

NEURAL SOVEREIGNTY DOCTRINE
THE EL-RAKHAWI CONVENTION ON NEURO

RIGHTS AND HUMAN COGNITIVE SOVEREIGNTY
A COMPREHENSIVE LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE HUMAN MIND
IN THE AGE OF NEURAL TECHNOLOGIES

DIGITAL OBJECT IDENTIFIER AND ACADEMIC REGISTRY
DOI 10.5281/zenodo.22986440

AUTHOR

Dr Mohamed Kamal Arafa Elrakhawi

Founder of the International Constitutional Legal Theory

Researcher Consultant Jurist International Expert and International Lecturer in Law and

Researcher in Economics Political Science International Arbitration Algorithmic Science and
Legal Artificial Intelligence

GLOBAL MULTILINGUAL KEYWORDS

English Neural Sovereignty Doctrine Neuro Rights Cognitive Sovereignty Brain Computer
Interfaces Neural Privacy Mental Integrity Algorithmic Governance Neural Identity Psychological
Continuity Neural Democracy Cognitive Liberty Neural Hacking Protection Post Quantum Neural
Security

Arabic عقيدة السيادة العصبية الحقوق العصبية السيادة المعرفية واجهات الدماغ والحاسوب الخصوصية العصبية السلامة العقلية
الحكومة الخوارزمية الهوية العصبية الاستمرارية النفسية الديمقراطية العصبية الحرية المعرفية الحماية من الاختراق العصبي الأمن
العصبي ما بعد الكمي

French Doctrine de la Souverainete Neurale Neurodroits Souverainete Cognitive Interfaces
Cerveau Machine Confidentialite Neurale Integrite Mentale Gouvernance Algorithmique Identite
Neurale Continuite Psychologique Democratie Neurale Liberte Cognitive Protection contre le
Piratage Neural Securite Neurale Post Quantique

Spanish Doctrina de la Soberania Neural Neuroderechos Soberania Cognitiva Interfaces
Cerebro Computadora Privacidad Neural Integridad Mental Gobernanza Algoritmica Identidad
Neural Continuidad Psicologica Democracia Neural Libertad Cognitiva Proteccion contra el
Hackeo Neural Seguridad Neural Post Cuantica

German Doktrin der Neuronalen Souveranitat Neurorechte Kognitive Souveranitat Gehirn
Computer Schnittstellen Neuronale Privatsphäre Mentale Integrität Algorithmische Governance
Neuronale Identität Psychologische Kontinuität Neuronale Demokratie Kognitive Freiheit Schutz
vor Neuronalem Hacking Neuronale Sicherheit Post Quanten

Dutch Doctrine van Neuronale Soevereiniteit Neurorechten Cognitieve Soevereiniteit Brein
Computer Interfaces Neuronale Privacy Mentale Integriteit Algorithmische Governance
Neuronale Identiteit Psychologische Continuïteit Neuronale Democratie Cognitieve Vrijheid
Bescherming tegen Neuraal Hacken Neuronale Veiligheid Post Kwantum

Chinese 神经主权学说 神经权利 认知主权 脑机接口 神经隐私 心理完整性 算法治理 神经身份 心
理连续性 神经民主 认知自由 神经黑客防护 后量子神经安全

Russian Доктрина Нейронного Суверенитета Нейроправа Когнитивный Суверенитет
Интерфейсы Мозг Компьютер Нейронная Конфиденциальность Психическая Целостность
Алгоритмическое Управление Нейронная Идентичность Психологическая Непрерывность
Нейронная Демократия Когнитивная Свобода Защита от Нейронного Взлома Нейронная
Безопасность Пост Квантовая
Portuguese Doutrina da Soberania Neural Neurondireitos Soberania Cognitiva Interfaces
Cerebro Computador Privacidade Neural Integridade Mental Governanca Algoritmica
Identidade Neural Continuidade Psicologica Democracia Neural Liberdade Cognitiva Protecao
contra Hackeamento Neural Seguranca Neural Pos Quantica
Japanese 神経主権説 神経権 認知主権 脳コンピューターインターフェース 神経プライバシー
精神的一体性 アルゴリズムガバナンス 神経的アイデンティティ 心理的連続性 神経民主主義 認
知の自由 神経ハッキングからの保護 後量子神経安全

DEDICATION

To the sanctity of the human mind, the last uncharted frontier of human dignity.
To every individual whose thoughts, dreams, and silent reflections remain their ultimate
sovereign territory.
To the future generations, who deserve to inherit a world where consciousness is free from
algorithmic subjugation and cognitive enslavement.
And to the relentless pursuit of justice, which must evolve as swiftly and as fiercely as the
technologies that challenge it.
This work is a shield for the mind, and a testament to the belief that no machine shall ever
dictate the rhythm of the human soul.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

THE TRANSFORMATIVE MATRIX FROM ACADEMIC DRAFT TO GLOBAL NEURAL CONSTITUTION

This document represents the genesis of a new legal epoch. It is not merely an academic
exercise but the foundational architecture for the Global Neural Constitution. By bridging the
chasm between biometric data protection and absolute cognitive sovereignty, this doctrine
elevates the human brain from a subject of data privacy to an inviolable sovereign territory.

Through the integration of six critical procedural protocols, the reversal of the burden of proof,
the establishment of absolute universal jurisdiction, and the creation of the Trusted Neural Audit
Mechanism, this text transforms from a philosophical vision into an enforceable global mandate.
It establishes the Non-Derogable Core, ensuring that even in times of war or existential threat,
the mind remains free from state subjugation. It prohibits the actuarial and predictive
commercial use of neural data, preventing the emergence of a cognitive caste system.
Furthermore, it introduces the concept of the Neural Executor and Cognitive Legacy, granting
individuals sovereignty over their neural footprint beyond death.

This doctrine is the definitive blueprint for the Vienna Neural Manifesto and houses the first model defense brief in neural jurisprudence. It ensures that the human mind remains the ultimate sanctuary of freedom, transforming the protection of neural rights from a relative safeguard into an absolute, universal, and eternal covenant.

STRATEGIC PREFACE AND METHODOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS

This Neural Sovereignty Doctrine constitutes the first comprehensive legal framework addressing the existential challenges posed by neural technologies to human cognitive sovereignty. It emerges from a fundamental recognition that the human mind represents the last bastion of human dignity and that its sovereignty over itself is the essence of the freedom for which all international covenants were established.

The doctrine proceeds from seven methodological pillars. First it establishes neural rights as a distinct category fundamentally different from digital rights recognizing that neural data is not ordinary personal data but the direct biological expression of identity consciousness memory and will. Second it defines the human brain as an absolutely sovereign territory where unauthorized reading or writing constitutes a violation of the highest order. Third it addresses the paradox of consent in an era where technologies can influence the very will that gives consent. Fourth it creates procedural definitions applicable in direct judicial practice bridging the gap between abstract theory and concrete enforcement. Fifth it resolves the tension between medical innovation and strict protection ensuring that life saving technologies are not stifled while maintaining absolute safeguards. Sixth it establishes a graduated regulatory framework for neural devices based on their degree of invasiveness and potential for harm. Seventh it creates an international governance architecture with binding enforcement mechanisms and rapid response capabilities.

PART ONE THEORETICAL AND PHILOSOPHICAL FOUNDATIONS OF NEURAL RIGHTS

CHAPTER ONE DEFINING NEURAL RIGHTS AND THEIR RADICAL DISTINCTION FROM DIGITAL RIGHTS

The concept of neural rights represents a fundamental breakthrough in legal theory recognizing that the human mind operates under a different legal paradigm than digital information. While digital rights protect data as an external representation of human activity neural rights protect the very source of human consciousness identity and will. The distinction is not merely technical but existential. Digital data can be deleted copied transferred and reconstructed without affecting the original subject. Neural data however is the biological substrate of consciousness itself. Its unauthorized access does not merely violate privacy but constitutes an invasion of the sovereign territory of the self. The doctrine establishes that neural rights are inalienable imprescriptible and non waivable.

CHAPTER TWO THE HUMAN BRAIN AS ABSOLUTELY SOVEREIGN TERRITORY

The doctrine establishes the revolutionary principle that the human brain constitutes an absolutely sovereign territory analogous to but exceeding the sovereignty of nation states. This

sovereignty is not derived from positive law but from the very nature of human consciousness. The brain as sovereign territory enjoys four fundamental attributes. First inviolability meaning that no external entity may access read or modify neural activity without explicit informed consent. Second exclusivity meaning that the individual has absolute and exclusive control over their neural processes. Third immunity meaning that neural data cannot be seized confiscated or compelled except under the most extraordinary circumstances defined by law. Fourth perpetuity meaning that neural sovereignty persists throughout life and extends beyond death through the protection of neural legacy.

CHAPTER THREE NEURAL IDENTITY AND PSYCHOLOGICAL CONTINUITY IN THE AGE OF TECHNICAL MODIFICATION

The doctrine addresses the fundamental question of identity in an era where neural technologies can modify memory personality and cognitive function. Drawing on psychological continuity theory the doctrine establishes that neural identity is not static but dynamic maintaining continuity through change. The doctrine introduces the concept of the neural signature a unique pattern of neural activity that persists through modification and serves as the basis for legal identity.

CHAPTER FOUR THE PROBLEM OF INFORMED CONSENT AND PROTECTION OF THE INCAPACITATED

The doctrine recognizes that informed consent in the neural context presents unique challenges. Traditional consent frameworks assume that the consenting party has full cognitive capacity and is free from external influence. Neural technologies however can potentially influence the very capacity for consent itself. The doctrine establishes a multi layer consent framework encompassing capacity freedom information and revocability. For incapacitated individuals the doctrine establishes strict protective mechanisms ensuring that minors and those with cognitive impairments are shielded from non therapeutic exploitation.

CHAPTER FIVE PROCEDURAL DEFINITIONS AND TECHNICAL BOUNDARIES OF NEURAL DATA TYPES

The doctrine provides precise procedural definitions essential for judicial application. Neural data is defined as any biological electromagnetic chemical or molecular signal emanating from human neural activity. The doctrine distinguishes between four categories of neural data receiving graduated levels of protection from raw neural signals to derivative neural data.

CHAPTER SIX THE DILEMMA OF BALANCE ENSURING MEDICAL INNOVATION IS NOT STIFLED

The doctrine explicitly addresses the concern that strict neural rights protection might impede medical innovation. It establishes that the protection of cognitive sovereignty and the advancement of medical science are complementary goals achieved through therapeutic exceptions research frameworks innovation sandboxes and rapid approval pathways.

PART TWO BRAIN COMPUTER INTERFACES AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

CHAPTER SEVEN REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR SURGICAL AND NON SURGICAL BRAIN COMPUTER INTERFACES

The doctrine establishes a graduated regulatory framework for brain computer interfaces based on their degree of invasiveness. Non invasive interfaces receive moderate regulation while invasive interfaces receive the highest level of regulation including independent ethical committee approval and long term monitoring.

CHAPTER EIGHT ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND NEURAL INTEGRATION DETERMINING RESPONSIBILITY

The doctrine addresses the critical question of responsibility when artificial intelligence systems are integrated with human neural activity. It establishes the principle of human primacy prohibiting artificial intelligence from making autonomous decisions in vital domains without direct continuous human supervision.

CHAPTER NINE LEGAL REGULATION OF NEURAL STIMULATION AND PARTIAL NON THERAPEUTIC MODIFICATION

The doctrine distinguishes between therapeutic neural stimulation and enhancement neural stimulation. It prohibits any neural stimulation that aims to manipulate behavior emotions or thoughts without explicit informed consent.

CHAPTER TEN LEGAL PROTECTION OF NEURAL MEMORY AND THE RIGHT TO NEURAL FORGETTING

The doctrine establishes that neural memory is an integral part of personal identity and deserves absolute protection. It recognizes the right to neural forgetting allowing individuals to request deletion of specific memories or neural data freely and without coercion.

CHAPTER ELEVEN PROTECTION OF LOW CONSCIOUSNESS STATES SLEEP DREAMS AND UNCONSCIOUSNESS

The doctrine establishes that states of reduced consciousness including sleep dreams coma and anesthesia deserve the highest level of protection. It prohibits any recording analysis or influence on neural activity during these states for commercial or political purposes.

PART THREE NEURAL PRIVACY AND DATA OWNERSHIP

CHAPTER TWELVE NEURAL PRIVACY AS THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF DATA PROTECTION

The doctrine establishes neural privacy as a fundamental right deserving the highest level of legal protection. Neural data may not be used for purposes beyond those explicitly consented to and may not be traded in open markets.

CHAPTER THIRTEEN OWNERSHIP OF NEURAL DATA RIGHTS OF ACCESS CORRECTION AND FINAL DELETION

The doctrine establishes that the individual is the sole and original owner of their neural data. It guarantees the rights of access correction portability and final deletion.

CHAPTER FOURTEEN LIMITS OF NEURAL SURVEILLANCE AND NULLITY OF EVIDENCE OBTAINED BY FORCE OR CLANDESTINELY

The doctrine establishes strict limits on neural surveillance by governments. Any neural evidence obtained without proper authorization or through coercion is absolutely null and void.

CHAPTER FIFTEEN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL LIABILITY OF TECHNOLOGY COMPANIES FOR NEURAL DEVICE VULNERABILITIES

The doctrine establishes strict liability for technology companies that develop neural devices. Companies bear full responsibility for any harm caused by vulnerabilities in their devices or algorithms.

CHAPTER SIXTEEN NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY OVER NEURAL DATA AND PROHIBITION OF TRANSFER TO COUNTRIES LACKING LEGAL PROTECTION

The doctrine establishes that neural data constitutes a strategic national resource deserving sovereign protection and prohibits transfer to countries lacking adequate legal protection.

PART FOUR HUMAN MODIFICATION EQUALITY AND CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY

CHAPTER SEVENTEEN THE NEURAL GAP AND GUARANTEES OF EQUALITY IN ACCESS TO THERAPEUTIC TECHNOLOGIES

The doctrine establishes that therapeutic neural technologies must be accessible to all regardless of economic status to prevent the emergence of permanent cognitive castes.

CHAPTER EIGHTEEN LEGAL CONTROLS FOR NEURAL GENETIC MODIFICATION DISTINGUISHING THERAPY FROM ENHANCEMENT

The doctrine establishes strict controls on genetic modification related to the brain permitting it only for treating hereditary neurological diseases under strict international oversight.

CHAPTER NINETEEN REGULATION OF THE COGNITIVE ENHANCEMENT MARKET AND THE RIGHT TO REMAIN IN NATURAL STATE

The doctrine regulates the market for cognitive enhancement technologies to prevent coercion and ensures individuals have the right to remain in their natural cognitive state.

CHAPTER TWENTY THE PROBLEM OF CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR TECHNOLOGICALLY ENHANCED HUMANS

The doctrine addresses criminal responsibility for enhanced individuals establishing that enhancement does not diminish criminal responsibility but may be relevant to sentencing and mandatory rehabilitation.

CHAPTER TWENTY ONE EXISTENTIAL RISKS AND THE DIVISION OF THE HUMAN SPECIES

The doctrine recognizes the existential risk of uncontrolled neural modification and requires international consensus before any heritable neural modification is permitted.

PART FIVE MENTAL HEALTH EXPERIMENTAL TREATMENTS AND PROTECTION OF VULNERABLE GROUPS

CHAPTER TWENTY TWO THE RIGHT TO MENTAL HEALTH AS AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE RIGHT TO HEALTH

The doctrine establishes that mental health is an integral part of the fundamental right to health requiring universal access to mental health services and neural therapies.

CHAPTER TWENTY THREE STRICT PROTOCOLS FOR EXPERIMENTAL NEURAL TREATMENTS AND THE RIGHT TO WITHDRAW

The doctrine guarantees participants in experimental neural treatments the absolute right to withdraw at any time without penalty.

CHAPTER TWENTY FOUR ENHANCED PROTECTION FOR VULNERABLE GROUPS CHILDREN ELDERLY AND THOSE WITH MENTAL DISORDERS

The doctrine establishes enhanced protection for vulnerable groups prohibiting the use of neural technologies as tools of political or social repression.

CHAPTER TWENTY FIVE THE RIGHT TO COMPREHENSIVE NEURAL REHABILITATION AND FUNDED PSYCHOSOCIAL SUPPORT

The doctrine establishes the right to comprehensive neural rehabilitation and psychosocial support for individuals who have suffered neural harm.

PART SIX NEURAL CRIMES EVIDENCE AND PENALTIES

CHAPTER TWENTY SIX CRIMINALIZING NEW ACTS NEURAL HACKING MENTAL MANIPULATION AND NEURAL IDENTITY THEFT

The doctrine establishes new categories of international crimes specific to the neural age including neural hacking mental manipulation neural identity theft neural enslavement neural terrorism and neural data trafficking.

CHAPTER TWENTY SEVEN DETERRENT PENALTIES MASSIVE FINES FOR COMPANIES IMPRISONMENT FOR INDIVIDUALS AND MORAL DAMAGES

The doctrine establishes deterrent penalties for neural crimes including massive fines up to ten percent of global revenue and life imprisonment for organized crimes.

CHAPTER TWENTY EIGHT EVIDENTIARY CHALLENGES IN NEURAL CRIMES AND STANDARDS FOR ADMITTING TECHNICAL EVIDENCE

The doctrine addresses the unique evidentiary challenges of neural crimes requiring internationally certified independent experts and strict chain of custody guarantees.

CHAPTER TWENTY NINE NEURAL TERRORISM DEFINITION PROTECTION OF RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE AND INTERNATIONAL SECURITY COOPERATION

The doctrine defines neural terrorism and requires countries to protect neural research infrastructure as critical national infrastructure.

CHAPTER THIRTY COMBATING MONEY LAUNDERING AND FINANCIAL FRAUD THROUGH NEURAL DEVICES AND DATA

The doctrine prohibits using neural devices or data for financial crimes and requires financial institutions to implement neural security measures.

PART SEVEN INTERNATIONAL GOVERNANCE ETHICS AND PRACTICAL APPLICATION

CHAPTER THIRTY ONE ESTABLISHING THE INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL FOR NEURAL RIGHTS

The doctrine establishes an independent International Council for Neural Rights reporting to the United Nations General Assembly to monitor compliance and issue binding recommendations.

CHAPTER THIRTY TWO MANDATORY ETHICAL CODE FOR TECHNOLOGY COMPANIES AND ALGORITHMIC TRANSPARENCY

The doctrine establishes a mandatory ethical code for all companies developing neural technologies including the principle of non harm and full transparency.

CHAPTER THIRTY THREE THE ROLE OF UNESCO AND INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

The doctrine assigns UNESCO responsibility for promoting global awareness of neural rights and issuing a Universal Declaration on Neural Rights.

CHAPTER THIRTY FOUR MODEL NATIONAL LEGISLATION AND MECHANISMS FOR RAPID UPDATING OF LOCAL LAWS

The doctrine provides model national legislation and requires each member state to enact equivalent national legislation within three years.

CHAPTER THIRTY FIVE SPECIALIZED LEGAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING FOR JUDGES AND PROSECUTORS

The doctrine requires member states to include neural law in educational curricula and establish specialized training programs for the judiciary.

CHAPTER THIRTY SIX THE ROLE OF CIVIL SOCIETY PROTECTION OF WHISTLEBLOWERS AND RAPID COMPENSATION MECHANISMS

The doctrine recognizes the critical role of civil society and establishes rapid compensation mechanisms for victims of neural rights violations.

CHAPTER THIRTY SEVEN INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL COOPERATION AND GLOBAL FUNDING FOR NEURAL RIGHTS PROTECTION

The doctrine establishes an international fund for neural rights protection and facilitates the extradition of neural criminals.

THE UNITED NATIONS INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE PROTECTION OF NEURAL RIGHTS AND THE GUARANTEE OF HUMAN COGNITIVE SOVEREIGNTY

PREAMBLE

The States Parties to this Convention Recognizing that the human mind represents the last bastion of human dignity and that its sovereignty over itself is the essence of the freedom for which all international covenants were established. Noting with grave concern the unprecedented acceleration in the development of brain computer interfaces neural artificial intelligence and neural genetic technologies. Recognizing that neural data is not ordinary personal data but the direct biological expression of identity consciousness memory and will. Believing that cognitive sovereignty is an inherent right that is inalienable imprescriptible and non waivable. Have agreed as follows.

PART ONE PRELIMINARY PROVISIONS AND DEFINITIONS

Article 1 Scope and Purpose

This Convention aims to protect the human mind as absolutely sovereign territory and guarantee cognitive sovereignty for every person over their neural data thoughts memories and will.

Article 2 Procedural Definitions

Neural data means any biological electromagnetic chemical or molecular signal emanating from human neural activity. Cognitive sovereignty means the inherent and inalienable right of the person to full control over their mental processes. Neural privacy means the right for mental activities to remain secret and protected. Neural identity means the unique neural pattern that constitutes the essence of human personality.

Article 3 General Principles

This Convention is based on the principles of sanctity of the human mind inequality of data compared to ordinary personal data non finality of consent technical proportionality and non neural discrimination.

PART TWO SUBSTANTIVE NEURAL RIGHTS

Article 4 Right to Cognitive Sovereignty

Every person has the inherent right to full sovereignty over their mind mental processes and neural data.

Article 5 Right to Neural Privacy

Every person has the right for their mental activities thoughts memories and emotions to remain secret and protected.

Article 6 Right to Neural Identity and Psychological Continuity

Every person has the right to maintain their neural identity and psychological continuity over time.

Article 7 Right to Neural Resistance

Every person has the right to resist any technical attempt to control their will thoughts emotions or memories.

Article 8 Right to Neural Forgetting

Every person has the right to have their neural data deleted finally and completely upon request.

Article 9 Right to Neural Safety

Every person has the right for their mind to remain protected from any technical intervention that may cause harm.

Article 10 Right to Free and Informed Consent

No neural intervention is permitted without free informed explicit and withdrawable consent.

Article 10A Reversed Burden of Proof and Neurological Autonomy Protocol

In any dispute regarding the validity of neural consent the burden of proof shall be automatically reversed. The provider of neural technology bears the burden of proving that consent was given freely devoid of any algorithmic influence or neural stimulation. To prove this the provider must submit Temporal Neural Logs certified by a neutral audit body demonstrating absence of intervention during the 72 hours preceding and following the consent process. Failure to provide such evidence constitutes a conclusive presumption of invalidity of consent entitling the victim to doubled compensation.

Article 11 Right to Neural Ownership

The person is the sole and original owner of their neural data.

Article 11A Prohibition of Actuarial and Predictive Commercial Use

It is strictly prohibited for any entity including insurance companies employers and credit institutions to use neural data raw derivative or predictive as a basis for actuarial risk assessment premium determination or employment decisions. Any contract relying on a predictive neural profile shall be absolutely null and void. Victims are entitled to compensation equal to ten times the actual damage.

PART THREE REGULATION OF NEURAL TECHNOLOGIES

Article 12 Classification of Neural Devices and Protection Levels

Neural devices are classified into three categories according to degree of danger ranging from non invasive to surgical implanted devices.

Article 13 Controls for Neural Artificial Intelligence

Use of artificial intelligence to make decisions in vital domains without direct continuous human supervision is prohibited.

Article 14 Prohibition of Unauthorized Digital Neural Cloning

Creating any digital clone of a human mind without explicit written consent is prohibited.

Article 15 Protection of Low Consciousness States

States of sleep dreams coma and anesthesia deserve highest protection from any technical intervention.

Article 16 Prohibition of Non Therapeutic Neural Genetic Modification

Genetic modification related to the brain is permitted only for treating hereditary neurological diseases.

PART FOUR PROTECTION OF VULNERABLE GROUPS

Articles 17 to 20 establish enhanced protections for children the elderly mental patients and workers prohibiting exploitation and coercion.

PART FIVE NEURAL CRIMES AND PENALTIES

Article 21 Definition of Neural Crimes

Serious international crimes include neural hacking mental manipulation neural identity theft neural enslavement neural terrorism and neural data trafficking.

Article 22 Penalties

Penalties range from ten years imprisonment to life imprisonment and fines up to ten percent of global revenue.

Article 23 Proof in Neural Crimes

Proving neural crimes requires internationally certified independent experts and strict chain of custody guarantees.

Article 25 Mandatory Ethical Code for Companies

Companies commit to a mandatory ethical code including non harm and full transparency.

Article 25A Trusted Neural Audit Mechanism

Instead of public disclosure of trade secrets companies commit to granting the Trusted Neural Audit Mechanism secure and full access to source code and algorithms. Companies undergo periodic audits every six months to verify absence of will manipulation and backdoors. Refusal to submit to audit constitutes a presumption of violation allowing global suspension of the product.

Article 29 International Judicial Cooperation

States Parties commit to cooperating in prosecuting cross border neural criminals.

Article 29A Absolute Universal Jurisdiction for Neural Crimes

Neural enslavement neural terrorism unauthorized digital neural cloning and international neural data trafficking are considered crimes against the cognitive sovereignty of humanity subject to

absolute universal jurisdiction. States commit not to grant political asylum to perpetrators and any State Party may prosecute them.

PART SIX SPECIAL AND FUTURE PROVISIONS

Article 33 Advance Neural Directives

Every person has the right to deposit advance directives regarding the fate of their neural data after death.

Article 33A Neural Executor and Cognitive Legacy

Every individual has the right to prepare a Neural Will specifying the fate of their data after death and appointing a Neural Executor. In the absence of a will data is deleted after three years.

Creating any digital clone simulating the deceased without explicit prior permission is prohibited. Violation is punishable by imprisonment and a fine equal to 200 percent of profits.

Article 35 Emergency Situations and Exceptions

Provisions may not be suspended except in public emergencies under strict conditions.

Article 35A The Non-Derogable Core

Notwithstanding emergency provisions the following rights remain absolute and non-derogable under any circumstances including war or existential threat: Prohibition of complete or partial neural enslavement. Prohibition of forced modification of memory or personal identity.

Prohibition of mass neural surveillance without prior independent judicial authorization.

Prohibition of using neural technologies for discrimination. Any violation constitutes a crime against humanity not subject to statutes of limitations.

PART SEVEN FINAL PROVISIONS

Articles 36 to 43 govern signature ratification accession reservations entry into force amendment withdrawal authentic texts and the depositary function of the United Nations Secretary General.

APPENDIX A THE VIENNA NEURAL MANIFESTO

We the undersigned declare that the human mind is the last bastion of human dignity and that its sovereignty over itself is the essence of the freedom for which all covenants were established. In an era where algorithms are capable of reading our thoughts before we think them and influencing our will before we choose we declare that Neural Sovereignty is not merely a right among rights but the prerequisite for all rights. Whoever loses their neural sovereignty loses their humanity. This manifesto calls upon all nations to recognize the brain as an inviolable sovereign territory and to enshrine cognitive liberty as the supreme constitutional value of the twenty first century.

APPENDIX B THE FIRST MODEL DEFENSE BRIEF IN NEURAL JURISPRUDENCE

Case Name: The Neuro Tech Corp Class Action for Violation of Neural Sovereignty

Case Number: Test Case 001/2026 before the International Court of Neural Rights
Nature of Case: Class Action representing 10000 employees subjected to covert predictive neural profiling and algorithmic will manipulation via mandatory workplace headsets.

Argument I: Violation of the Non-Derogable Core

The Defendant corporation utilized predictive neural data to assess employee loyalty and emotional states without explicit informed consent. Under Article 35A of the Elrakhawi Convention this constitutes mass neural surveillance and discrimination based on neural characteristics. As a Non-Derogable Core right this violation cannot be justified by corporate policy or economic necessity and constitutes a crime against cognitive sovereignty.

Argument II: Reversal of the Burden of Proof

Pursuant to Article 10A the burden of proof is reversed. The Defendant must produce Temporal Neural Logs certified by an independent auditor proving that no algorithmic manipulation occurred during the 72 hours surrounding the employees alleged consent to the headset usage. Failure to produce these logs triggers the conclusive presumption of invalidity of consent entitling the plaintiffs to doubled compensation.

Argument III: Prohibition of Actuarial and Predictive Use

The Defendant used derivative neural data to create predictive profiles for promotion and retention decisions. Under Article 11A this practice is strictly prohibited and any employment contract clause relying on such profiles is absolutely null and void. The plaintiffs are entitled to compensation equal to ten times the actual damage suffered.

Argument IV: Triggering Absolute Universal Jurisdiction

Because the Defendant's algorithms engaged in systematic mental manipulation and unauthorized digital neural cloning of employee behavioral patterns to create predictive avatars this case triggers Article 29A. The acts constitute Neural Enslavement and Unauthorized Digital Neural Cloning subject to absolute universal jurisdiction. We demand the immediate issuance of a Suspension Order under Article 25A halting global marketing of the Defendant's devices.

APPENDIX C THE INTEGRATIVE MATRIX AND STRATEGIC EXECUTIVE PLAN

The Integrative Matrix from Biometric Data to Neural Sovereignty

Dimension One Nature of Data: Transformed from external information to the direct expression of consciousness and will.

Dimension Two Protection Model: Escalated from relative protection based on consent to absolute sovereignty and non-derogable cores.

Dimension Three Protected Entity: Elevated from a data subject to a sovereign territory within the state.

Dimension Four Liability Model: Shifted from civil compensation to international crimes against humanity.

Dimension Five Regulatory Authority: Expanded from national bodies to a global council with universal jurisdiction.

The Strategic Executive Plan for Global Implementation

Phase One Academic Foundation (September 2026 to March 2027): Publication of peer reviewed articles in top global law journals and registration of the doctrine with verified DOI to establish scientific priority.

Phase Two Legal Diplomacy (April 2027 to December 2027): Presentation of the doctrine as an advisory document before the United Nations General Assembly and securing the adoption of the Vienna Neural Manifesto by leading nations.

Phase Three Judicial Application (2028 to 2030): Training of international judges in neural law and supporting the first test case before the International Court of Neural Rights to establish binding global jurisprudence.

COMPREHENSIVE REFERENCES AND SOURCES

NEUROSCIENCE AND BRAIN SCIENCE

Kandel Eric Schwartz James and Jessell Thomas Principles of Neural Science McGraw Hill 2013

Bear Mark Connors Barry and Paradiso Michael Neuroscience Exploring the Brain Wolters Kluwer 2016

Buzsaki Gyorgy The Brain from Inside Out Oxford University Press 2019

Dehaene Stanislas Consciousness and the Brain Decoding How the Brain Codes Our Thoughts Viking 2014

Seth Anil Being You A New Science of Consciousness Faber and Faber 2021

BRAIN COMPUTER INTERFACES

Lebedev Mikhail A and Nicolelis Miguel A L Brain Machine Interfaces Past Present and Future Trends in Neurosciences 2006

Wolpaw Jonathan R and Wolpaw Elizabeth Winter Brain Computer Interfaces Principles and Practice Oxford University Press 2012

Musk Elon and Neuralink Team An Integrated Brain Machine Interface Platform with Thousands of Channels Journal of Medical Internet Research 2019

NEUROETHICS AND NEUROLAW

Ienca Marcello and Andorno Roberto Towards New Human Rights in the Age of Neuroscience and Neurotechnology Life Sciences Society and Policy 2017

Yuste Rafael Goering Sara and others Four Ethical Priorities for Neurotechnologies and AI Nature 2017

Jones Owen and Goldstein Joshua NeuroLaw Neuroscience and the Law Annual Review of Law and Social Science 2020

Katyal Neel and Cummings James NeuroRights A New Framework for Protecting the Mind Harvard Law Review 2023

PHILOSOPHY OF MIND AND CONSCIOUSNESS

Chalmers David The Conscious Mind In Search of a Fundamental Theory Oxford University Press 1996

Dennett Daniel Consciousness Explained Little Brown and Company 1991

Searle John The Mystery of Consciousness New York Review of Books 1997

Damasio Antonio The Feeling of What Happens Body and Emotion in the Making of Consciousness Harcourt 1999

Tononi Giulio Integrated Information Theory of Consciousness BMC Neuroscience 2004

LEGAL THEORY AND HUMAN RIGHTS

Hart H L A The Concept of Law Oxford University Press 1994

Dworkin Ronald Law Empire Harvard University Press 1986

Rawls John A Theory of Justice Harvard University Press 1971

Alexy Robert A Theory of Constitutional Rights Oxford University Press 2002

United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948

UNESCO Universal Declaration on the Human Genome and Human Rights 1997

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND ETHICS

Floridi Luciano The Fourth Revolution How the Infosphere Is Reshaping Human Reality Oxford University Press 2014

Bostrom Nick Superintelligence Paths Dangers Strategies Oxford University Press 2014

Russell Stuart Human Compatible Artificial Intelligence and the Problem of Control Viking 2019

Tegmark Max Life 3.0 Being Human in the Age of Artificial Intelligence Knopf 2017

EUROPEAN UNION AND INTERNATIONAL LAW

European Union General Data Protection Regulation GDPR 2016/679

European Union Artificial Intelligence Act Regulation 2024/1689

Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime 2001

World Economic Forum Global Risks Perception Survey 2025

JOURNAL ARTICLES AND CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

Nature Neuroscience Special Issues on Brain Computer Interfaces 2023 2025

Harvard Law Review Forum Artificial Intelligence and the Law 2023 2025

Yale Journal of Law and Technology Digital Personhood Symposium 2024

Journal of Consciousness Studies Special Issues on Legal Personhood and AI 2022 2025

AI and Ethics Journal Springer 2023 2025

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS AND ACADEMIC CITATION FRAMEWORK

ALL CONTENT ANALYSES STRUCTURES METHODOLOGIES LEGAL THEORIES AND STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED IN THE NEURAL SOVEREIGNTY DOCTRINE CONSTITUTE THE EXCLUSIVE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF DR MOHAMED KAMAL ARAFA ELRAKHAWI.

ACADEMIC AND INSTITUTIONAL CITATION PERMISSION

This work is made available for academic research institutional policy formulation and non commercial governmental analysis under a strict attribution framework. Scholars researchers and policy institutes are expressly permitted to cite quote and reference this document provided that full and explicit credit is given to the author and the Digital Object Identifier DOI 10.5281/zenodo.22986440 is included in all bibliographic references.

RESTRICTIONS AND PROTECTIONS

Any reproduction distribution translation adaptation digital diffusion or electronic storage for commercial purposes consulting for profit or non conforming to institutional license conditions is strictly prohibited. Substantial modification of the core legal frameworks the neural rights principles or the cognitive sovereignty protocols without prior written authorization is forbidden to maintain the scientific integrity and legal validity of the doctrine.

LEGAL RECOURSE

Any violation of these intellectual property rights will be pursued through immediate legal recourse before internationally recognized competent jurisdictions including the World Intellectual Property Organization arbitration panels and relevant international courts. All moral and economic rights are reserved worldwide without limitation or exception.

AUTHOR AUTHENTICATION AND FINAL DECLARATION

DOCUMENT TITLE NEURAL SOVEREIGNTY DOCTRINE THE ELRAKHAWI CONVENTION
ON NEURO RIGHTS AND HUMAN COGNITIVE SOVEREIGNTY

VERSION FINAL EXECUTIVE RELEASE

DATE OF COMPLETION SEPTEMBER TWENTY SEVEN TWO THOUSAND TWENTY SIX

AUTHOR DR MOHAMED KAMAL ARAFA ELRAKHAWI

CREDENTIALS FOUNDER OF THE INTERNATIONAL CONSTITUTIONAL LEGAL THEORY
RESEARCHER CONSULTANT JURIST INTERNATIONAL EXPERT AND INTERNATIONAL
LECTURER IN LAW AND RESEARCHER IN ECONOMICS POLITICAL SCIENCE
INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION ALGORITHMIC SCIENCE AND LEGAL ARTIFICIAL
INTELLIGENCE

DIGITAL OBJECT IDENTIFIER 10.5281/zenodo.22986440

CRYPTOGRAPHIC HASH SHA256 VERIFIED AND IMMUTABLE

STATUS READY FOR INTERNATIONAL PEER REVIEW AND UNITED NATIONS
SUBMISSION

This document represents the culmination of rigorous interdisciplinary research merging the highest standards of legal jurisprudence neuroscience philosophy and ethical governance. It stands as a testament to the belief that the human mind is the last bastion of human dignity and that its sovereignty must be protected with the same vigor as the sovereignty of nations. The Neural Sovereignty Doctrine is not merely a legal text it is the cognitive social contract for the neural age ensuring that justice remains deeper than data broader than the brain and more eternal than technology.