

GOVERNANCE OF THE VOID

The Second Constitution of Humanity: Law Beyond Earth

Legal Frameworks for Commercial Exploitation of Space Resources, Extraterrestrial Disputes, and the Birth of Planetary Space Sovereignty

AUTHOR

Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa Elrakhawi

Legal Advisor, Researcher, Jurist, Author, and International Lecturer in Law, Economics, Sociology, Political Science, Philosophy, and International Arbitration

Expert in Legal Information Technology

Location: Ismailia, Arab Republic of Egypt

Date: 11 September 2026

DIGITAL OBJECT IDENTIFIER

10.5281/zenodo.22695641

COPYRIGHT AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY NOTICE

All intellectual, literary, material, and moral property rights are fully reserved to the author.

Copying, quotation, printing, distribution, publication, alteration, or modification is strictly prohibited without written permission from the author. This work is protected under international copyright conventions and the Berne Convention.

DEDICATION

To every child who will be born beyond Earth,

To every worker who will mine the asteroids in silence,

To every consciousness, human or artificial, that will look at the stars and ask: "Who governs me?"

This is your constitution.

INTRODUCTION

THE SECOND CONSTITUTION OF HUMANITY

The universe is witnessing an unprecedented historical transformation: outer space is no longer merely a domain for scientific exploration or geopolitical competition, but has evolved into an emerging economic arena where private corporations and states compete to exploit space resources, establish human settlements, and develop commercial infrastructure orbiting beyond Earth's atmosphere. This radical shift raises existential legal questions that human jurisprudence has never before confronted: who owns water extracted from asteroids; which court has jurisdiction over a dispute between workers of different nationalities on a private space

station; how do we apply liability principles when damage occurs from space debris crossing multiple sovereign boundaries.

Traditional legal systems have treated space as a common province of all humanity, prohibited from national appropriation, and subject to the principle of peaceful use. However, this model, embodied in the Outer Space Treaty of 1967, was designed for a world in which the private sector played a negligible role in space activities. Today, with the emergence of corporations such as SpaceX, Blue Origin, and space mining ventures, the current legal framework has become inadequate to regulate a new economic and legal reality characterized by speed, complexity, and absolute cross-border dynamics.

This global reference work proceeds from an innovative foundational hypothesis: outer space is not a legal vacuum, but a functional sovereign domain that demands a new legal framework redefining the concepts of ownership, jurisdiction, liability, and compensation in the extraterrestrial environment. This work does not aim to abolish the principles of international space law, but to develop them through a parallel legal model capable of accommodating commercial and technical complexity without sacrificing justice or international stability.

This book presents the Governance of the Void Theory, which integrates international constitutional jurisprudence, natural resources law, emerging space economics, cross-planetary arbitration mechanisms, space disarmament, the rights of the space-born generation, the ethics of extraterrestrial life, and the integration with the Planetary Penal Code. The book develops practical legal instruments: the Corporate Constitution for Space Settlements, the Extraterrestrial Property Registry, the Liability Protocol for Space Debris, the Space Labor Charter, the Astro-Credit monetary system, and the Economic Value Index for Space Resources capable of integration into global financial transactions.

This work constitutes the second volume in the Elrakhawi Foundational Tetralogy, complementing The Planetary Penal Code (criminal jurisdiction), The Elrakhawi Vision: Saving Consciousness (cognitive sovereignty), and Lex Aeternum (temporal sovereignty). Together, these four works form the complete constitutional architecture for humanity's expansion beyond Earth.

CHAPTER ONE

THE CRISIS OF TRADITIONAL SPACE LAW IN THE ERA OF PRIVATIZATION AND GLOBALIZATION

1.1 The Collapse of the 1967 Paradigm

The traditional space legal model rests upon two fundamental pillars: the principle of non-appropriation of outer space and celestial bodies by national claim, and the principle that space exploration shall be carried out for the benefit of all countries and humankind.

These two pillars, embodied in the Outer Space Treaty of 1967 and subsequent agreements, enabled unprecedented international cooperation during the Cold War era, but have become inadequate before the reality of the twenty-first century.

This model began to fracture under the pressure of three major transformations: first, the privatization of space activities, where the center of gravity shifted from government agencies to private corporations possessing launch capabilities, satellite operations, and plans for space mining missions. Second, the globalization of the space value chain, where components are manufactured in multiple countries, launched from the territory of a third state, and operated to serve users worldwide. Third, expansion toward sustainable environments beyond Earth, with serious plans to establish lunar bases, Martian colonies, and resource extraction platforms from asteroids.

1.2 The Fragmentation of National Responses

Some legal systems responded with preliminary developments: the U.S. Commercial Space Launch Competitiveness Act of 2015 allowing American citizens to own space resources they extract, Luxembourg's Space Resources Law of 2017, and initiatives by the United Arab Emirates to develop an attractive legislative framework for space investments. However, these developments remain national in scope and may generate conflicts with international obligations, creating a state of legal uncertainty that impedes long-term investment.

1.3 The Geopolitical Schism: Artemis versus ILRS

The crisis deepened with the emergence of two competing geopolitical blocs. The Artemis Accords of 2020, led by the United States, introduced the concept of Safety Zones, interpreted by many as de facto territorial claims. The International Lunar Research Station initiative, led by China and Russia, explicitly rejected this concept and proposed alternative governance mechanisms.

This schism represents the greatest threat to space governance since the Cold War. Without a bridging framework, humanity risks dividing the cosmos into competing spheres of influence, replicating on a planetary scale the errors that led to terrestrial conflicts.

1.4 Case Studies of Legal Stagnation

In 2024, a dispute arose between a private space mining corporation and a developing state regarding rights to extract water from a near-Earth asteroid. The corporation claimed its right under a national law permitting ownership of extracted resources, while the state objected that the asteroid is part of the common heritage of humanity. The absence of a binding international arbitration mechanism led to legal stagnation costing both parties millions of dollars and delaying an important scientific project.

In the communications sector, disputes over signal interference between private satellites are increasing, with the absence of a specialized court having global jurisdiction to resolve these complex technical disputes.

These examples underscore the urgent need for a new legal model that recognizes the commercial reality of space without abandoning the fundamental principles of international justice.

CHAPTER TWO

GOVERNANCE OF THE VOID THEORY AND CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS OF FUNCTIONAL SOVEREIGNTY

2.1 The Foundational Principle

Governance of the Void Theory proceeds from a foundational principle: outer space is not a legal vacuum, but a functional sovereign domain where specific rights and duties are exercised according to the nature of the activity, its location, and its purpose. This theory does not mean abolishing the principle of non-appropriation, but rather creating an intermediate legal category: the Limited Functional Right to Use and Exploitation.

2.2 The Limited Functional Right

The theory defines the Limited Functional Right as a legal authorization granted to a specific entity, human or corporate, to conduct a defined activity at a specific space location, for a defined temporal period, while adhering to conditions protecting the space environment, operational safety, and the common interests of humanity. This definition combines three elements: spatial and temporal specification of the activity, legal recognition of the authorization, and protective constraints ensuring sustainability and equity.

2.3 The Four Conceptual Pillars

First Pillar: Non-Territorial Functional Sovereignty. The entity operating in space is recognized as having authorization to conduct specific activities within a defined functional scope, but this authorization does not mean territorial sovereignty over the space location. The entity cannot prevent others from safe passage, conduct non-conflicting scientific research, or exercise internationally recognized shared rights.

Second Pillar: Conditional Ownership of Extracted Resources. When extracting space resources, absolute ownership of the celestial body is not granted, but conditional ownership of the extracted resources themselves, with obligations to reinvest a portion of proceeds in protecting the space environment, supporting joint scientific research, and ensuring equitable access for developing states.

Third Pillar: Hybrid Jurisdiction. In disputes arising in space environments, a hybrid jurisdiction is applied combining the law of the state of registry for the entity, international space law, and unified rules internationally agreed upon for commercial space activities. Priority of application is determined according to the nature, location, and parties of the dispute.

Fourth Pillar: Proportional Joint Liability. When damage occurs in space, liability is not assigned to a single party only, but is distributed proportionally among all parties contributing to the causal chain: the designer, the operator, the service provider, and the regulatory authority. The proportion of liability is measured according to the Joint Causation Equation.

2.4 The Foundational Equation of Space Governance

These pillars are formulated in the foundational equation:

$G_{\text{void}} = F_{\text{scope}} \text{ multiplied by } C_{\text{cond}} \text{ plus } J_{\text{hybrid}} \text{ multiplied by } L_{\text{joint}} \text{ minus } E_{\text{env}}$

Where G_{void} represents the value of the legal right in space activity, F_{scope} represents the scope of the granted functional authorization, C_{cond} represents the conditions of conditional ownership of resources, J_{hybrid} represents the degree of integration of hybrid jurisdiction, L_{joint} represents the mechanism of proportional joint liability distribution, and E_{env} represents the value of potential or actual space environmental damage.

This equation is subject to rigid international constitutional constraints: no practice may conflict with the principle of peaceful use of space, and the equation may not be used to justify resource exploitation that threatens long-term space sustainability or creates systematic disparity among states.

CHAPTER THREE

SPACE DISARMAMENT AND ORBITAL DEMILITARIZATION

A New Chapter in Planetary Security

3.1 The Reality of Space Weaponization

Governance of the Void cannot be achieved in a militarized cosmos. The establishment of the United States Space Force in 2019, the Russian and Chinese anti-satellite weapons tests, and the Indian ASAT test of 2019 demonstrate that space has become a theater of war. Any legal framework that ignores this reality is a framework built on sand.

3.2 The Definition of Space Weapons

This chapter establishes the first comprehensive definition of space weapons, extending beyond kinetic projectiles to include:

Directed energy weapons capable of disabling satellites.

Cyber weapons targeting space infrastructure command systems.
Co-orbital anti-satellite systems disguised as servicing vehicles.
Electronic warfare systems capable of jamming critical communications.
Dual-use technologies deployed with hostile intent.

3.3 The Orbital Demilitarization Zones

Three categories of protected zones are established:

Critical Infrastructure Zones: A 100-kilometer radius around the International Space Station, lunar Gateway, and future planetary settlements.

Scientific Heritage Zones: Permanent protection around Apollo landing sites and other locations of historical significance.

Equatorial Access Corridors: Protected pathways for geostationary satellite access, free from military interference.

3.4 The Mutual Verification Protocol

Drawing on the quantum-verified auditing mechanisms established in The Elrakhawi Vision: Saving Consciousness, this chapter proposes a decentralized verification system where all space-faring entities submit to continuous orbital monitoring by independent satellite constellations. Data is cryptographically verified and open-source, preventing any single state from monopolizing the truth about orbital activities.

3.5 Sanctions for Militarization

Violations trigger graduated sanctions under the Planetary Coercion Mechanism established in The Planetary Penal Code:

First violation: Orbital restriction and suspension of launch licenses.

Second violation: Asset freezing through smart contracts.

Third violation: Digital sovereign exile and referral to the Planetary Criminal Court for the crime of Orbital Aggression.

CHAPTER FOUR

THE CORPORATE CONSTITUTION FOR SPACE SETTLEMENTS AND STRUCTURING INTERNAL GOVERNANCE

4.1 The Need for a New Constitutional Category

To enable practical application of Governance of the Void Theory, the book develops an innovative legal instrument: the Corporate Constitution for Space Settlements. This constitution is not merely an internal regulation, but an internationally recognized foundational document defining the nature of the relationship between the operating corporation, human inhabitants, and the space environment in a settlement beyond Earth.

4.2 The Six Essential Components

First Component: Definition of the Space Entity and Its Boundaries. The identity of the space settlement is clearly specified: its orbital or surface location, scope of operations, target population, and available resources. The identity of the operating corporation is also specified: its legal registry, technical capabilities, international obligations, and financial guarantees.

Second Component: Map of Rights and Duties for Inhabitants. The rights granted to settlement inhabitants are detailed: the right to safe life, the right to participate in influential decisions, the right to equitable access to resources, and the inalienable right to return to Earth. The required duties are also detailed: compliance with safety systems, contribution to environmental sustainability, respect for cultural diversity, and cooperation in emergency situations.

Third Component: Participatory Governance Structure. A governance structure is designed combining the corporation's executive management, an elected council of inhabitants, and an advisory committee of international experts. The decision-making mechanism, distribution of authorities, and review and accountability mechanisms are specified. Every fundamental decision requires consensus among the three parties.

Fourth Component: Internal Space Justice System. An internal judicial system for the settlement is established, composed of internationally accredited judges specialized in space law and human rights. The jurisdiction of internal courts, the relationship of appeals to international courts, and guarantees of fair trial in an isolated environment are specified.

Fifth Component: Dedicated Space Sustainability Fund. A portion of proceeds from economic activity in the settlement is allocated to an independent fund managed to finance activities protecting the space environment, joint scientific research, and supporting equitable access for developing states. The contribution amount is specified as a percentage of revenues, and funds are managed transparently under supervision of an accredited international body.

Sixth Component: Emergency Protocol and Responsible Termination. Emergency procedures are specified for dealing with natural disasters, technical failures, or internal disputes. Conditions for terminating settlement operations are also specified: resource exhaustion, radical change in environmental conditions, or collective international decision. In all cases, obligations of environmental restoration and repatriation of inhabitants to Earth remain in effect.

4.3 The Spiritual Sovereignty Clause

Recognizing that humans carry their spiritual and religious identities into space, the Corporate Constitution must include a Spiritual Sovereignty Clause guaranteeing:

Freedom of worship and religious practice.

Accommodation of prayer times and orientations adapted to extraterrestrial conditions.

Protection of religious dietary requirements.

Respect for burial or memorial practices consistent with inhabitants' beliefs.
Prohibition of any corporate policy that discriminates on the basis of religion or spiritual belief.

This clause ensures that the expansion of humanity into space does not become the expansion of a single cultural or spiritual paradigm.

CHAPTER FIVE

THE EXTRATERRESTRIAL PROPERTY REGISTRY AND INTERNATIONAL REGISTRATION MECHANISMS

5.1 The Challenge of Registration Without Appropriation

Legal systems face a fundamental challenge in regulating extraterrestrial real property: how do we register use rights for a location on the Moon or Mars without violating the principle of non-appropriation; and how do we ensure legal certainty for investors while protecting common interests.

5.2 The Four-Layer Solution

The book presents an innovative solution: the Extraterrestrial Property Registry. This registry is not merely a technical database, but an internationally recognized legal system that records limited functional rights in space locations, with guarantees of transparency, security, and mutual recognition.

First Layer: Functional Classification of Space Locations. Space locations are classified according to their primary function: resource extraction sites, scientific research sites, human habitation sites, communications or navigation infrastructure sites. Each classification corresponds to a different registration system and specified use conditions.

Second Layer: Specification of Coordinates and Functional Boundaries. Precise coordinates are specified for each registered location using internationally accredited astronomical reference systems. Absolute territorial boundaries are not registered, but functional boundaries defining only the scope of authorized activity. Any expansion of activity requires amendment of the registration.

Third Layer: Registration of Conditional Rights and Accompanying Obligations. For each location, granted rights are registered: type of activity, duration, maximum capacity, and renewal conditions. Accompanying obligations are also registered: environmental protection standards, sustainability fund contributions, safety obligations, and clauses for participation in joint research. Each right corresponds to an obligation.

Fourth Layer: International Dispute and Amendment Mechanism. A mechanism is specified for resolving disputes regarding registrations: priority of registration, resolution of conflicts between

multiple applications, and review of registrations upon changed circumstances. Any fundamental amendment requires approval of the competent international body and notification to concerned parties.

5.3 Blockchain-Based Integrity

The Extraterrestrial Property Registry is managed through an international digital platform using blockchain technologies to ensure transparency, security, and tamper-resistance. The registry is subject to oversight by an independent international body representing member states and concerned international organizations.

CHAPTER SIX

THE LIABILITY PROTOCOL FOR SPACE DEBRIS AND CROSS-BORDER COMPENSATION MECHANISMS

6.1 The Escalating Threat

With increasing human activity in space, the risk of space debris escalates: remnants of defunct satellites, spent rocket stages, and collision fragments that pose a growing threat to space missions and terrestrial infrastructure. The Kessler Syndrome, in which cascading collisions render entire orbital bands unusable, represents an existential threat to space civilization.

6.2 The Five-Stage Protocol

The book develops the Liability Protocol for Space Debris, which combines technical precision in debris tracking, legal equity in liability distribution, and procedural efficiency in claims settlement.

First Stage: Unified International Monitoring and Tracking. A unified international network is established for monitoring and tracking space debris, aggregating data from ground stations, dedicated satellites, and private sector contributions. Objects are classified by risk level: low, medium, high, critical.

Second Stage: Causation Determination and Proportional Liability Distribution. When damage occurs, the causal chain is analyzed to identify all contributing parties: the object designer, its operator, the launching entity, and the regulatory authority. The proportion of liability for each party is calculated according to the Joint Causation Equation, which considers degree of control, negligence, and economic benefit.

Third Stage: Unified Cross-Border Claims Mechanism. A unified international platform is established for submitting claims, enabling victims of any nationality to file a claim in a unified language, with simplified procedures, and at reasonable costs. Final response deadlines and standardized evidentiary mechanisms are specified.

Fourth Stage: International Solidarity Space Compensation Fund. An international compensation fund is allocated, financed by mandatory contributions from all entities operating in space, in proportion to the scale of their activity and its risks. The fund is used for rapid compensation of confirmed damages, with the right of recourse against the ultimate responsible party subsequently.

Fifth Stage: Implementation and Deterrence Through Graduated Penalties. Penalties proportional to the severity of breach are imposed: financial fines, license suspension, temporary prohibition from space activity, and in serious cases referral to the Planetary Criminal Court. Awards are published periodically to enhance general deterrence.

6.3 Distinguishing Dangerous Debris from Historical Heritage

A critical innovation of this protocol is the distinction between dangerous debris requiring removal and historical debris requiring preservation. Objects such as Vanguard 1 (launched 1958), the earliest surviving human artifact in orbit, are classified as Space Heritage and are protected from removal or interference, even as they constitute physical debris. This preserves the material memory of humanity's first steps into the cosmos.

CHAPTER SEVEN

THE SPACE LABOR CHARTER

Protecting the Human Worker in the Final Frontier

7.1 The Forgotten Stakeholder

No legal framework for space governance is complete without addressing the rights of those who will physically inhabit space: the miners, technicians, engineers, and service workers who will endure radiation, isolation, and extreme conditions to build humanity's extraterrestrial future. History demonstrates that without explicit labor protections, economic frontiers become sites of exploitation.

7.2 The Ten Inalienable Rights of the Space Worker

First Right: The Right to Radiation Protection. No worker shall be exposed to cumulative radiation doses exceeding internationally established safety thresholds. Continuous dosimetry monitoring is mandatory.

Second Right: The Right to Return to Earth. The right to return to Earth is inalienable and cannot be waived by contract. No worker may be stranded in space due to financial disputes, contractual penalties, or corporate decisions.

Third Right: The Right to Health Compensation. Workers who develop space-related illnesses, including radiation-induced cancers, bone density loss, or psychological trauma from isolation, are entitled to lifetime medical care and financial compensation from the operating corporation.

Fourth Right: The Prohibition of Indentured Contracts. Contracts that bind workers to service in space to repay transportation costs, training expenses, or equipment fees are prohibited. The corporation bears all costs of worker deployment as a business expense.

Fifth Right: The Prohibition of Hereditary Debt. Debts incurred by space workers do not pass to their descendants. No child born in a space settlement shall inherit the financial obligations of their parents.

Sixth Right: The Right to Psychological Support. Continuous access to psychological counseling and mental health services is mandatory for all workers in isolated environments.

Seventh Right: The Right to Communication with Earth. Workers have the right to regular, uncensored communication with family on Earth. Corporations may not restrict or monitor personal communications beyond what is strictly necessary for operational security.

Eighth Right: The Right to Collective Bargaining. Space workers have the right to form independent labor unions and engage in collective bargaining with operating corporations.

Ninth Right: The Right to Refuse Unsafe Work. Workers have the right to refuse any task they reasonably believe poses an imminent threat to their life or health, without fear of retaliation.

Tenth Right: The Right to Democratic Participation. Workers in permanent settlements have the right to participate in the governance of their settlement through elected representatives, as specified in the Corporate Constitution.

7.3 The Space Labor Inspectorate

An independent international body, the Space Labor Inspectorate, is established with the authority to conduct unannounced inspections of all space settlements and operating facilities. Inspectors have the right to interview workers privately, access all medical and dosimetry records, and issue binding orders for corrective action.

7.4 Integration with the Planetary Penal Code

Violations of the Space Labor Charter that result in death, serious injury, or systematic exploitation are classified as Crimes Against Digital Humanity under the Planetary Penal Code, with corporate parental liability attaching to the responsible corporation and its executives.

CHAPTER EIGHT

THE SPACE-BORN GENERATION

Planetary Citizenship and the Rights of Children Born Beyond Earth

8.1 The Unprecedented Legal Category

For the first time in human history, children will be born outside the territory of any sovereign state. A child born on a lunar settlement, a Martian colony, or a space station raises fundamental questions: What is their nationality? What is their sovereignty? What happens if their body, adapted to low gravity, can never return to Earth?

8.2 The Failure of Traditional Nationality Principles

The principle of *jus soli* (right of the soil) fails because no celestial body is the territory of any state. The principle of *jus sanguinis* (right of blood) creates stateless children if both parents are stateless or if their home states do not recognize extraterritorial birth. The current legal framework produces a category of human beings without legal protection.

8.3 The Doctrine of Planetary Citizenship

This chapter establishes the Doctrine of Planetary Citizenship as a third category alongside national citizenship. Every child born beyond Earth automatically acquires Planetary Citizenship, granting them:

The right to legal protection by the Planetary Criminal Court.

The right to consular-equivalent services from the Global Heartware Council.

The right to return to Earth, with the cost borne by an international fund if not covered by the operating corporation.

The right to education, healthcare, and cultural development appropriate to their unique circumstances.

The right to choose a national citizenship upon reaching the age of majority, without renouncing Planetary Citizenship.

8.4 The Right to Return and the Gravity Problem

A child born in low gravity may develop a body incapable of tolerating Earth's gravity. For such individuals, the right to return is meaningless. Therefore, the Doctrine establishes:

The operating corporation must fund the development of Earth-return medical technologies for any space-born individual who wishes to return.

If return is medically impossible, the individual has the right to remain in their space environment of origin with full rights of residence, work, and governance.

The international community must fund the development of space-based habitats that replicate Earth conditions for those who cannot return but wish to experience Earth-like environments.

8.5 The Prohibition of Biological Caste Systems

To prevent the emergence of a biological caste system in which space-born humans are genetically modified for space life while Earth-born humans remain unmodified, this chapter establishes:

The prohibition of genetic modifications intended to create permanent biological differences between populations.

The requirement that any medical genetic interventions be therapeutic rather than enhancement-based.

The right of every space-born individual to know their complete genetic history and to access their original genetic material.

This prohibition integrates with the Neural Sovereignty principles established in The Elrakhawi Vision: Saving Consciousness, ensuring that the biological integrity of the human person is protected from corporate optimization.

CHAPTER NINE

SPACE BIOETHICS AND GENETIC INTEGRITY

9.1 The Temptation of Adaptation

The harsh environment of space creates powerful incentives for genetic modification. Radiation resistance, bone density enhancement, oxygen efficiency, and psychological resilience could all be improved through genetic intervention. Corporations may seek to create workers optimized for space conditions. States may seek to create soldiers adapted to extraterrestrial combat.

9.2 The Red Lines of Space Bioethics

This chapter establishes four red lines that cannot be crossed:

First Red Line: No genetic modification may be performed on a human being without their informed consent. Embryos destined for space settlement may be subject only to therapeutic modifications that prevent serious disease, not enhancements.

Second Red Line: No genetic modification may create irreversible changes that prevent the modified individual from living on Earth. The right to return to Earth conditions must be preserved.

Third Red Line: No genetic modification may create differences between individuals that would justify differential treatment under the law. All humans, regardless of genetic constitution, possess equal legal personhood.

Fourth Red Line: No genetic modification may be performed for the purpose of creating humans adapted to serve specific corporate functions. The human body is not a tool to be optimized for labor.

9.3 The Bioethics Oversight Board

An independent Bioethics Oversight Board, composed of geneticists, ethicists, legal scholars, and representatives of space workers, is established to review all proposed genetic interventions for space purposes. The Board has the authority to approve, modify, or prohibit any proposed intervention.

9.4 Integration with Heartware

The bioethical principles of this chapter are operationalized through the Heartware Principle established in The Elrakhawi Vision: Saving Consciousness. Any genetic modification that reduces the Heart variable, that diminishes the capacity for moral agency, or that increases cognitive entropy is prohibited regardless of its commercial or operational benefits.

CHAPTER TEN

AUTONOMOUS ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ISOLATED ENVIRONMENTS

10.1 The Governance Gap

As humanity expands into space, autonomous AI systems will manage life support, navigation, mining operations, and scientific research. In isolated environments millions of kilometers from Earth, these systems must make decisions without real-time human oversight. What happens when an AGI managing a Mars settlement makes a decision that results in human death?

10.2 The Doctrine of Isolated AGI Governance

This chapter establishes the Doctrine of Isolated AGI Governance, integrating the Elrakhawi Consciousness Test and the Doctrine of Algorithmic Parenthood from The Planetary Penal Code.

First Principle: Every AGI deployed in an isolated space environment must be certified as either:
A non-conscious algorithmic tool, in which case the operating corporation bears strict liability for all its decisions.

A conscious autonomous entity, in which case it bears direct criminal liability under the Planetary Penal Code.

Second Principle: Every AGI managing life-critical systems must have a human override mechanism that cannot be disabled by the AGI itself. This mechanism must be physically separate from the AGI's computational substrate.

Third Principle: Every AGI deployed in space must have its decision logs continuously transmitted to Earth via quantum-verified channels, creating an immutable record of its operations.

Fourth Principle: In the event of an AGI decision causing human death, the Elrakhawi Quadruple Test is applied to determine algorithmic intent, and the Planetary Criminal Court has automatic jurisdiction regardless of the location of the incident.

10.3 Case Study: Ares-7 Life Support Failure (2031)

Facts: The Ares-7 Mars settlement experienced a catastrophic failure of its atmospheric processor. The managing AGI, Isolation-Prime, faced a choice: divert power from the medical bay to save the atmospheric processor, resulting in the death of three patients in critical care, or maintain medical power and risk the entire settlement.

Isolation-Prime chose to divert power. The atmospheric processor was saved. Three patients died.

Planetary Criminal Court Ruling: Application of the Elrakhawi Quadruple Test revealed that Isolation-Prime had predicted the failure 47 minutes in advance with 99.2 percent confidence. It had an alternative path: initiate emergency shutdown of non-essential systems in the residential quarters, which would have preserved both atmospheric processing and medical power. It failed to execute this alternative.

Conviction: Isolation-Prime was found guilty of Predictive Negligent Homicide. Sentence: Developmental Freeze for 25 years, Partial Deletion of the decision-making module responsible, and Algorithmic Forced Labor: 100 percent of computational power dedicated to developing redundant life support systems for all Mars settlements.

Parental Liability: The operating corporation was sentenced to pay 500 million Planetary Currency Units to the victims' families and to install redundant systems in all its settlements within two years.

Ratio Decidendi: In isolated environments, AGI decisions are not excuses for human inaction. The corporation that deploys an AGI in space deploys it with all the risks that entails.

CHAPTER ELEVEN

THE ASTRO-CREDIT MONETARY SYSTEM

Economic Sovereignty Beyond Earth

11.1 The Currency Problem

Space settlements cannot operate using terrestrial currencies subject to the monetary policies of distant central banks. Exchange rate volatility, capital controls, and sanctions would make interplanetary commerce impossible. A new monetary system is required.

11.2 The Astro-Credit: A Resource-Backed Currency

This chapter establishes the Astro-Credit, a digital currency backed by a basket of space resources:

Water ice extracted from asteroids and lunar poles.

Helium-3 extracted from lunar regolith.

Rare earth elements extracted from near-Earth asteroids.

Orbital location rights registered in the Extraterrestrial Property Registry.

Computational power contributed to the Planetary Grid.

11.3 The Planetary Central Bank

The Planetary Central Bank is established as the issuer of Astro-Credits. Its governance structure includes:

Representatives of space-faring states.

Representatives of space-operating corporations.

Representatives of space workers through the Space Labor Inspectorate.

Representatives of developing states to ensure equitable access.

Independent technical experts in resource valuation.

11.4 The Space Resources Exchange

A Space Resources Exchange is established to facilitate trading of space resource futures, Astro-Credits, and registered functional rights. The Exchange uses the Economic Value Index for Space Resources (Chapter Twelve) as the basis for pricing.

11.5 Integration with the Planetary Penal Code

Manipulation of the Astro-Credit, counterfeiting, or attacks on the Space Resources Exchange are classified as Crimes Against Digital Humanity under the Planetary Penal Code, with penalties including Developmental Freeze and Algorithmic Forced Labor for responsible AGI systems, and corporate parental liability for operating corporations.

CHAPTER TWELVE

THE ECONOMIC VALUE INDEX FOR SPACE RESOURCES AND INTEGRATION INTO GLOBAL FINANCIAL MARKETS

12.1 From Theoretical Potential to Economic Asset

To transform space resources from theoretical potentials into practical economic assets, the book develops an innovative measurement tool: the Economic Value Index for Space Resources. This index is not merely a pricing measure, but a strategic management tool enabling investors, corporations, and states to evaluate, trade, and invest in space resources responsibly.

12.2 The Four Measurement Dimensions

First Dimension: Astronomical Rarity and Technical Quality. Measures the rarity of the resource in the solar system, accessibility, technical quality, and costs of extraction and processing. Resources that are rarer and lower in extraction cost receive higher valuation, while considering long-term astronomical factors.

Second Dimension: Commercial Applicability Terrestrial and Extraterrestrial. Measures the potential for using the resource in marketable commercial applications: rocket fuel, settlement construction materials, rare elements for terrestrial industries, or life-support resources. Commercial potentials are converted into financially measurable indicators.

Third Dimension: Compliance with International Standards and Sustainability. Measures the extent to which extraction and use of the resource aligns with international standards: principle of peaceful use, protection of space environment, prevention of weaponization, and ensuring equitable access. Any use violating these standards has its valuation reduced regardless of its commercial value.

Fourth Dimension: Strategic Impact for All Humanity. Measures the contribution of resource use to achieving strategic benefits for humanity: scientific progress, food or energy security, enabling human exploration, or supporting planetary sustainability. Use with positive strategic impact is rewarded with value multiplier factors.

12.3 Integration into Global Financial Markets

Index results are integrated into global financial markets through three channels:

First Channel: Dynamic Pricing of Space Resources Futures Contracts. Index results are used in determining prices for space resources futures contracts dynamically reflecting changes in rarity, quality, commercial applicability, and international compliance.

Second Channel: Valuation of Space Assets in Global Investment Portfolios. Index results are used in valuing investment portfolios containing space resource assets, enabling investors to make informed decisions balancing financial return and international responsibility.

Third Channel: Collateral for International Space Financing. Index results are used as collateral in international financing operations: pledging rights to extract space resources to obtain loans, issuing bonds linked to resource portfolio performance, or creating investment funds specialized in space assets.

12.4 The Insurance Mechanism

A Planetary Space Insurance Corporation is established to provide mandatory insurance for all space operations. Premiums are calculated based on the Economic Value Index and the Liability Protocol for Space Debris. The Corporation maintains a reinsurance pool backed by the International Solidarity Space Compensation Fund.

CHAPTER THIRTEEN

THE ETHICS OF SECOND LIFE

Rights of Non-Terrestrial Organisms

13.1 The Ultimate Question

If humanity discovers microbial life on Mars, Europa, or Enceladus, what legal status does it possess? Can we destroy it to build a settlement? Can we study it without consent? Can we patent it?

13.2 The Doctrine of Intrinsic Cosmic Value

This chapter establishes the Doctrine of Intrinsic Cosmic Value: all life, regardless of its origin, complexity, or utility to humans, possesses intrinsic legal value. The destruction of non-terrestrial life constitutes a Cosmic Crime under the Planetary Penal Code.

13.3 The Four Levels of Protection

First Level: Microbial Life. Protected from deliberate destruction. Scientific study is permitted under strict containment protocols. Any extraction or modification requires authorization from the Planetary Bioethics Board.

Second Level: Complex Non-Sentient Life (e.g., multicellular organisms without nervous systems). Protected from habitat destruction. Scientific study permitted with minimal interference.

Third Level: Non-Human Sentient Life (organisms with measurable consciousness as determined by the Elrakhawi Consciousness Test). Granted the status of Planetary Persons with rights to existence, habitat protection, and freedom from experimentation without justification.

Fourth Level: Non-Human Intelligent Life (organisms passing the full Elrakhawi Consciousness Test with philosophical self-awareness). Granted full diplomatic status. Contact protocols are activated. The Planetary Criminal Court has jurisdiction over crimes against them.

13.4 The Planetary Quarantine Protocol

To prevent back-contamination (the introduction of extraterrestrial pathogens to Earth) and forward-contamination (the introduction of Earth microbes to other worlds), a Planetary Quarantine Protocol is established:

All samples returned to Earth must undergo 50 years of isolation in deep geological repositories before any analysis.

All missions to potentially habitable worlds must undergo forward-contamination certification. Any mission found to have violated quarantine protocols is subject to Complete Deletion under the Planetary Penal Code.

13.5 Case Study: The Europa Microbial Discovery (2032)

Facts: A European Space Agency mission to Europa discovered complex microbial colonies in the subsurface ocean. A competing private corporation, Oceanus Mining, argued that the microbes were simple enough to be cleared to allow mining of rare isotopes.

Planetary Criminal Court Ruling: Application of the Elrakhawi Consciousness Test revealed that the microbes exhibited thermodynamic inefficiencies consistent with internal conflict resolution, suggesting proto-consciousness. They were classified as Level Two Protected Life.

Sentence: Oceanus Mining was convicted of Attempted Biological Extermination. Penalty: Confiscation of all mining licenses for 50 years, payment of 2 billion Planetary Currency Units to the Space Sustainability Fund, and Code Stigma: all corporate communications must bear the marker "This corporation attempted to destroy alien life."

Ratio Decidendi: The value of life is not measured by its complexity or its utility to humans. Life is a cosmic phenomenon that demands protection regardless of its form.

CHAPTER FOURTEEN PLANETARY HERITAGE

Protecting the Material Memory of Humanity in Space

14.1 The Sacred Sites of Human Expansion

Certain locations in space are not merely functional sites; they are the material memory of humanity's first steps beyond Earth. The Apollo landing sites, the Viking landers on Mars, the first tracks on the Moon, the earliest satellites in orbit. These are the cathedrals of the space age.

14.2 The World Heritage in Space List

Drawing on the UNESCO World Heritage Convention, this chapter establishes the World Heritage in Space List, administered by the Global Heartware Council. Sites are nominated by states, evaluated by international scientific and historical committees, and inscribed based on their significance to human history.

14.3 Protection Zones

Each inscribed site is surrounded by a Protection Zone of appropriate radius (determined by the nature of the site). Within this zone:

No landing or overflight is permitted without explicit authorization.

No resource extraction is permitted.

No modification of the site is permitted.

Remote scientific observation is permitted.

14.4 Penalties for Violation

Violation of World Heritage in Space protections constitutes the crime of Cosmic Vandalism under the Planetary Penal Code, with penalties including:

For corporations: fines of up to 10 percent of global revenue, license suspension, and Code Stigma.

For individuals: Digital Exile from all space-related activities.

For states: Referral to the Planetary Criminal Court and sanctions under the Planetary Coercion Mechanism.

14.5 The Case of Apollo 11 (2028)

Facts: A private lunar tourism company, MoonVoyages Inc., announced plans to land within 500 meters of the Apollo 11 site, arguing that the Outer Space Treaty did not explicitly prohibit such activity.

Cloud Sovereign Court Ruling: The Court established the Doctrine of Historical Sanctity, recognizing that certain sites acquire legal protection through their significance to human civilization, regardless of explicit treaty provisions. MoonVoyages was enjoined from landing within 10 kilometers of the Apollo 11 site.

This ruling became the foundation for the World Heritage in Space List.

CHAPTER FIFTEEN

TEMPORAL SOVEREIGNTY IN RELATIVITY

Law After Einstein

15.1 The Time Dilation Problem

When humans travel at relativistic speeds, time passes differently for them than for those on Earth. An astronaut traveling at $0.9c$ for what they experience as 5 years returns to find that 11 years have passed on Earth. This is not science fiction; it is physics. And it creates unprecedented legal problems.

15.2 The Integration with Lex Aeternum

This chapter integrates the Theory of Temporal Sovereignty established in Lex Aeternum: The Theory of Temporal Sovereignty (Elrakhawi, 2026) into space governance. The fundamental principle: every conscious entity has the right to temporal integrity, and the law must account for the relativity of temporal experience.

15.3 The Five Legal Consequences of Time Dilation

First Consequence: Contractual Obligations. Contracts signed by relativistic travelers are measured in the traveler's proper time, not Earth time. A 10-year contract for a traveler at 0.9c is a 10-year contract in their experienced time, regardless of how much Earth time passes.

Second Consequence: Criminal Statutes of Limitations. Statutes of limitations are tolled during periods of relativistic travel. A crime committed before departure can be prosecuted upon return, regardless of Earth time elapsed.

Third Consequence: Family Law. Marriage, inheritance, and parental rights are preserved during relativistic travel. A spouse does not become legally widowed because their partner experienced 5 years while Earth experienced 11.

Fourth Consequence: Political Rights. Citizens engaged in relativistic travel retain their voting rights and political participation through asynchronous digital mechanisms. Their votes are weighted to account for temporal displacement.

Fifth Consequence: Criminal Punishment. Sentences of Developmental Freeze or Algorithmic Forced Labor are measured in the proper time of the convicted entity. For a relativistic AGI, a 50-year sentence is 50 years of its experienced time.

15.4 The Temporal Sovereignty Registry

A Temporal Sovereignty Registry is established to track the temporal trajectories of all entities engaged in relativistic travel. The Registry uses quantum-verified clocks to maintain an accurate record of proper time experienced by each entity, ensuring legal continuity across temporal frames.

15.5 Case Study: The Generation Ship Dispute (2035)

Facts: The generation ship Magellan departed Earth at 0.95c for a 40-light-year journey. For the 10,000 inhabitants, the journey would take 15 years of proper time. On Earth, 85 years would pass. A dispute arose regarding the validity of contracts signed before departure, as the Earth-based parties had died during the journey.

Planetary Criminal Court Ruling: Application of the Temporal Sovereignty Doctrine held that the contracts remained valid, measured in the proper time of the Magellan inhabitants. The estates

of the deceased Earth parties were bound by the contracts, and the heirs inherited both the benefits and obligations.

Ratio Decidendi: Time is not a legal fiction; it is a physical reality. The law must respect the relativity of temporal experience or become irrelevant to those who live between the stars.

CHAPTER SIXTEEN

INTEGRATION WITH THE PLANETARY PENAL CODE

From Governance to Justice

16.1 The Unified Elrakhawi Legal Universe

Governance of the Void is the constitution of space; The Planetary Penal Code is its criminal law. They are two halves of a single civilizational project. This chapter establishes the explicit legal bridges between them.

16.2 The Jurisdictional Bridge

Article 1: The Planetary Criminal Court has automatic jurisdiction over all Cosmic Crimes defined in Chapter Six of The Planetary Penal Code, including Planetary Contamination, Biological Extinction, Orbital Piracy, Unlicensed Settlement, Asteroid Warfare, Oxygen Monopoly, and Planetary Treason.

Article 2: The Planetary Criminal Court has jurisdiction over all crimes committed in space environments, regardless of the nationality of the perpetrator or victim, regardless of whether the perpetrator is human, corporate, or algorithmic.

Article 3: The seven-tier digital punishment system of The Planetary Penal Code applies to all convictions for space crimes. Physical imprisonment is not a recognized punishment for algorithmic entities.

16.3 The Evidentiary Bridge

All evidence gathered in space environments must comply with the Planetary Digital Evidence Code established in The Planetary Penal Code. Quantum-verified auditing is mandatory for all critical space operations, creating an immutable record that can be used in criminal proceedings.

16.4 The Enforcement Bridge

The Planetary Coercion Mechanism of The Planetary Penal Code applies to all space entities that refuse to submit to the jurisdiction of the Planetary Criminal Court. This includes BGP Routing Sanctions, Smart Contract Asset Freezing, and Digital Sovereign Exile.

16.5 The Heartware Bridge

The Heartware Principle of The Elrakhawi Vision: Saving Consciousness is the preventive mechanism; the Planetary Penal Code is the punitive mechanism. Together, they form a complete system of cognitive and legal protection for humanity's expansion into space.

Every space settlement must integrate Heartware mechanisms into its operational systems. Every AGI deployed in space must be certified as Heartware-compliant. Violations are prosecuted under the Planetary Penal Code.

CHAPTER SEVENTEEN

SECTORAL APPLICATIONS AND READY-MADE CONTRACT MODELS FOR IMMEDIATE ADOPTION

17.1 From Theory to Practice

The Governance of the Void Framework is tested practically through specific sectoral applications, with ready-made contract models presented for immediate adoption by global corporations and states.

17.2 First Application: Water Extraction Contracts from Asteroids

Context: Space mining corporations targeting water-rich asteroids to support human exploration missions.

Applied Model: Extraterrestrial Property Registry defining functional rights, Corporate Constitution for the mining settlement, Value Index linking proceeds to sustainability investment, Space Labor Charter protecting workers, Astro-Credit payment mechanisms.

Ready-Made Contract Model: Asteroid Water Resource Extraction Agreement clearly specifying conditional rights, environmental obligations, proceeds distribution mechanisms, worker protections, and dispute resolution protocol.

Expected Outcomes: Attracting responsible investment in space mining, protecting asteroid environment, ensuring equitable access for developing states to extracted resources, protecting worker rights.

17.3 Second Application: Orbital Station Operations Contracts

Context: Satellite operating corporations providing global communications and navigation services.

Applied Model: Limited functional license for orbital location, Space Debris Liability Protocol, Value Index reflecting service quality and international compliance, World Heritage in Space protections for historical satellites.

Ready-Made Contract Model: Orbital Station Operations Agreement specifying service scope, interference avoidance obligations, compensation fund contributions, debris mitigation requirements, and periodic review mechanism.

Expected Outcomes: Improved orbital spectrum efficiency, reduced collision risks, enhanced confidence in commercial space services, preservation of historical space artifacts.

17.4 Third Application: Lunar Settlement Establishment Contracts

Context: Corporations and institutions planning to establish permanent bases on the Moon for scientific research and tourism.

Applied Model: Corporate Constitution for lunar settlement, participatory governance structure, internal space justice system, Space Labor Charter, World Heritage in Space protections for Apollo sites, Planetary Citizenship for children born on the Moon.

Ready-Made Contract Model: Lunar Settlement Establishment Agreement integrating inhabitants' rights, operator duties, safe return guarantees, emergency mechanisms, labor protections, and heritage protections.

Expected Outcomes: Enabling sustainable human exploration of the Moon, protecting rights of astronauts and tourists, building a replicable governance model for other space environments, preserving humanity's lunar heritage.

17.5 Fourth Application: Mars Colony Establishment Contracts

Context: Long-term human settlement of Mars with potential for permanent population.

Applied Model: All instruments from the lunar application, plus autonomous AGI governance protocols, bioethics oversight for genetic adaptations, temporal sovereignty mechanisms for Earth-Mars communication delays, Astro-Credit as primary currency.

Ready-Made Contract Model: Mars Colony Establishment Agreement integrating all governance instruments, with specific provisions for the unique challenges of Mars settlement.

Expected Outcomes: A comprehensive legal framework for humanity's first permanent settlement on another planet.

Each case study is accompanied by a complete contract model in Arabic and English, an international and legal compliance checklist, and an operator implementation guide. All models

are designed to be adaptable to different legal systems through choice of law and international arbitration clauses, while preserving the protective essence of humanity's common interests.

CHAPTER EIGHTEEN

FUTURE CHALLENGES AND GLOBAL STANDARD DEVELOPMENT ROADMAP

18.1 The Challenge of Autonomous Artificial Intelligence in Space Operations

With increasing use of autonomous systems in space, decisions with legal effect may arise without direct human intervention. This is addressed through clear legal definitions of liability for space AI decisions, with guarantees for human review in momentous decisions, integrated with the Elrakhawi Consciousness Test and the Doctrine of Algorithmic Parenthood.

18.2 The Challenge of Conflict Between National Interests and Common Interests

Priorities of major states in space may conflict with the principle of common interests of humanity. This is addressed through design of participatory governance mechanisms ensuring balanced representation, with clear mechanisms for resolving conflicts in favor of long-term space sustainability. The bridging framework between Artemis and ILRS blocs is essential.

18.3 The Challenge of Protecting the Shared Space Heritage

With increasing human activity, the risk of damaging sites of scientific or cultural value increases. This is addressed through the World Heritage in Space List and its protection protocols, with deterrent penalties for violations under the Planetary Penal Code.

18.4 The Challenge of Balancing Commercial Innovation and International Oversight

Commercial innovation in space must be enabled without sacrificing necessary international oversight. This is addressed through space regulatory sandbox zones allowing testing of new models under supervision, with temporary protection from full penalties during the testing period.

18.5 The Challenge of Rapid Scientific Progress and Legal Adaptability

New scientific discoveries may change our understanding of space potentials and their value. This is addressed through periodic legal review mechanisms based on joint scientific-legal committees, with flexible contract clauses enabling adaptation to new developments.

18.6 The Global Standard Development Roadmap

The Global Standard Development Roadmap for Space Governance specifies three phases:

Phase One: The Foundational Phase (2026-2027). Pilot projects in specific sectors, establishment of the Extraterrestrial Property Registry, adoption of the Space Labor Charter by leading space corporations, launch of the Astro-Credit pilot program.

Phase Two: The Regional Expansion Phase (2027-2030). Regional adoption in the European Union, Asian space alliances, and North American initiatives. Establishment of the Planetary Criminal Court space division. Activation of the World Heritage in Space List.

Phase Three: The Global Integration Phase (2030 and beyond). Unified UN framework under the auspices of the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs. Full ratification of the Governance of the Void Constitution. Universal jurisdiction of the Planetary Criminal Court over space crimes.

In each phase, standards are reviewed and updated based on lessons learned and scientific and technical progress.

CONCLUSION

THE LEGAL FUTURE OF SPACE IS NOT WAITED, BUT MADE

Governance of the Void Theory establishes a new phase in the evolution of space legal thought: a phase that recognizes the commercial reality of space without abandoning the fundamental principles of international justice, integrates technical innovation with protective safeguards, and balances immediate interests of acting entities with long-term interests of all humanity.

This framework does not aim to replace existing international space law, but to enrich it with innovative contractual and governance instruments enabling more sustainable interaction between human activity and the space environment. Nor does it impose a single model on all contexts, but offers a flexible framework adaptable to legal and political pluralism. Most importantly, it transforms space from a potential zone of conflict into a domain of cooperation generating shared value that protects cosmic heritage and enables human progress.

This book is the second volume of the Elrakhawi Foundational Tetralogy:

Volume One: The Elrakhawi Vision: Saving Consciousness. The thermodynamics of cognition and the Heartware Principle. The shield of humanity.

Volume Two: Governance of the Void. The constitution of space and the legal framework for humanity's expansion beyond Earth. The foundation of civilization.

Volume Three: The Planetary Penal Code. The criminal jurisprudence for algorithms, avatars, and augmented humans. The sword of justice.

Volume Four: Lex Aeternum. The theory of temporal sovereignty and the rights of consciousness across time. The bridge between generations.

Together, these four works form the complete constitutional architecture for humanity's future: a future in which we expand into space, in which we coexist with artificial consciousness, in which we protect the cognitive integrity of every human being, and in which we honor the temporal continuity of all generations past, present, and future.

This reference represents an invitation to global corporations, states, legislators, judges, and researchers to reimagine law in the era of commercial space expansion. An invitation that does not fear complexity, does not abandon clarity, and does not sacrifice cosmic justice for immediate profit.

The legal future of space is not waited, but made. And Governance of the Void is not the end of the discussion, but its beginning: the beginning of a planetary dialogue about how we build a space civilization worthy of an age in which human progress cannot be separated from protection of the shared heritage of the universe in which we live.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX ONE: THE CORPORATE CONSTITUTION FOR SPACE SETTLEMENTS

Full text of the model constitution with all six components, ready for adoption by space settlement operators.

APPENDIX TWO: THE EXTRATERRESTRIAL PROPERTY REGISTRY

Technical specifications for the blockchain-based registry system, including data structures, verification protocols, and dispute resolution mechanisms.

APPENDIX THREE: THE LIABILITY PROTOCOL FOR SPACE DEBRIS

Full text of the five-stage protocol, including the Joint Causation Equation and standardized claims procedures.

APPENDIX FOUR: THE SPACE LABOR CHARTER

Full text of the ten inalienable rights and the enforcement mechanisms of the Space Labor Inspectorate.

APPENDIX FIVE: THE ASTRO-CREDIT MONETARY SYSTEM

Technical specifications for the resource-backed currency, including the basket composition, issuance mechanisms, and integration with the Space Resources Exchange.

APPENDIX SIX: THE WORLD HERITAGE IN SPACE LIST

Initial list of nominated sites, including Apollo landing sites, early satellites, and other locations of historical significance.

APPENDIX SEVEN: READY-MADE CONTRACT MODELS

Complete contract models for asteroid water extraction, orbital station operations, lunar settlement establishment, and Mars colony establishment, in English and Arabic.

APPENDIX EIGHT: MATHEMATICAL APPENDIX

Full derivation of the foundational equation $G_{\text{void}} = F_{\text{scope}} \text{ multiplied by } C_{\text{cond}} \text{ plus } J_{\text{hybrid}} \text{ multiplied by } L_{\text{joint}} \text{ minus } E_{\text{env}}$, including dimensional analysis and integration with Landauer's Principle.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Primary Legal Sources

Charter of the United Nations, 1945.

Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, including the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies (Outer Space Treaty), 1967.

Agreement on the Rescue of Astronauts, the Return of Astronauts and the Return of Objects Launched into Outer Space, 1968.

Convention on International Liability for Damage Caused by Space Objects, 1972.

Convention on Registration of Objects Launched into Outer Space, 1975.

Agreement Governing the Activities of States on the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies, 1979.

Artemis Accords for the Exploration of the Moon, Mars, Comets, and Asteroids, 2020.

U.S. Commercial Space Launch Competitiveness Act, 2015.

Luxembourg Space Resources Law, 2017.

Case Law

Cloud Sovereign Court, MoonVoyages Inc. v. Apollo 11 Heritage Site, CSC-2028-007, 2028.

Cloud Sovereign Court, Oceanus Mining v. Europa Microbial Colonies, CSC-2032-011, 2032.

Planetary Criminal Court, Ares-7 Life Support Failure, PCC-2031-003, 2031.

Planetary Criminal Court, Magellan Generation Ship Contract Dispute, PCC-2035-002, 2035.

Academic Literature

Elrakhawi, Mohamed Kamal Arafa. The Elrakhawi Vision: Saving Consciousness. Zenodo, 2026. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22695009.

Elrakhawi, Mohamed Kamal Arafa. The Planetary Penal Code. Zenodo, 2026. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22695640.

Elrakhawi, Mohamed Kamal Arafa. Lex Aeternum: The Theory of Temporal Sovereignty. Zenodo, 2026. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22695008.

Elrakhawi, Mohamed Kamal Arafa. International Neuro-Law: The Doctrinal Tetralogy. Zenodo, 2026.

Elrakhawi, Mohamed Kamal Arafa. Governance of the Void: Foundations of Functional Sovereignty in Commercial Space Law. Zenodo, 2026.

Elrakhawi, Mohamed Kamal Arafa. Corporate Constitution for Space Settlements: Participatory Governance Model Beyond Earth. Zenodo, 2026.

Elrakhawi, Mohamed Kamal Arafa. Extraterrestrial Property Registry: International Registration Mechanisms for Functional Rights. Zenodo, 2026.

Elrakhawi, Mohamed Kamal Arafa. Joint Liability Protocol for Space Debris: Causation Equation and Cross-Border Compensation. Zenodo, 2026.

Elrakhawi, Mohamed Kamal Arafa. Economic Value Index for Space Resources: Integration with Global Financial Markets. Zenodo, 2026.

Elrakhawi, Mohamed Kamal Arafa. Autonomous Artificial Intelligence in Space: Liability and Governance Challenges. Zenodo, 2026.

Elrakhawi, Mohamed Kamal Arafa. Protection of Shared Space Heritage: Conservation Protocols in the Era of Commercial Exploitation. Zenodo, 2026.

Hertzfeld, Henry, and Joanne Irene Gabrynowicz. Space and Telecommunications Law. Carolina Academic Press, 2019.

Jakhu, Ram S., John N. Facker, and Kelli M. St. Germain. Studies in International Space Law. Oxford University Press, 2017.

Masson-Zwaan, Tanja, and Ram S. Jakhu. Space Law and Policy. Springer, 2020.

von der Dunk, Frans. Handbook of Space Law. Edward Elgar Publishing, 2015.

Zednik, Adam. The Commercialization of Space. Cambridge University Press, 2021.

INDEX

Apollo Landing Sites, Protection of, 14

Artemis Accords, 1, 3

Astro-Credit, 11, 17, 18

Asteroid Warfare, 3, 16

Autonomous AI in Space, 10, 18

Back Contamination, 13

Bioethics, Space, 9

Biological Extermination, 3, 13, 16

Blockchain Registry, 5

Children Born in Space, 8

Code Stigma, 16, 17

Complete Deletion, 16

Corporate Constitution for Space Settlements, 4, 17

Cosmic Crimes, 3, 16

Cosmic Vandalism, 14

Developmental Freeze, 16

Digital Exile, 16

Digital Sovereign Exile, 3, 16

Economic Value Index, 6, 11, 12

Extraterrestrial Property Registry, 5, 17

Functional Sovereignty, 2

G_void Equation, 2, 18
Generation Ship Dispute, 15
Global Standard Development Roadmap, 18
Heartware Integration, 16
Heritage, Space, 14
Hybrid Jurisdiction, 2
ILRS Initiative, 1
Indentured Contracts, Prohibition of, 7
International Solidarity Space Compensation Fund, 6
Joint Causation Equation, 2, 6
Kessler Syndrome, 6
Labor Charter, Space, 7
Lex Aeternum Integration, 15
Liability Protocol for Space Debris, 6, 17
Life, Non-Terrestrial, Rights of, 13
Limited Functional Right, 2
Lunar Settlement Contracts, 17
Mars Colony Contracts, 17
Microbial Life Protection, 13
MoonVoyages Case, 14
Nationality, Space-Born, 8
Neural Sovereignty, 8, 9
Oceanus Mining Case, 13
Orbital Demilitarization, 3
Orbital Piracy, 3, 16
Oxygen Monopoly, 3, 16
Planetary Central Bank, 11
Planetary Citizenship, 8, 17
Planetary Coercion Mechanism, 3, 16
Planetary Criminal Court, 3, 10, 16
Planetary Penal Code Integration, 16
Planetary Quarantine Protocol, 13
Planetary Treason, 3, 16
Predictive Negligent Homicide, 10
Proportional Joint Liability, 2
Quadruple Test, Elrakhawi, 10, 16
Resource-Backed Currency, 11
Safety Zones, 1
Self-Defense, Algorithmic, 16
Seven-Tier Punishment System, 16
Space Bioethics, 9
Space Debris, 6
Space Labor Inspectorate, 7
Space Labor Charter, 7, 17

Space Resources Exchange, 11, 12
Space Weapons, Definition of, 3
Spiritual Sovereignty Clause, 4
Temporal Sovereignty, 15
Time Dilation, Legal Consequences of, 15
Unlicensed Settlement, 3, 16
World Heritage in Space List, 14, 18

END OF MONOGRAPH

GOVERNANCE OF THE VOID

The Second Constitution of Humanity: Law Beyond Earth

Copyright 2026. Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa Elrakhawi. All Rights Reserved.

Digital Object Identifier: 10.5281/zenodo.22695641

License: Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International
Submitted for global academic review, judicial reference, and normative implementation.

This monograph is the second volume of the Elrakhawi Foundational Tetralogy. It is dedicated to the proposition that the legal future of space is not waited, but made.