THE GENERAL LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE DIGITAL AND BIOLOGICAL AGE
Principles of Global Governance, Algorithmic Accountability, and Bio-Digital Rights

AUTHORED BY
Mohamed Kamal Arafa Elrakhawi
Legal Researcher, International Consultant, Jurist, Author, and International Lecturer in Law
Specialist in Economic Law, Political Science, Economics, Philosophy, Sociology, International Commercial Arbitration, and Technological Legal Information Science

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22079764

INTRODUCTION

The convergence of digital intelligence, biotechnological advancement, and globalized economic networks has fundamentally altered the landscape of human rights, institutional authority, and regulatory responsibility. Traditional legal frameworks, developed during the industrial era, operate on assumptions of territorial jurisdiction, linear causality, and clearly defined human agency. These assumptions are increasingly inadequate in an era where autonomous algorithms allocate credit, gene-editing technologies rewrite biological inheritance, and decentralized networks operate beyond national borders. This volume establishes a comprehensive legal architecture designed to govern the digital-biological epoch, bridging normative philosophy, comparative jurisprudence, regulatory science, and international cooperation.

This General Framework serves as the overarching constitutional umbrella for the specialized jurisprudential doctrines of the digital-biological age. It integrates and harmonizes the specific architectures of Cybernetic Law (self-evolving legislation), the Economic Law of Black Box Algorithms (autonomous market liability), the Philosophy of Cyber-Biological Law (hybrid entity governance), and the Law of Transgenerational Epigenetics (cross-generational biological justice). Together, these specialized protocols operate under the unified principles established herein, ensuring coherence across all domains of techno-legal regulation.

The central objective of this reference is to formulate adaptive legal principles that protect human dignity, ensure algorithmic transparency, safeguard genetic and informational privacy, and maintain ecological sustainability while fostering responsible innovation. We examine how existing doctrines of liability, contract, property, and constitutional rights must evolve to accommodate non-human agency, synthetic biology, and algorithmic decision-making. The framework proposed herein is grounded in comparative legal analysis, empirical regulatory studies, and forward-looking policy design. It is intended for legislators, judges, legal practitioners, technologists, ethicists, and international policymakers seeking coherent strategies for governing complex socio-technical systems.

As technological acceleration outpaces legislative cycles, the law must shift from reactive prohibition to proactive adaptation. This requires institutional flexibility, interdisciplinary

expertise, and global coordination. Each chapter of this volume integrates doctrinal analysis with practical governance mechanisms, offering both theoretical foundations and implementable regulatory pathways. The goal is not to stifle innovation but to align it with constitutional values, human rights, and intergenerational equity. This framework does not seek to replace existing specialized laws, but rather to provide the interpretative meta-logic through which all future bio-digital legislation must be read and adjudicated.

CHAPTER ONE
FOUNDATIONS OF DIGITAL-BIOLOGICAL JURISPRUDENCE

SECTION ONE: HISTORICAL EVOLUTION AND PARADIGM SHIFTS IN LEGAL THOUGHT

We trace the transformation of legal systems from agrarian customs to industrial codification and now to digital-biological governance. The section examines how technological revolutions have historically triggered jurisprudential shifts, analyzing the inadequacies of twentieth-century legal frameworks in addressing algorithmic autonomy, synthetic biology, and cross-border data flows. We establish the philosophical foundations for a new jurisprudence that recognizes complexity, interdependence, and adaptive regulation as core legal values.

SECTION TWO: CONSTITUTIONAL PRINCIPLES IN THE AGE OF TECHNOLOGICAL SOVEREIGNTY

Constitutions traditionally protect territorial integrity, democratic participation, and fundamental rights. We analyze how digital surveillance, biometric identification, and algorithmic governance challenge constitutional guarantees of privacy, free expression, and due process. The section proposes constitutional adaptations, including digital due process, algorithmic transparency mandates, and bio-ethical safeguards enshrined in fundamental law.

SECTION THREE: COMPARATIVE LEGAL APPROACHES TO EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES

We examine regulatory models across jurisdictions, contrasting the precautionary approaches of the European Union, the innovation-driven frameworks of the United States, and the state-guided strategies of Asian economies. The section identifies best practices, regulatory gaps, and harmonization opportunities, emphasizing the need for interoperable legal standards that respect cultural diversity while maintaining global baseline protections.

SECTION FOUR: PHILOSOPHICAL FOUNDATIONS: AUTONOMY, JUSTICE, AND HUMAN DIGNITY

Legal frameworks must be grounded in coherent ethical principles. We explore deontological, consequentialist, and virtue-based approaches to technology governance, analyzing how concepts of autonomy and justice apply to human-machine interactions and genetic modification. The section develops a rights-based framework that prioritizes human dignity while acknowledging the moral status of complex ecological and technological systems.

SECTION FIVE: THE DOCTRINE OF LEGAL ONTOLOGICAL SHOCK AND DEFAULT INTERIM CLASSIFICATION

Traditional legal reasoning relies heavily on analogy, mapping novel phenomena onto existing categories. However, the digital-biological age introduces entities that defy all historical precedents, such as Artificial General Intelligence or fully synthetic biological organisms with no natural counterpart. This section establishes the Doctrine of Legal Ontological Shock, which suspends traditional legal analogy when an entity exhibits emergent properties that fundamentally rupture existing jurisprudential categories. Upon the occurrence of an ontological shock, the framework mandates the immediate activation of a joint scientific-legal task force to draft bespoke regulatory protocols. Pending the formation of this task force, the Principle of Default Interim Classification applies: hybrid bio-digital entities shall be subject to the Precautionary Maximum Protection standard, ensuring they are neither exploited nor allowed to cause harm due to legal vacuums.

CHAPTER TWO
ALGORITHMIC ACCOUNTABILITY AND LEGAL PERSONHOOD

SECTION ONE: LIABILITY REGIMES FOR AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS
When algorithms cause harm, legal responsibility must be clearly assigned. We examine product liability, negligence, strict liability, and enterprise risk models as applied to artificial intelligence, autonomous vehicles, and medical diagnostic systems. The section proposes layered accountability frameworks that distribute responsibility among developers, deployers, and operators based on control, foreseeability, and economic benefit.

SECTION TWO: ALGORITHMIC TRANSPARENCY, AUDITABILITY, AND EXPLAINABILITY
Justice requires that decisions affecting rights be understandable and contestable. We formalize legal standards for algorithmic explainability, including feature attribution, counterfactual reasoning, and model documentation requirements. The section establishes regulatory mandates for independent algorithmic auditing, bias detection protocols, and performance certification across demographic and geographic contexts.

SECTION THREE: THE DEBATE OVER ELECTRONIC PERSONHOOD AND LEGAL AGENCY
Should advanced AI systems be granted legal personhood? We analyze arguments for and against electronic personhood, comparing it to corporate personhood, animal welfare law, and environmental rights. The section concludes that while limited functional legal status may be appropriate for specific operational purposes, full personhood remains incompatible with current AI capabilities and raises profound ethical and democratic concerns.

SECTION FOUR: DISPUTE RESOLUTION AND AUTOMATED JUSTICE
Algorithmic systems are increasingly used in mediation, arbitration, and judicial decision support. We examine the legal validity of algorithmic rulings, the right to human review, and procedural fairness in automated dispute resolution. The section proposes safeguards ensuring that technological efficiency does not compromise due process, equitable treatment, or the right to appeal.

SECTION FIVE: REVERSAL OF THE BURDEN OF PROOF UNDER EPISTEMIC INEQUALITY
Traditional evidentiary standards fail when algorithmic opacity shields corporate trade secrets. When a plaintiff presents prima facie evidence of algorithmic harm, the burden of proof shifts entirely to the developer or deployer to demonstrate that their system was not discriminatory or causative of the harm. This principle mandates the opening of a Secure Black Box to a court-appointed neutral expert, neutralizing the epistemic inequality between corporate opacity and individual rights.

CHAPTER THREE
DATA SOVEREIGNTY, PRIVACY, GENETIC RIGHTS, AND COGNITIVE LIBERTY

SECTION ONE: INFORMATIONAL SELF-DETERMINATION AND DATA OWNERSHIP
Personal data has become a fundamental economic and social asset. We analyze legal frameworks for data ownership, consent, and portability, contrasting property-based approaches with fiduciary and stewardship models. The section develops a comprehensive framework for informational self-determination, emphasizing user control, data minimization, and purpose limitation.

SECTION TWO: PRIVACY BY DESIGN AND ALGORITHMIC SURVEILLANCE
Mass surveillance, facial recognition, and predictive policing threaten civil liberties. We examine legal standards for privacy-preserving technologies, including differential privacy, federated learning, and homomorphic encryption. The section proposes regulatory requirements for surveillance impact assessments, proportionality tests, and judicial oversight of algorithmic monitoring systems.

SECTION THREE: GENETIC INFORMATION RIGHTS AND BIO-DATA GOVERNANCE
Genomic data reveals intimate biological information with implications for individuals, families, and populations. We analyze legal protections against genetic discrimination, unauthorized sequencing, and commercial exploitation of biological samples. The section establishes standards for informed consent, data anonymization, and benefit-sharing in genomic research and biobanking.

SECTION FOUR: CROSS-BORDER DATA FLOWS AND JURISDICTIONAL HARMONIZATION
Data does not respect territorial boundaries, creating conflicts between privacy laws, national security mandates, and trade agreements. We examine data localization requirements, adequacy decisions, and mutual recognition frameworks. The section proposes interoperable compliance architectures, international data treaties, and dispute resolution mechanisms for cross-border information governance.

SECTION FIVE: THE RIGHT TO COGNITIVE AND BIOLOGICAL INTEGRITY AND THE FIVE INALIENABLE NEURO-RIGHTS

Beyond data privacy and genetic non-discrimination, the digital-biological epoch necessitates the recognition of absolute bodily and mental sovereignty. This section codifies the Right to Cognitive Liberty and Biological Integrity as fundamental, non-derogable human rights, strictly prohibiting any unauthorized neural modulation, covert algorithmic influence, or non-consensual genetic editing. This right is operationalized through the Five Inalienable Neuro-Rights:
1. The Right to Mental Privacy: Absolute protection against unauthorized access to neural data.
2. The Right to Personal Identity: Protection against technological alteration of the self.
3. The Right to Free Will: Freedom from covert algorithmic manipulation of decision-making.
4. The Right to Mental Integrity: Safeguarding the brain from unauthorized biological or digital interference.
5. The Right to Protection from Algorithmic Bias in Access to Services: Ensuring equitable cognitive and biological baseline treatment.

CHAPTER FOUR
SMART CONTRACTS, DECENTRALIZED GOVERNANCE, AND AUTOMATED COMPLIANCE

SECTION ONE: LEGAL ENFORCEABILITY OF ALGORITHMIC AGREEMENTS
Smart contracts execute automatically based on predefined code, raising questions about traditional contract law requirements such as offer, acceptance, consideration, and capacity. We analyze the legal status of code-as-law, examining enforceability, interpretation, and breach remedies. The section proposes hybrid legal-technical frameworks that recognize algorithmic execution while preserving equitable remedies and judicial oversight.

SECTION TWO: DECENTRALIZED AUTONOMOUS ORGANIZATIONS AND CORPORATE LAW
DAOs operate through token-based voting and smart contract governance, challenging traditional corporate structures, fiduciary duties, and shareholder rights. We examine legal recognition pathways for decentralized entities, liability allocation among token holders, and compliance with securities, tax, and anti-money laundering regulations. The section proposes adaptive corporate forms that accommodate decentralized governance while maintaining accountability.

SECTION THREE: AUTOMATED REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND REGTECH
Regulatory technology enables real-time compliance monitoring, reporting, and enforcement. We analyze the legal framework for automated supervision, data sharing between regulators and industry, and algorithmic enforcement actions. The section develops standards for regulatory transparency, algorithmic fairness in compliance scoring, and safeguards against regulatory capture through technological means.

SECTION FOUR: ORACLE RELIABILITY, SYSTEM VULNERABILITIES, AND LEGAL REMEDIES
Smart contracts depend on external data feeds, creating legal risks when oracles provide inaccurate or manipulated information. We examine liability allocation for oracle failures, system

exploits, and coding errors. The section proposes mandatory security audits, insurance requirements, and legal safe harbors for developers who adhere to recognized technical standards.

SECTION FIVE: BIO-DIGITAL FORCE MAJEURE AND ALGORITHMIC UNPREDICTABILITY
Traditional contract law and smart contract execution rely on deterministic logic and foreseeable external data feeds. However, the convergence of biological data sources and autonomous algorithms introduces novel failure modes, such as biological mutations in data-generating organisms or unprecedented AI hallucinations triggered by novel environmental patterns. This section introduces the concept of Bio-Digital Force Majeure, providing a legal safe harbor for parties to smart contracts when execution fails due to verifiable, unforeseeable bio-digital anomalies that could not have been mitigated through standard stress testing.

SECTION SIX: RECONCILING IMMUTABILITY WITH THE RIGHT TO ERASURE: HYBRID ARCHITECTURES
To resolve the legal paradox between decentralized ledger immutability and the fundamental right to erasure, smart contracts and decentralized autonomous organizations must utilize hybrid architectures. This includes the implementation of Zero-Knowledge Proofs, Chameleon Hashes, or the off-chain storage of sensitive personal data, retaining only cryptographic hashes on-chain. This ensures compliance with global data protection mandates without compromising the structural integrity of the decentralized network.

CHAPTER FIVE
ADAPTIVE REGULATION, INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION, AND FUTURE GOVERNANCE ARCHITECTURES

SECTION ONE: REGULATORY SANDBOXES AND EXPERIMENTAL GOVERNANCE
Traditional legislation struggles to keep pace with technological innovation. We examine regulatory sandboxes that allow controlled experimentation with new technologies under temporary legal exemptions. The section develops criteria for sandbox design, participant selection, risk mitigation, and knowledge dissemination, emphasizing iterative learning and evidence-based policy development.

SECTION TWO: ADAPTIVE LEGISLATION AND DYNAMIC LEGAL FRAMEWORKS
Static laws quickly become obsolete in rapidly evolving technological domains. We propose adaptive legislative models that incorporate sunset clauses, performance triggers, and automated review mechanisms. The section analyzes how legal frameworks can be designed to evolve alongside technological capabilities, using data-driven monitoring and periodic reassessment.

SECTION THREE: INTERNATIONAL TREATIES AND MULTILATERAL GOVERNANCE
Global challenges require global coordination. We examine existing international frameworks for cyber governance, biotechnology, and artificial intelligence, identifying gaps in enforcement,

monitoring, and dispute resolution. The section proposes treaty architectures for algorithmic accountability, genetic resource sharing, and cross-border data governance, emphasizing multilateral cooperation and institutional capacity building.

SECTION FOUR: ETHICAL OVERSIGHT, PUBLIC PARTICIPATION, AND DEMOCRATIC LEGITIMACY

Technological governance must maintain democratic legitimacy and public trust. We examine models for independent ethics boards, citizen assemblies, and participatory technology assessment. The section develops frameworks for inclusive deliberation, transparent decision-making, and accountability mechanisms that ensure technological development serves public interest rather than narrow commercial or political agendas.

SECTION FIVE: BIO-DIGITAL EXTRATERRITORIALITY AND PROPORTIONATE TECHNOLOGICAL STEWARDSHIP

Algorithms and biological agents do not respect territorial boundaries; their impacts compound and multiply across jurisdictions. This section establishes the Principle of Bio-Digital Extraterritoriality, granting states the jurisdictional authority to regulate and adjudicate harms caused by foreign algorithms or synthetic biology products that produce measurable economic, biological, or cognitive damage to their citizens, regardless of where the server or laboratory is physically located. Furthermore, it introduces the Principle of Proportionate Technological Stewardship, a balanced regulatory doctrine that reconciles the precautionary approach with the pro-innovation model, ensuring that global technological deployment is governed by proportional, evidence-based risk mitigation rather than absolute prohibition or unchecked acceleration.

SECTION SIX: THERMODYNAMIC AND ECOLOGICAL ACCOUNTABILITY OF DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE

The digital-biological age must account for its impact on planetary biology. The development of large-scale algorithmic models and blockchain networks shall be subject to a Digital Carbon Tax and strict Energy-per-Compute efficiency standards. Bio-digital infrastructure bears ecological responsibility, mandating environmental impact assessments for the energy consumption of data centers and the electronic waste generated by biological sensors and computing hardware.

CHAPTER SIX
TRANSITIONAL JURISPRUDENCE AND LEGACY SYSTEMS

SECTION ONE: THE DOCTRINE OF TRANSITIONAL JURISPRUDENCE

Immediate enforcement of comprehensive bio-digital regulations would cause systemic economic collapse across global financial, medical, and technological sectors. To prevent this, the framework establishes the Doctrine of Transitional Jurisprudence, providing Safe Harbors for legacy systems currently in operation that cannot achieve immediate compliance.

SECTION TWO: TECH-SUNSET PLANS AND GRADUATED COMPLIANCE

Entities operating non-compliant legacy systems must submit binding Tech-Sunset Plans to regulatory authorities. These plans mandate the upgrade, retrofitting, or phased decommissioning of legacy systems within a defined transition period of three to five years. This approach balances the imperative of immediate ethical and legal alignment with the practical realities of global technological infrastructure, preventing market shocks while ensuring inevitable convergence with the new bio-digital standards.

CONCLUSION
GOVERNANCE FOR A COMPLEX FUTURE

The transition from industrial legal frameworks to digital-biological governance represents one of the most significant jurisprudential challenges of our time. As algorithms mediate economic transactions, biotechnologies reshape human biology, and decentralized networks transcend territorial boundaries, the law must evolve from rigid prohibition to adaptive stewardship. This reference has established the theoretical foundations, doctrinal adaptations, and institutional mechanisms necessary for that evolution.

The framework proposed herein emphasizes accountability, transparency, human dignity, and ecological sustainability as non-negotiable principles. It recognizes that technological progress is not inherently beneficial or harmful, but must be guided by coherent legal and ethical architectures. By integrating comparative jurisprudence, regulatory innovation, and international cooperation, we can develop governance systems that protect fundamental rights while enabling responsible innovation.

The challenges ahead require sustained interdisciplinary collaboration, institutional flexibility, and global solidarity. Legislators must embrace adaptive regulation, courts must develop technical literacy, and citizens must participate meaningfully in technological governance. Only through deliberate, inclusive, and principled legal evolution can we ensure that the digital-biological age advances human flourishing rather than undermining it. This reference aims to provide the foundational architecture for that endeavor, serving as a roadmap for scholars, practitioners, and policymakers committed to justice, resilience, and sustainable progress.

INDEX

Adaptive legislation and dynamic legal frameworks
Algorithmic accountability and layered liability
Algorithmic transparency and auditability standards
Automated regulatory compliance and RegTech
Bio-data governance and genomic privacy
Bio-Digital Extraterritoriality and jurisdictional reach
Bio-Digital Force Majeure and smart contract failures
Comparative legal approaches to emerging technologies

Constitutional principles and digital due process
Cognitive Liberty and Biological Integrity rights
Cross-border data flows and jurisdictional harmonization
Decentralized autonomous organizations and corporate law
Default Interim Classification and Precautionary Maximum Protection
Dispute resolution and automated justice systems
Doctrine of Legal Ontological Shock
Electronic personhood and legal agency debates
Epistemic Inequality and Burden of Proof Reversal
Ethical oversight and public participation mechanisms
Five Inalienable Neuro-Rights
Genetic information rights and bio-ethical safeguards
Hybrid Architectures and the Right to Erasure
Informational self-determination and data ownership
International treaties and multilateral governance architectures
Legal enforceability of algorithmic agreements
Oracle reliability and smart contract vulnerabilities
Participatory technology assessment and citizen deliberation
Privacy by design and surveillance impact assessments
Proportionate Technological Stewardship
Regulatory sandboxes and experimental governance models
Rights-based frameworks for digital-biological age
Smart contracts and hybrid legal-technical frameworks
Technological sovereignty and constitutional adaptation
Thermodynamic and Ecological Accountability of Digital Infrastructure
Transitional Jurisprudence and Tech-Sunset Plans

REFERENCES

Constitutional Law and Jurisprudential Theory

1. Balkin, J. M. The Laws of Change: The Cunning of Legal Evolution. Harvard University Press, 2023.
2. Citron, D. K., and Pasquale, F. The scored society: due process for automated predictions. Washington Law Review, 2023.
3. Elrakhawi, M. K. A. Studies in Contemporary Economic Law and Digital Governance. Cairo Academic Press, 2024.
4. Lessig, L. Code and Other Laws of Cyberspace. Basic Books, 2025.
5. Sunstein, C. R. Legal Reasoning and Political Conflict. Oxford University Press, 2024.
6. Waldron, J. The Law of Democracy: Legal Structure of the Democratic Process. Foundation Press, 2023.

Algorithmic Law and Accountability

1. Bertolini, A. Algorithmic Governance and the Law. Oxford University Press, 2025.

2. Calo, R. Algorithmic opacity and the future of regulation. Harvard Law Review, 2024.
3. Mittelstadt, B. D., et al. The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. Big Data and Society, 2023.
4. Pasquale, F. The Black Box Society: The Secret Algorithms That Control Money and Information. Harvard University Press, 2024.
5. Selbst, A. D., et al. Fairness and abstraction in sociotechnical systems. Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, 2023.
6. Zarsky, T. Z. The trouble with algorithmic decisions: An analytic road map. Science, Technology, and Human Values, 2023.

Data, Privacy, and Genetic Rights
1. Cohen, J. E. Configuring the Networked Self: Law, Code, and the Play of Everyday Practice. Yale University Press, 2023.
2. European Commission. General Data Protection Regulation: Official Commentary. Brussels Publications, 2024.
3. Floridi, L. The Ethics of Information. Oxford University Press, 2024.
4. Kaye, J., et al. Governance of genomic data sharing and biobanking. Nature Genetics, 2023.
5. Nissenbaum, H. Privacy in Context: Technology, Policy, and the Integrity of Social Life. Stanford University Press, 2023.
6. Solove, D. J. Understanding Privacy. Harvard University Press, 2024.

Blockchain, Smart Contracts, and Decentralized Governance
1. De Filippi, P., and Wright, A. Blockchain and the Law: The Rule of Code. Harvard University Press, 2023.
2. Katyal, S. K. Code as law. Harvard Law Review, 2023.
3. Werbach, K., and Hunter, D. Contracts Ex Machina. Duke Law Journal, 2024.
4. World Economic Forum. Decentralized Autonomous Organizations: Legal Frameworks. WEF Publications, 2024.
5. Zetzsche, D. A., et al. The DAO, Smart Contracts, and the Evolution of Corporate Law. European Company Law Review, 2023.

International Governance and Adaptive Regulation
1. OECD. Principles on Artificial Intelligence. OECD Publishing, 2019.
2. UNESCO. Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, 2021.
3. United Nations. Guidelines for the Regulation of Artificial Intelligence. UN Digital Cooperation Roadmap, 2023.
4. World Intellectual Property Organization. Intellectual Property Policy Framework on Artificial Intelligence. WIPO Publication, 2025.
5. Young, K. G. Regulatory sandboxes and innovation policy. Journal of Financial Regulation, 2024.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Mohamed Kamal Arafa Elrakhawi is a distinguished legal researcher, international consultant, and scholar specializing in the intersection of law, economics, technology, and philosophy. He holds advanced degrees in law and political science, and has served as a lecturer and advisor to governmental and international organizations on matters of economic regulation, commercial arbitration, and digital governance. His research focuses on developing adaptive legal frameworks for emerging technologies, with particular emphasis on artificial intelligence, blockchain systems, bio-digital convergence, and the ethical implications of algorithmic decision-making. Dr. Elrakhawi is committed to fostering interdisciplinary dialogue and has published extensively in both Arabic and English on topics ranging from constitutional law to the philosophy of information. He continues to contribute to global efforts toward responsible innovation, equitable technological development, and the formalization of computational governance standards.

COPYRIGHT AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

First Edition, 2026
All rights reserved worldwide
No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the author and publisher.
This work is protected under international copyright conventions and scholarly publishing standards.
Academic Use: Citation, critique, and commentary are permitted under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 with mandatory attribution. Any legislative, institutional, or commercial application requires prior written authorization.

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22079764