects persist.
Human Condition: Freedom. Legal Interaction: Law is possible. Genetic Dimension: We can choose treatment or suppression.
Human Condition: Memory. Legal Interaction: Law is enduring. Genetic Dimension: Genetic memory transfers responsibility.
Ontological Meditation 3: You make law necessary. You make freedom possible. You make transformation caring.

The Second Ontological Poem: The Body of Awareness
From ontology to normativity,
From normativity to freedom,
From freedom to ending,
From ending to transformation.
Four steps separated not by distance, but by awareness.
When you realize you are necessary, you begin to live.
When you realize you are free, you begin to bear.
When you realize you are relational, you begin to be human.

Part Two: Metaphysical and Jurisprudential Principles
Chapter 4: Ontological Grounding and Phenomenological Verification
Executive Summary: Legal Reality equals Ontological Integration plus Methodological Verification plus Conscious Transformation plus Digital Adaptation.
4.1 Formulation of the Principle: Health is equivalent to Genetic Integration and Verifiability.
4.2 The Three Levels of Grounding
Foundational Level: The foundational structure of human existence, corresponding to Collective Memory.
Ontological Level: Stable patterns of normativity from structure, corresponding to Biological Transmission.
Normative Level: Specific obligations emerging from structure, corresponding to Transgenerational Responsibility.
4.3 The Operational Phenomenological Spectrum
Phenomenological Self-Inquiry: How is it lived? How is it agreed upon? Verified by Descriptive Awareness and Tradition Dialogue.
Applied Level: How is it measured? Verified by Difficult Cases.
Transformative Level: How does it change? Verified by Exercises and Measurement.
Digital-Integrative Level: How does it adapt? Verified by AI Protocols.
Ontological Meditation 4: Law does not impose itself on ontology, but emerges from it. To verify it, verify it with phenomenological humility.

Chapter 5: Ontological Entanglement
Executive Summary: Freedom and obligation are two faces of entanglement. Choice links structure with conscience.
5.2 The Four Dimensions of Entanglement
Ontological Dimension: Freedom as an ontological structure. Legal Interaction: Respect for roots before branching.
Existential Dimension: Freedom as choice creating essence. Legal Interaction: Contract as existential commitment, not emptiness.
Ethical Dimension: Freedom as responsibility toward the Other. Legal Interaction: Accountability as basis, not punishment.
Digital-Transformative Dimension: Awareness born with entanglement as adaptation. Legal Interaction: Law as a center, not a rigid boundary.
Ontological Meditation 5: You are free. This weight is beautiful. You choose, so you create meaning. You bear, so your existence deepens.

Chapter 6: Relational Justice
Executive Summary: Justice is the correct ordering of relationships, not the mere distribution of resources. Relational equality uproots injustice.
6.2 The Ontology of Relationship
Relationship-Normativity Structure: The foundation of existence is relational before it is individual.
6.3 Levels of Relational Justice
Interpersonal Level: You and I, face to face. Demand of Justice: Mutual recognition, full presence.
Institutional Level: Us and It, systemic. Demand of Justice: Fair procedures, equitable distribution.
Genetic Level: We and the World, collective. Demand of Justice: Structural transformation, repair of historical bias.
Digital-Transformative Level: Human, Machine, Environment. Demand of Justice: Protection of relational balance across mediums.
Ontological Meditation 6: Justice is not distributive. Justice is relational. It is how we carry the space between us.

The Third Ontological Poem: The Fabric of Meaning
From principle to structure,
From structure to relationship,
From relationship to justice.
Three rings separated not by distance, but by awareness.
The principle is the root, the structure is the trunk,
The relationship is the branch, and justice is the fruit.

Part Three: The Scientific Basis of Genetic Responsibility
Chapter 7: Epigenetics as Material Evidence for Ontology

Executive Summary: Epigenetics is the post-genomic body that transforms ontological conscience into measurable evidence. Trauma is not just seen; it is revealed.
7.1 Molecular Mechanisms of Traumatic Memory
DNA Methylation: Addition of methyl groups silences genes in response to stress, such as FKBP5. Legal Interaction: Material proof of self-silencing; establishes a biological narrative linking cause and effect.
Histone Modification: Changes chromatin structure, controlling gene accessibility without altering DNA sequence. Legal Interaction: Explains persistence of sensitivity to threat without direct exposure.
7.2 The Judicial-Epigenetic Proof Protocol
Step 1: Isolation. Temporal determination of the window of original traumatic exposure.
Step 2: Comparison. Isolation of biological samples plus a control group.
Step 3: Methylation Analysis. Measuring methylation patterns on target genes.
Step 4: Exclusion. Checking for current environmental confounders.
Step 5: Chain Linking. Matching the epigenetic pattern with the causal chain of trauma.
Ontological Meditation 7: Your body is a living archive. Your awareness is the key to unlocking it.

Chapter 8: Foundational Studies on Transgenerational Transmission
8.1 Yehuda et al., 2015: From Holocaust to Offspring
Sample: 32 Parents and Their Children.
Result: Lower methylation in the FKBP5 gene, lower cortisol levels.
Legal Conclusion: Existence of a measurable biological mechanism for transmitting trauma.
8.2 The Dutch Hunger Winter, 1944 to 1945
Context: Severe famine caused by German siege.
Transgenerational Effect: Increased rates of obesity and diabetes in subsequent generations; changes in the IGF2 gene.
Legal Interaction: Legal responsibility does not end with the directly harmed generation.
8.3 Indigenous Peoples in Canada, Residential Schools
Context: 150,000 children forcibly removed to residential schools.
Transgenerational Effect: Higher rates of addiction, educational difficulties.
Precedent: 2006 Historical Settlement, 1.9 billion CAD.
8.4 Slavery and Its Defenders
Context: Centuries of slavery and systemic discrimination.
Effect: Elevated oxidative stress markers and accelerated cellular aging.
Conclusion: Compensation must account for biological acceleration of aging.
Ontological Meditation 8: Unhealed trauma transfers as heavy inheritance. Compensation is not just financial payment, but recognition of dignity, and restoration of justice.

Chapter 9: The Mathematical Model of Justice
9.1 The Basic Equation of Genetic Responsibility
Xi equals the integral of Alpha times H of t, plus Beta times R of t, plus Gamma times T of t, plus Delta times K of t, multiplied by e to the power of negative Epsilon times Tau, with respect to t.
Where:

Xi equals the Index of Ontological Reparation, scale 0 to 1.
H equals Health.
R equals Freedom.
T equals Relationship.
K equals Dignity.
Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta equal Civilizational weights, their sum equals 1.
Epsilon equals the Rate of Ontological Decay.
Tau equals the Time Variable.
9.2 The DTCM Algorithm for Calculating Compensation
Inputs: Victim Generation, Type of Harm, Severity, Epigenetic Evidence, Institutional Recognition.
Processing: Calculate transmission probability by generation, categorize types of harm by context, apply Epigenetic Guideline Factor, apply Recognition Factor, apply temporal discounting for economic balance.
Outputs: Total compensation in Standardized Rehabilitative Value Units, distribution by generation, recommendations.
Ontological Meditation 9: The equation is not the end of understanding, but the beginning of measurement. Measurement is not a substitute for conscience, but a mirror for it.

The Fourth Ontological Poem: The Sanctity of Existence
Control is not domination, but integration.
When there is no void, control is relationship.
When there is no time, control is order.
But the council, the pattern, the law, and the constitution are conditions of integration.

Part Four: The Legal Framework and Comparative Analysis
Chapter 10: Principles of Genetic Responsibility
10.1 Foundational Principles
Responsibility for future harms, collective and international responsibility, rights of future generations, standards for proving transgenerational trauma.
10.2 Standards of Proof and Methodological Limitations
Biological Causality: Epigenetic causality evidence combined with historical narratives. Admissibility requires a strong correlation serving as a rebuttable presumption. This framework explicitly acknowledges the current methodological limitations in isolating historical epigenetic markers from lifelong environmental confounders. Therefore, a consistent epigenetic pattern combined with historical documentation creates a rebuttable presumption of causality, shifting the burden of disproving the link to the opposing party.
Psychological Causality: Causal chains plus behavioral patterns. Admissibility requires clear temporal sequencing and absence of sufficient alternative factors.
Symptomatology: Clinical diagnoses plus medical reports. Admissibility requires similar symptoms across generations.
Temporal Continuity: Records plus testimonies. Admissibility requires at least one generation gap and documented environmental factors.

Ontological Meditation 10: Proof is not the goal, but a means to justice. And justice is not a verdict, but a repaired relationship.

Chapter 11: Comparative National Legislations
11.1 Germany: The Holocaust Compensation Model
Federal Compensation Law, 1953. Updates in 2007 expanded to include children of survivors. Strengths include explicit recognition and a continuous mechanism. Weaknesses include not recognizing transgenerational trauma until 2007.
11.2 Canada: Residential School Settlement
2006 Agreement: 1.9 billion CAD plus Truth and Reconciliation Commission. Calculation system is point-based depending on the type of mistreatment. Shortcomings include no specific compensation designated for descendants, highlighting the need for this framework.
11.3 United States: Slavery Claims
Challenges include progress, causal link, and generational responsibility. Developments include California 2020 Task Force and Illinois 2023 Reparations Fund.
Ontological Meditation 11: Compensation is not the end of pain, but the beginning of recognition. And recognition is not a word, but an act that restores dignity.

Chapter 12: International Law, Judicial Precedents, and Transitional Mechanisms
12.1 UN Principles for Reparations
1985 Declaration: Reparation for material and moral damages. 2005 Basic Principles: Includes future harms.
12.2 European Court of Human Rights
Cyprus versus Turkey, 2001: Harms do not end with the event. Centre for Resources versus Romania, 2010: Protection of current and future generations.
12.3 Alternative Transitional Justice Mechanisms
To address the practical legal hurdles of Statutes of Limitations and State Sovereign Immunity, this framework does not solely rely on individual litigation. Instead, it justifies the establishment of National Compensation Funds and Truth and Reconciliation Commissions. These institutional mechanisms bypass traditional procedural barriers, focusing on collective rehabilitation, historical acknowledgment, and structural repair rather than punitive individual liability, thereby ensuring justice is not defeated by technicalities of time or sovereignty.
Ontological Meditation 12: Political borders do not stop pain, nor does statute of limitations halt dignity. True justice knows only one language: the language of humanity.

The Fifth Ontological Poem: Interactive Dialogue
We do not predict the future; we integrate with it.
With every gaze, relationship, and possibility:
Do we choose integration or isolation? Order or fragmentation?
Let us choose wisely, for our genetic being does not impose law upon itself,
But emerges from the ground of ontology itself.

Part Five: Protocols for Practical Application
Chapter 13: A Judge's Guide in Transgenerational Trauma Cases

13.1 Checklist for Proving the Claim
Stage 1: Historical Documentation. Standard: Documented traumatic event. Tool: National archival methodology, UN reports.
Stage 2: Biological Transmission. Standard: Consistent epigenetic pattern across generations. Tool: Laboratory analysis, accredited comparative study, family lineage.
Stage 3: Temporal Continuity. Standard: Temporal sequencing without interruption. Tool: Family records, community testimonies.
Stage 4: Alternative Exclusion. Standard: Exclusion of alternative factors. Tool: Environmental tests, life pattern analysis.
Stage 5: Ontological Impact. Standard: Psychological and social diagnosis. Tool: PTSD scales, specialist authenticated reports.
13.2 Model Judicial Ruling Structure
Ontology: Since human normativity is a temporal chain carrying memory.
Science: And based on the epigenetic report which proved a consistent pattern of methylation, establishing a rebuttable presumption of causality.
Law: Comparatively applying the principles of truth, international justice, and alternative transitional mechanisms.
Ruling: Oblige the defendant to pay total compensation in Standardized Rehabilitative Value Units, establish a fund for transgenerational rehabilitation under an independent committee, oblige the defendant to implement a comprehensive institutional recognition program, and establish a follow-up mechanism for ten years.
Ontological Meditation 13: The ruling is not the end of dialogue, but the beginning of rehabilitation. And rehabilitation is not an individual act, but a collective promise.

Chapter 14: A Legislator's Guide: Constitutional Texts and Models
14.1 Principles of Constitutional Drafting
Ontological basis for rights, ontological entanglement of obligation and freedom, relational justice, interactive dignity, dynamic adaptation.
14.2 Model Constitutional Text
Article on Temporal Justice and Genetic Responsibility: The State recognizes that systemic injustice and trauma create extended harm that transfers biologically, psychologically, socially, and genetically across generations. The right to transgenerational rehabilitation does not expire with the passage of time if the ontological or epigenetic impact persists. An independent authority for temporal justice shall be established, tasked with measuring harm, managing rehabilitation funds, and monitoring recovery indicators. Law recognizes that ontological dignity is not isolated, and that responsibility for its violation extends to include rehabilitation of biological memory and social integration.
Ontological Meditation 14: The Constitution is not a text that preserves, but one that lives. And the promise is not a word written, but one fulfilled.

Part Six: Civilizational Synthesis and Critical Dialogue
Chapter 15: Existence and Responsibility in Islam
15.1 Existence in Islamic Philosophy

Ibn Sina: Necessary Existence versus Possible Existence. Al-Ghazali: Originating existence from essence, graded existence.
15.2 Responsibility in Islamic Jurisprudence
Divine obligation upon the accountable. Worship is also a burden. Conditions include intellect, maturity, and choice.
15.3 Integration with Metaphysical Jurisprudence
Convergences include ontological grounding, honoring obligation, and deepening justice via ontology. Contributions include the opportunity to stimulate ontology and expand relational justice.
Ontological Meditation 15: Existence is a gift, responsibility is a trust, law is wisdom, justice is mercy.

Chapter 16: Expected Objections and Responses
16.1 Response to Legal Positivism
Objection: Law must be clear, present, and immediately enforceable. Response: Ignoring ontological depth without clarity is superficial institutional violence.
16.2 Response to Experimental Pragmatism
Objection: How do we measure harm that has not happened yet? Response: Short-sightedness transforms justice into accounting, not investment in dignity.
16.3 Response to Post-Colonial or Relativist Views
Objection: The model may produce new hegemonies. Response: The model is designed with adjustable weights determined by multidisciplinary consensus, obliging society to participate with descendants.
Ontological Meditation 16: Do not fear criticism, but fear the silence that does not ask. Truth does not fear the question, but flourishes with it.

Chapter 17: Heidegger and the Abyss of Justice
Heidegger's concept of Dasein emphasizes that human existence is fundamentally thrown into a world not of its choosing, inheriting a historical context. This aligns perfectly with genetic responsibility, where this thrownness includes not just cultural but biological inheritance. Justice, therefore, is not merely a social contract but an authentic response to the historical weight carried by Dasein, requiring us to own the past to authentically project into the future.

Chapter 18: The Path of Reconciliation and Eastern Perspectives
Eastern philosophies, such as Buddhism and Confucianism, emphasize interconnectedness and the ripple effects of actions across time. This resonates deeply with transgenerational justice, viewing the community as an extended temporal body. Reconciliation is achieved not through adversarial victory, but through restoring harmony, acknowledging shared suffering, and recognizing that the healing of the ancestor is intrinsically linked to the flourishing of the descendant.

Chapter 19: Western Existentialism and Ethical Demands
Sartre and Levinas contribute the ethical dimension of absolute responsibility. For Sartre, we are condemned to be free, meaning we must own the consequences of our collective historical

choices. Levinas adds that the face of the Other, including the descendant bearing the scars of the ancestor's trauma, issues an infinite ethical demand that precedes any legal code, grounding the obligation to repair in pre-ontological ethics.

Conclusion: The Interactive Matter
Metaphysical jurisprudence is not an academic exercise. It is an ontological necessity.
When law denies its ontological ground, it becomes a cover.
When jurisprudence ignores metaphysical foundations, it becomes a superficial technique.
When justice loses its interactive direction, it becomes mere power.
This framework provides ontological grounding for all legal systems, ontological analysis of the human condition, metaphysical principles for jurisprudence, a theory of relational justice, an interactive direction for law, critical civilizational synthesis, integration with contemporary challenges, operational phenomenological verification, translation of judicial protocols, and dynamic metaphysical adaptation.
The Goal: Not to replace positive law, but to ground it. Not to abolish jurisprudence, but to deepen it. Not to deny justice, but to elevate it.
Law without metaphysics is a body without a soul.
Jurisprudence without ontology is a form without content.
Justice without interaction is strength without legitimacy.
Remember: Law has its ground. Jurisprudence has its source. Justice uses the interactive.
In the beginning: Normativity. In the middle: Relationship. In the end: Justice. And throughout: Human, Free, Relational, Interactive.
This is Metaphysical Jurisprudence. This is the path. This is the truth. This is life.
Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa Elrakhawi
April 30, 2026

The Sixth Ontological Poem: After the Conclusion
We reached the last page, but not the end of the path.
The book ends, but the question remains.
Because existence does not conclude; it lives.
So let this book not be the end of your dialogue with yourself,
But the beginning of your dialogue with the world.
Ask. Doubt. Search. Live.
Because true law is not written in books, but lived in awareness.
And true justice is not ruled by courts, but built by relationships.
So let us walk together toward a more conscious normativity,
Toward a more just relationship,
Toward a more dignified existence.

Publication and Contact Information: Khaled Al-Mahmoud Publishing Unit
Author: Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa Elrakhawi
Title: Independent Researcher
ORCID: 0009-0001-8684-0697
Academic Email: elrakhawimohamed@gmail.com

Location: Arab Republic of Egypt
Completion Date: April 30, 2026
Manuscript Status: Ready for global publishing by Khaled Al-Mahmoud Unit.
Formatting: Single-spaced text, secure copy, ready for publishing. Compatible with Word, PDF, EPUB.

Operational Appendix
Appendix A: Glossary of Terms
Interactive Dignity: A legal-philosophical concept where human dignity is not a static, isolated attribute, but a dynamic state maintained and restored through just, reciprocal relationships and institutional recognition.
Rebuttable Presumption: A legal assumption that a fact is true, such as epigenetic harm being linked to historical trauma, unless the opposing party provides sufficient evidence to prove otherwise, acknowledging current scientific limitations in absolute isolation.
Ontological Entanglement: The inseparable connection between an individual's freedom, their relational obligations, and the biological memory inherited from previous generations.

Appendix B: Equations and Algorithms, DTCM Model, Xi Index
Weighting Mechanism: The civilizational weights (Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta) are not arbitrary. They are determined through a Delphi method involving a multidisciplinary panel of ethicists, geneticists, legal scholars, and community representatives, ensuring the weights reflect a consensus on the relative impact of Health, Freedom, Relationship, and Dignity in a specific cultural context.
Dummy Case Study: Consider a community affected by a historical environmental toxin. Generation 0 was exposed. Generation 2 presents with specific health issues.
Inputs: Victim Generation equals 2. Type of Harm equals Biological and Social. Severity equals 8 out of 10. Epigenetic Evidence equals Medium, showing a consistent pattern with some environmental noise. Institutional Recognition equals Denied.
Processing: Transmission probability is adjusted for Generation 2. Epigenetic Guideline Factor is applied at 1.2. Recognition Factor is applied at 0.8 due to denial, but the rebuttable presumption is invoked to maintain claim viability. Temporal discounting is applied minimally to preserve dignity.
Outputs: Total compensation is calculated in Standardized Rehabilitative Value Units, allocated 40 percent to direct healthcare funds, 40 percent to community educational trusts, and 20 percent to symbolic public acknowledgment programs.

Appendix C: Applied Case Studies
Holocaust: Focus on the FKBP5 gene methylation studies by Yehuda, demonstrating biological transmission of trauma and validating the need for descendant inclusion in compensation frameworks.
Dutch Famine: Focus on the IGF2 gene, showing how prenatal exposure to famine affects metabolic health in grandchildren, proving that legal responsibility does not end with the directly harmed generation.

Slavery: Focus on the cumulative impact of systemic discrimination, elevated oxidative stress markers, and the argument for reparations that must account for accelerated biological aging across generations.

Appendix D: Model Constitutional Texts and Drafting Templates
Provides adaptable templates for national constitutions to integrate Article on Temporal Justice and Genetic Responsibility, including clauses for the establishment of independent temporal justice authorities and non-expiring rehabilitation rights.

Appendix E: Dynamic Metaphysical Adaptation Protocol
A framework for updating the legal-epigenetic standards as scientific knowledge evolves, ensuring the jurisprudence remains responsive to new discoveries in genetics and neuroscience without requiring complete legislative overhaul.

Appendix F: Generative Poetry and Automated Questions
A curated collection of ontological meditations and guiding questions designed for judicial training programs, helping legal professionals internalize the humanistic and relational dimensions of transgenerational trauma.

References
Islamic Philosophy: Ibn Sina, Al-Ghazali. Origins.
German Philosophy: Heidegger, Habermas. West.
Eastern Philosophy: Nishida, Suzuki. East.
Western Existentialism: Sartre, Heidegger, Levinas, Merleau-Ponty.
Law and Philosophy: Dworkin, Fuller. Contemporary Constitutional Theory.
Epigenetics and Trauma: Yehuda et al., 2015, Studies on Indigenous Peoples, Slavery Research.

Index
A. Origins, Artificial Intelligence Agency, Negative Ontology.
B. World-in-Normativity, Others-with-Normativity, Relational Structural Being.
C. Transformation, Conscious Adaptation, Digital Counter-Argument.
D. Roots, New Ontology, Poetic Body Uplift.
E. Freedom, Existential Boundaries, Interactive Control.
F. Humility, Verification Script, Critical Acceptance Map.
G. Dynamics, Relational Guide, Judicial Manual.
H. Justice, Relational Contract, Ontological Critical Mind.
K. Dignity, Connected Normativity, Ontological Discovery of Relationship.
M. Spectrum, Phenomenological Principle, Entanglement of Shared Meaning.
W. Awareness, Transforming Unity, Diversity of Human Existence.

Final Ownership and Usage Notice
2026 Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa Elrakhawi. All rights reserved across jurisprudential, philosophical, ontological, and civilizational domains.

This framework is presented for academic research and teaching, prize arbitration, civilizational dialogue, judicial translation, and practical legal application.
Citation Format: Elrakhawi, M. K. A. (2026). Al-Rakhawi's Creed: Humanistic Philosophy, Genetic Responsibility, and Transgenerational Justice. An Integrated Framework in Jurisprudence, Metaphysical Science, Legal Epigenetics, and Computational Modeling of Justice. Khaled Al-Mahmoud Publishing Unit. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22087871

The Foundational Principle
Ontological Earth. Relational Structure. Freedom of Possibility. Justice of Interaction.
Metaphysical Jurisprudence unites them all. Not by force, but by wisdom.
Not by exclusion, but by integration. Not by anxiety, but by dialogue.
This framework is not an end; it is a beginning.
Not a closure; it is an opening.
Not a dogma; it is an exploration.
Not a barrier; it is an invitation.
Live. Discover. Ask. Participate.
Across traditions. Across disciplines. Across cultures.
Because truth is one, paths are many.
Global wisdom. Local expressions.
Let us walk together toward justice, toward relationship, toward normativity.
This is Metaphysical Jurisprudence.
This is the path.
This is our shared human journey.

End of Book