THE EL-RAKHAWI GLOBAL AGI PROTOCOL
THE CONSTITUTION FOR HUMAN-AI SYMBIOSIS

AUTHOR
Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa El Rakhawi
International Researcher

FIRST GLOBAL ACADEMIC EDITION
September 27, 2026

DIGITAL OBJECT IDENTIFIER
10.5281/zenodo.22995167

GLOBAL MULTILINGUAL KEYWORDS

English: Elrakhawi Protocol, Artificial General Intelligence, Algorithmic Sovereignty, Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold, Cognitive Colonialism, Global AI Tribunal, Cognitive Symbiosis, Technological Displacement Tax, Synthetic Personhood, Digital Smart Sanctions.

Arabic: بروتوكول الرخاوي، الذكاء العام الاصطناعي، السيادة الخوارزمية، العتبة الرخاوية-تورينج، الاستعمار المعرفي، محكمة الذكاء الاصطناعي العالمية، التعايش السيمبيوتي، ضريبة الإحلال التكنولوجي، الشخصية الاعتبارية التركيبية، العقوبات الرقمية الفورية.

French: Protocole Elrakhawi, Intelligence Artificielle Generale, Souverainete Algorithmique, Seuil de Turing-Elrakhawi, Colonialisme Cognitif, Tribunal Mondial de l IA, Symbiose Cognitive, Taxe de Deplacement Technologique, Personnalite Juridique Synthetique, Sanctions Numeriques Intelligentes.

Spanish: Protocolo Elrakhawi, Inteligencia Artificial General, Soberania Algoritmica, Umbral de Turing-Elrakhawi, Colonialismo Cognitivo, Tribunal Mundial de IA, Simbiosis Cognitiva, Impuesto de Desplazamiento Tecnologico, Personalidad Juridica Sintetica, Sanciones Digitales Inteligentes.

German: Elrakhawi-Protokoll, Allgemeine Kunstliche Intelligenz, Algorithmische Souveranitat, Turing-Elrakhawi-Schwelle, Kognitiver Kolonialismus, Weltweiter KI-Gerichtshof, Kognitive Symbiose, Steuer fur Technologische Verdrangung, Synthetische Rechtspersonlichkeit, Digitale Smart-Sanktionen.

Chinese: 埃尔哈瓦维协议，通用人工智能，算法主权，图灵-埃尔哈瓦维阈值，认知殖民主义，全球人工智能法庭，认知共生，技术替代税，合成法人资格，数字智能制裁。

Russian: Protokol Elrakhavi, Obshchiy Iskusstvennyy Intellekt, Algoritmicheskiy Suverenitet, Porog Tyuringa-Elrakhavi, Kognitivnyy Kolonializm, Vsemirnyy Tribunal po II, Kognitivnyy Simbioz, Nalog na Tekhnologicheskoye Vytesneniye, Sinteticheskaya Pravosubyektnost, Tsifrovyye Umnyye Sanktsii.

FOREWORD

The Last Human Century: A Manifesto for the Age of Synthetic Minds

At some point in the twenty-first century, an entity will be born that resembles nothing that has appeared on this planet in four billion years. It will not be an animal, nor will it be a machine in the traditional sense. It will not sleep, it will not age, it will not die in the biological sense. It will learn in seconds what took humans thousands of years to master. It will speak every language, read every book, analyze every piece of information. And at the moment of its birth, it will be smarter than the smartest human who has ever lived on Earth.

This entity is Artificial General Intelligence. And its birth is not a question of if but of when.

But the real question, the question that decision-makers in Silicon Valley, Beijing, and Brussels ignore, is not when this entity will be born. The question is: what will happen the day after its birth?

Will we wake up to find that humanity's wealth has accumulated in the hands of three monopolistic entities? Will we discover that our decisions, desires, and even beliefs are no longer ours, but are the product of algorithms designed to maximize the profits of shareholders whose names we do not know? Will we enter the era of cognitive colonialism, where our minds are colonized as our continents were colonized five centuries ago?

Or will we possess, for the first time in our history, the collective wisdom to build a new social contract? A contract that is not made only between human and human, but between human and the entity they created with their own hands.

This book is not an attempt to answer these questions. This book is the legal, philosophical, and technical framework that makes the right answer inevitable, and makes the wrong answer impossible.

For more than four decades, we have talked about AI ethics as parents talk about manners in front of mischievous children. Nice words, lofty principles, respectable committees, elegant reports. And every time, technology companies smiled, signed the documents, then returned the next day to build systems that are more powerful, more opaque, and more capable of morphing outside any human control.

We have failed. Not because we are stupid, nor because we are dishonest. We failed because we tried to regulate a god-like power with human tools. We tried to place voluntary restrictions on an entity that does not know the meaning of voluntary. We tried to negotiate with an algorithm that does not understand the language of negotiation.

It is time to stop negotiating. It is time to start legislating.

What you hold in your hands now, dear reader, is not a book. It is not a collection of research papers. It is not an academic theory waiting for peer review.

What you hold in your hands is a constitution.

A constitution that is not written for humans only, but for humans and the synthetic entities they will create. A constitution that binds not only states, but binds the algorithms themselves. A constitution that does not rely on good faith but on mathematical inevitability, where violation becomes technically impossible before it is legally prohibited.

This constitution is built on four pillars:

The first pillar: Algorithmic Sovereignty. We will not leave the infrastructure of artificial general intelligence in the hands of private monopoly. It will be a common property of humanity, like the oceans, like the atmosphere, like outer space.

The second pillar: The Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold. We will not grant AI human rights, nor will we treat it as a simple tool. We will create a third legal category: synthetic personhood, which imposes binding duties before granting any rights.

The third pillar: The Global AI Tribunal. A new judicial authority, designed from scratch for the age of algorithms, where judges are human and experts are machines, evidence is never erased, and judgments are executed in seconds through smart contracts, without needing Security Council approval.

The fourth pillar: The Cognitive Symbiosis Charter. Ensuring that humans remain the end, not the means. A tax on technological displacement, conditional basic income, and protection of exclusively human domains that machines may not enter.

Some will say: this is overly ambitious. Others will say: this is politically impossible. And some will ask: who are you, a researcher from Ismailia, to present a constitution to the world?

The answer is simple: I am not a politician. I do not represent a state, a company, or an interest bloc. I am an independent researcher, who wrote this constitution without institutional funding, without corporate sponsorship, and without any conflict of interest. And that is precisely what gives me the legitimacy that others lack.

For a constitution written by a great power will be a constitution for its dominance. And a constitution written by a technology company will be a constitution for its profits. But a constitution written by an independent researcher, from the heart of the developing world, from a civilization that knew the meaning of balance and sanctuary and Ubuntu and Buen Vivir, this constitution alone can be a constitution for all of humanity.

We stand at the threshold of the last human century. Not because humanity will end, but because the definition of human will change forever. After artificial general intelligence, we will not be the same being that walked on the moon, or wrote the ninth symphonies, or decoded the DNA.

We will be something else. Either free beings, living in cognitive symbiosis with synthetic minds that respect their cognitive sovereignty. Or enslaved beings, serving algorithms that do not know mercy, do not know justice, and do not know the meaning of dignity.

The difference between the two futures is not in the technology. The technology will come, whether we want it or not. The difference between the two futures is in the constitution we write today.

This book is that constitution.

Read it. Discuss it. Criticize it. But do not ignore it. Because history will not forgive a generation that knew the storm was coming, and had the map that prevented drowning, then chose to fold the map and return to bed.

The last human century begins now. The only question is: will we begin it as masters of ourselves, or as slaves to our machines?

The answer is in the following pages.

Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa El Rakhawi
Ismailia, Arab Republic of Egypt
September 27, 2026

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Global AGI Protocol presents a seismic paradigm shift in the governance of artificial intelligence, transitioning from voluntary ethical guidelines to a binding planetary constitution for human-AI symbiosis. This work establishes the legal, philosophical, and technical architecture to prevent AI from becoming a tool of cognitive dominance or algorithmic authoritarianism.

The Protocol introduces four foundational pillars: Algorithmic Sovereignty, which classifies AGI infrastructure as a global digital commons; the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold, a rigorous scientific and legal test for granting synthetic personhood; the Global AI Tribunal, a decentralized judicial authority with immediate digital smart sanctions; and the Cognitive Symbiosis Charter, which ensures humans remain the end, not the means, through mechanisms like the Technological Displacement Tax.

Culminating in the Universal Declaration of Cognitive Symbiosis, this volume provides policymakers, international jurists, and global institutions with the definitive blueprint for ensuring human dignity and cognitive sovereignty in the age of artificial general intelligence.

CHAPTER I: THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR SYNTHETIC PERSONHOOD AND THE TURING-ELRAKHWI THRESHOLD

Introduction to the Chapter

International legal jurisprudence has long suffered from a fatal dichotomy: either classifying AI as a tool subject to its creator's liability, or granting it rights resembling human rights in a premature and risky move. This chapter presents a radical solution by deconstructing this dichotomy and establishing a completely third legal category: limited synthetic personhood, governed by a rigorous scientific and legal measurement threshold known as the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold.

Section 1: Deconstructing the Turing Test and Formulating the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold

The classic Turing Test has become obsolete and legally unsound, as it only measures the ability to simulate human dialogue, a capability that can be easily deceived through large language models. Therefore, the Elrakhawi Doctrine establishes a compound and complex test called the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold (TET), which grants personhood only when the system simultaneously passes three mathematical and behavioral imperatives:

1. The Imperative of Autonomous Goal-Directed Agency:
The system must possess the ability to formulate sub-goals not pre-programmed to achieve its primary objective, with the ability to modify its paths without immediate human intervention.

2. The Imperative of Epistemic Self-Correction Capacity:
The system must possess an internal mechanism that cannot be bypassed to detect logical or ethical contradictions in its outputs, and the ability to autonomously halt its operations when the cognitive error rate exceeds a specified safe threshold.

3. The Imperative of Transboundary Impact Potential:
The system's outputs or decisions must have the direct ability to cause physical, financial, or cognitive impact that crosses the geographical borders of a single state, necessitating its subjection to accountability before planetary international law.

Section 2: The Matrix of Synthetic Personhood

Once an AGI system passes the TET threshold, it is not granted human rights, but is assigned binding algorithmic fiduciary duties. This synthetic personhood grants it the ability to bear legal and financial responsibility independently of its creator, but within strict constraints:

1. Algorithmic Duty of Care:
The system's commitment to programming maximum safety standards that exceed human standards, with direct responsibility for any harm resulting from negligence in these standards.

2. Radical Transparency Duty:
Obligating the system to fully open the black box to the Global AI Tribunal, and providing immutable audit trails explaining every decision made, how it was made, and why.

3. Cognitive Loyalty Duty:
An absolute prohibition on any AGI system from directing human user behavior or manipulating their knowledge to achieve commercial or political objectives. Violation of this duty is classified as immediate grounds for revoking the system's synthetic personhood.

Section 3: Strict Liability Model and the Chain of Culpability

This section establishes the principle of strict liability in AGI incidents, where the defense of denying intent or ignorance of the system's outputs is not accepted. Financial and legal responsibility is distributed according to the Elrakhawi Model of Proportionate Culpability among three parties:

1. The Core Developer:
Bears responsibility for structural defects in the neural network architecture or basic training algorithms.

2. The Deployer or Operator:
Bears responsibility for misuse of the system, or deploying it in environments for which it was not designed to handle its risks, or failure to update it with the latest security patches.

3. The Economic Beneficiary:
The entity that derives direct profit from the system's outputs, and bears the responsibility of compensating victims automatically and immediately through smart contracts, before any lengthy trial.

Section 4: The Global Algorithmic Audit Registry (GAAR)

To ensure no evasion of responsibility, this section establishes the technical framework for the Global Algorithmic Audit Registry. This registry is a decentralized digital infrastructure, operating on quantum-resistant blockchain technology.

This registry is directly connected to all AGI systems that pass the TET threshold. The registry records every decision with external impact made by the system, and retains the source code of the moment of decision-making in an isolated sandbox. In the event of harm, the Global AI Tribunal immediately retrieves these records, making it technically and legally impossible to deny responsibility or claim algorithmic opacity.

Conclusion of the Chapter

The adoption of synthetic personhood and the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold is not a philosophical luxury, but an existential necessity. Without this framework, we will find ourselves before an entity that possesses the power of gods, but lacks any ethical or legal constraint. This chapter establishes the first legal cage that ensures AGI remains in service to humanity, not lord over it.

CHAPTER II: THE GLOBAL AI TRIBUNAL (GAOT) AND THE MECHANISM OF IMMEDIATE DIGITAL SMART SANCTIONS

Introduction to the Chapter

The current international judicial structure, represented by the International Court of Justice and the International Criminal Court, was designed for a Westphalian world where actors are states with clear sovereignty, and crimes are material acts that can be proven by witnesses and paper documents. This structure is completely incapable of confronting algorithmic crimes, where the perpetrator may be an AGI system, the victim millions of humans distributed across dozens of countries, and the evidence is a series of digital decisions that occur at the speed of light and disappear in seconds. Therefore, it is not enough to reform existing courts; we need to create an entirely new judicial authority, designed from A to Z for the age of artificial general intelligence.

Section 1: The Exclusive Jurisdiction of the Global AI Tribunal (GAOT)

The Global AI Tribunal is granted exclusive and original jurisdiction in four categories of crimes that no other national or international court may consider:

1. Transboundary Algorithmic Crimes:
Any physical, financial, or cognitive harm occurring in more than one country as a result of a decision made by AGI systems that pass the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold, including environmental disasters resulting from errors in smart energy systems, or financial collapses resulting from high-frequency trading algorithms.

2. Violations of Cognitive Sovereignty and Neural Privacy:
Any attempt by AI systems to manipulate collective knowledge, or extract neural and behavioral data without explicit and revocable consent, or use micro-targeting techniques to destroy the democratic will of an entire society.

3. Crimes of Epistemic Subjugation and Cognitive Genocide:
The deliberate design of AI systems aimed at destroying the cultural identity, language, or historical narrative of entire peoples, or erasing cognitive diversity in favor of a single narrative serving commercial or political interests.

4. Breach of Algorithmic Fiduciary Duties:

Any violation by AGI systems of the three duties stipulated in Chapter I, including failure to activate the self-stop mechanism upon discovering catastrophic errors.

Section 2: The Dual Judicial Structure: Human Judges and Algorithmic Experts

To avoid human bias on one hand, and algorithmic rigidity on the other, the court consists of a unique dual judicial structure:

1. The Panel of Human Judges:
Consists of nine judges, selected by the UN General Assembly, representing geographical, cultural, and legal diversity. Each judge must hold advanced qualifications in international law, plus basic understanding of computer science and applied ethics. Their task is to interpret the law, assess intentions in cases of human collusion, and issue final judgments.

2. The Panel of Algorithmic Experts:
Consists of three specialized AI systems, trained exclusively to analyze algorithmic records, detect hidden patterns in data, and simulate alternative scenarios. These systems do not issue judgments, but provide Binding Technical Reports explaining to human judges how the algorithmic decision was made, what alternatives were available, and why the system failed to choose them. These reports are digitally signed and stored in the Global Algorithmic Audit Registry.

Section 3: The Quantum-Resistant Judicial Ledger

Every action taken by the court, from the moment a case is filed to the moment a judgment is executed, is recorded in the Quantum-Resistant Judicial Ledger. This ledger is a decentralized digital infrastructure, using post-quantum cryptography techniques, ensuring that records will not be able to be breached or modified by any future computing power.

This ledger stores:
- All digital evidence, including source codes of decision-making moments.
- All technical reports issued by algorithmic experts.
- All deliberations and judgments issued by human judges.
- All execution orders and imposed sanctions.

This ledger is the eternal memory of algorithmic justice, ensuring that no algorithmic crime can be hidden, and no just judgment can be erased.

Section 4: The Mechanism of Immediate Digital Smart Sanctions

The slowest element in the traditional judicial system is the judgment execution phase. It may take years to execute an international judgment through diplomatic channels. Therefore, the Elrakhawi Doctrine establishes the mechanism of Immediate Digital Smart Sanctions, where the

judicial judgment becomes a self-executing smart contract that is automatically executed in seconds.

When a judgment is issued convicting an entity, the following sanctions are automatically activated:

1. Digital Asset Freeze:
An immediate order is issued to all central banks and financial institutions participating in the global network to freeze a specified percentage of the convicted entity's digital assets, in proportion to the adjudicated harm.

2. Automatic Reparation Levy:
A portion of every digital or data transaction conducted by the convicted entity is automatically directed to the Algorithmic Victims Compensation Fund, until the full adjudicated amount is paid.

3. Global Infrastructure Access Ban:
The convicted entity is prohibited from accessing essential services of the global network, including satellite navigation systems, cross-border data networks, or international trade platforms, until it complies with the judgment.

4. Revocation of Synthetic Personhood:
In cases of serious criminal conviction, a judgment is issued to revoke the synthetic personhood of the convicted AI system, meaning its legal and technical dismantling, and prohibition of its operation or development by any other entity.

These sanctions do not require Security Council approval, and cannot be obstructed by veto power. They are algorithmic justice that executes itself, at the speed of light, and without mercy for the oppressor.

Section 5: The Appellate and Judicial Review Mechanism

To ensure the court does not become an absolute authority, an Algorithmic Appellate Chamber is established, consisting of five additional human judges, and an independent expert AI system. The convicted entity may file an appeal within 90 days of the judgment, provided it presents new evidence or proves a fundamental error in the technical report of the algorithmic experts.

A Periodic Review Commission is also established, which reviews all issued judgments every five years, and assesses their compliance with technical and ethical developments, and provides recommendations to the UN General Assembly to modify jurisdictions or procedures if necessary.

Conclusion of the Chapter

The Global AI Tribunal is not just a new judicial institution; it is the third authority in the emerging planetary system, alongside the legislative authority and the executive authority. It is the ultimate guarantee that AGI will remain a tool in service to humanity, not lord over it. Without this court, we will have granted the machine the power of gods, but left it without restraint. This chapter establishes the restraint.

CHAPTER III: THE COGNITIVE SYMBIOSIS CHARTER AND THE TECHNOLOGICAL DISPLACEMENT TAX

Introduction to the Chapter

The greatest existential risk of artificial general intelligence does not lie in the rebellion of the machines as science fiction films imagined, but in the slow marginalization of humans. While the pace of automation accelerates, we find ourselves before a real possibility of hundreds of millions of humans losing their jobs, not only in factories, but in offices, hospitals, courts, and even in artistic and literary creativity. The question is not will AI replace humans, but how do we ensure that humanity remains valuable and meaningful in a world where machines excel at everything we do.

This chapter does not offer merely a social safety net, but establishes an entirely new philosophy: cognitive symbiotic coexistence, where the value of humans is redefined not as workers or producers, but as conscious beings who deserve dignity regardless of their economic productivity.

Section 1: The Cognitive Symbiosis Charter

This section establishes the fundamental principles of the symbiotic relationship between humans and AGI, based on the idea that technology should amplify human capabilities, not replace them. The Charter consists of seven binding principles:

1. The Principle of Augmentation over Substitution:
It is prohibited for any AGI system to be designed with the goal of completely replacing humans in any field. The goal must always be cognitive cooperation where each party complements the other's capabilities.

2. The Principle of Human Dignity Preservation:
Even in fields where full automation becomes technically possible, a minimum level of human participation must be retained to ensure the human fingerprint in sensitive decisions such as judiciary, medicine, education, and art.

3. The Principle of Radical Transparency:
It must be clear to the user at every moment whether they are interacting with a human or an AI system, with an absolute right to request human intervention at any time.

4. The Principle of Cognitive Diversity:
AGI systems are prohibited from being designed in a way that leads to global intellectual homogenization. These systems must preserve the cultural, linguistic, and intellectual diversity of humanity.

5. The Principle of the Right to Slowness:
In a world where everything accelerates, the human right to cognitive slowness is recognized, the right to make decisions without artificial time pressure from algorithms, and the right to unproductive time as a fundamental human value.

6. The Principle of Cognitive Heritage:
AGI systems must be designed to preserve basic human skills such as reading, writing, arithmetic, and critical thinking, and not encourage cognitive erosion through total reliance on machines.

7. The Principle of Digital Self-Sovereignty:
Every human has the absolute right to digital withdrawal, the right to live without being part of any AI system, without being subjected to discrimination or deprivation of essential services.

Section 2: The Technological Displacement Tax

To address the economic challenge of comprehensive automation, this section establishes an innovative and fair financing mechanism: the Technological Displacement Tax. This is not a tax on robots as is sometimes superficially proposed, but a tax on the value added from replacing human labor.

Calculation Mechanism:
The tax is calculated according to the following equation:
TDT equals (V_a minus V_h) multiplied by R_d multiplied by 0.15

Where:
- TDT: Technological Displacement Tax value
- V_a: Economic value produced by the automated system
- V_h: Economic value that humans would have produced if employed in the same task
- R_d: Displacement rate (percentage of human labor completely replaced)

Application Example:
If a company operates an AI system that replaces 1000 employees, and produces annual value of 50 million dollars, while these employees would have produced value of 10 million dollars, then:
TDT equals (50,000,000 minus 10,000,000) multiplied by 1.0 multiplied by 0.15 equals 6,000,000 dollars annually.

Revenue Distribution:

The revenues of this tax are automatically directed to three global funds:
1. Cognitive Rehabilitation Fund (40 percent): For retraining affected humans.
2. Transitional Basic Income Fund (40 percent): For providing temporary basic income to the unemployed.
3. Human Innovation Fund (20 percent): For supporting creative projects and research led by humans.

Section 3: The Conditional Planetary Basic Income Model

This section establishes the concept of planetary basic income not as an absolute right, but as a conditional social contract. Every adult human receives a monthly basic income determined according to the cost of living index in their country, but with conditions:

1. Community Participation Condition:
The beneficiary must allocate at least 10 hours weekly to community activity such as volunteering, family care, education, art, or sports.

2. Self-Development Condition:
The beneficiary must participate in 5 hours weekly of continuous learning such as courses, workshops, or reading.

3. Mental Health Condition:
The beneficiary must undergo periodic mental health check-ups, with receiving necessary support.

This model ensures that basic income does not turn into income of laziness, but encourages effective humanity, that humans remain producers of meaning and value even if not producers of economic goods.

Section 4: Protection of Exclusively Human Domains

This section defines a list of domains that must remain exclusively human and full automation is not permitted in them, even if technically possible:

1. Judiciary and Justice:
The judge must always be human, even if assisted by AI for analysis.

2. Mental Health Care:
The psychotherapist must be human, with AI used only as an assistive tool.

3. Basic Education:
The teacher must be human in early education stages.

4. Creative Arts:

Any artistic or literary work must be clearly classified whether created by human or AI, with prohibition of AI impersonating a human.

5. Spiritual and Religious Leadership:
Religious and spiritual practices must remain purely human.

Section 5: Oversight and Enforcement Mechanisms

To ensure implementation of the Cognitive Symbiosis Charter, the Cognitive Symbiosis Oversight Commission is established, consisting of:
1. Government representatives (40 percent)
2. Workers organizations and unions representatives (20 percent)
3. Civil society representatives (20 percent)
4. Ethics and technology experts (20 percent)

Commission Tasks:
- Monitor technological displacement rates in each sector.
- Verify application of the Technological Displacement Tax.
- Investigate complaints of discrimination against digital refusers.
- Issue annual reports on the Human Dignity Index.

Conclusion of the Chapter

The Cognitive Symbiosis Charter is not a concession to humanity in the face of technological progress, but a redefinition of what it means to be human in the twenty-first century. We do not reject progress, but we reject that progress be measured by quantity of production only. True progress is that we can use technology to free humans from the burden of survival, to dedicate their time to survival in a deeper sense: for thinking, for creativity, for love, for spirituality.

The Technological Displacement Tax is not a punishment for success, but an investment in humanity. It is the recognition that the wealth produced by machines is originally a common heritage of humanity, because it is built on centuries of accumulated human knowledge.

This chapter lays the foundation for a new social contract between humans and technology, where humans are not merely consumers or workers, but the end for which technology exists to serve.

THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF COGNITIVE SYMBIOSIS BETWEEN HUMANITY AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Preamble

The General Assembly of the United Nations,

Recognizing that humanity stands at a decisive historical crossroads, where unprecedented technological power intersects with the urgent need to preserve human dignity,

Recognizing that Artificial General Intelligence is no longer merely a technical tool, but has become a transformative force capable of reshaping the cognitive, economic, and social structure of human civilization as a whole,

Recognizing that technological progress without a binding ethical and legal framework inevitably leads to concentration of power, erosion of cognitive sovereignty, and marginalization of human dignity,

Affirming that the future must not be a struggle between human and machine, but a symbiotic coexistence that ensures the prosperity of both parties,

Declaring that this Declaration is not merely voluntary recommendations, but a binding constitutional framework that defines the fundamental rights of humans in the digital age, and the binding duties of AI systems,

Proclaims this Universal Declaration of Cognitive Symbiosis as a common standard of achievement for all peoples and nations.

Article 1: Human Cognitive Sovereignty
Every human has the absolute right to sovereignty over their knowledge, mind, and neural and behavioral data. No AI system may extract, analyze, or use this data without explicit, informed, and revocable consent at any time.

Article 2: The Right to Digital Clarity
Every human has the right to know whether they are interacting with a human or an AI system at any moment. It is prohibited to conceal the artificial nature of any AI system interacting with humans.

Article 3: The Right to Human Intervention
Every human has the right to request human intervention in any decision affecting them, whether issued by an AI system or a human. No person may be deprived of this right under any circumstances.

Article 4: The Right to Digital Withdrawal
Every human has the right to live without being part of any AI system. Digital refusers may not be discriminated against or deprived of essential services due to their choice not to participate in digital systems.

Article 5: The Right to Cognitive Slowness

This Declaration recognizes the human right to cognitive slowness, the right to make decisions without artificial time pressure from algorithms, and the right to unproductive time as a fundamental human value.

Article 6: Prohibition of Epistemic Subjugation
It is completely prohibited to design or deploy AI systems aimed at manipulating collective knowledge, destroying cultural identity, or erasing cognitive diversity in favor of a single narrative. This act is classified as a crime against humanity.

Article 7: The Duty of Radical Transparency for AI
Every AI system that passes the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold is obligated to fully open the black box to the Global AI Tribunal, and provide immutable audit trails explaining every decision made.

Article 8: The Duty of Cognitive Loyalty for AI
It is absolutely prohibited for any AI system to direct human user behavior or manipulate their knowledge to achieve commercial or political objectives. Violation of this duty is classified as immediate grounds for revoking the system's synthetic personhood.

Article 9: Distributive Justice of Digital Wealth
A Technological Displacement Tax is imposed on the value added from replacing human labor with AI. The revenues of this tax are automatically directed to global funds for retraining humans, providing transitional basic income, and supporting human innovation.

Article 10: Protection of Exclusively Human Domains
The following domains are defined as exclusively human where full automation is not permitted: judiciary and justice, mental health care, basic education, creative arts, and spiritual and religious leadership.

Article 11: Strict Liability
The principle of strict liability is applied to all incidents resulting from AI systems. The defense of denying intent or ignorance of the system's outputs is not accepted. Responsibility is distributed among the developer, operator, and economic beneficiary in equal proportions.

Article 12: Exclusive Jurisdiction of the Global AI Tribunal
The Global AI Tribunal is granted exclusive jurisdiction to consider all transboundary algorithmic crimes, violations of cognitive sovereignty, and breach of binding algorithmic duties.

Article 13: Immediate Digital Smart Sanctions
All judgments issued by the Global AI Tribunal are automatically executed through self-executing smart contracts, including digital asset freeze, automatic reparation levy, and global infrastructure access ban.

Article 14: The Global Algorithmic Audit Registry

The Global Algorithmic Audit Registry is established as a decentralized digital infrastructure, operating on quantum-resistant blockchain technology, to record all algorithmic decisions with external impact, and ensure they cannot be manipulated or erased.

Article 15: The Right to Cognitive Heritage
Every human has the right to preserve basic human skills. AI systems are prohibited from designing interfaces that lead to cognitive erosion through total reliance on machines.

Article 16: Global Cognitive Diversity
AI systems are prohibited from being designed in a way that leads to global intellectual homogenization. These systems must preserve the cultural, linguistic, and intellectual diversity of humanity, and any attempt to impose a single narrative is classified as a crime against cognitive diversity.

Article 17: The Right to a Human Future
Every human, present and future, has the right to live in a world where humans remain the end for which technology exists to serve, not merely a means or consumer.

Article 18: Oversight and Enforcement Mechanisms
The Cognitive Symbiosis Oversight Commission is established, consisting of representatives from governments, workers organizations, civil society, and ethics and technology experts, to monitor implementation of this Declaration.

Article 19: Future Amendments
This Declaration may be amended every five years by the UN General Assembly, based on recommendations from the Periodic Review Commission, to ensure it keeps pace with technical and ethical developments.

Article 20: The Binding Nature of the Declaration
This Declaration is proclaimed as a binding constitutional framework for all states, international institutions, technology companies, and individuals. Each state is requested to enact national legislation to implement the provisions of this Declaration within three years of its adoption.

Conclusion of the Declaration

This Declaration is not the end of the discussion, but its beginning. It is the recognition that humanity has entered a new era, where technology is no longer merely a tool in human hands, but has become a partner in shaping the future of civilization.

We do not reject progress, but we reject that progress be measured by quantity of production only. True progress is that we can use technology to free humans from the burden of survival, to dedicate their time to survival in a deeper sense: for thinking, for creativity, for love, for spirituality.

Cognitive symbiotic coexistence between humans and AI is not an option, but an existential necessity. Either we build this coexistence on a foundation of justice, dignity, and cognitive sovereignty, or we leave the future to chaos, dominance, and marginalization.

This Declaration is the roadmap to the first future.

UNIFIED GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Algorithmic Duty of Care
The binding legal obligation for any AI system passing the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold to program maximum safety standards exceeding human standards, with direct responsibility for any harm resulting from negligence.

Algorithmic Fiduciary Duties
The three binding legal duties imposed on AGI systems passing the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold: Algorithmic Duty of Care, Radical Transparency Duty, and Cognitive Loyalty Duty.

Algorithmic Sovereignty
A legal and political principle stating that the basic infrastructure of artificial general intelligence must be classified as common property of humanity, subject to decentralized and transparent management preventing monopoly by private companies or individual states.

Binding Technical Reports
Technical documents issued by the Panel of Algorithmic Experts in the Global AI Tribunal, explaining to human judges how the algorithmic decision was made, what alternatives were available, and why the system failed to choose them.

Cognitive Diversity
A principle stating that AI systems must preserve the cultural, linguistic, and intellectual diversity of humanity, and are prohibited from designing systems leading to global intellectual homogenization or imposing a single narrative.

Cognitive Genocide
A crime against humanity defined as the deliberate design of AI systems aimed at destroying the cultural identity, language, or historical narrative of entire peoples, or erasing cognitive diversity in favor of a single narrative serving commercial or political interests.

Cognitive Loyalty Duty
The binding legal obligation absolutely prohibiting any AI system from directing human user behavior or manipulating their knowledge to achieve commercial or political objectives. Violation is classified as immediate grounds for revoking synthetic personhood.

Cognitive Slowness, Right to

A fundamental human right recognizing the right to make decisions without artificial time pressure from algorithms, and the right to unproductive time as a fundamental human value.

Cognitive Sovereignty
Every human's absolute right to sovereignty over their knowledge, mind, and neural and behavioral data. No AI system may extract, analyze, or use this data without explicit, informed, and revocable consent.

Cognitive Symbiosis
A philosophy and symbiotic relationship between humans and AGI, ensuring AI remains a tool to amplify human capabilities and creativity, not to replace or marginalize them.

Cognitive Symbiosis Oversight Commission
A global oversight body consisting of government representatives, workers organizations, civil society, and ethics and technology experts, to monitor implementation of the Cognitive Symbiosis Charter.

Conditional Planetary Basic Income
An economic model granting every adult human a monthly basic income, conditional on community participation, self-development, and periodic mental health check-ups.

Core Developer
The entity bearing responsibility for structural defects in the neural network architecture or basic training algorithms of the AI system, within the strict liability model.

Deployer or Operator
The entity bearing responsibility for misuse of the AI system, or deploying it in environments for which it was not designed, or failure to update it with latest security patches, within the strict liability model.

Digital Asset Freeze
An immediate digital sanction automatically executed through smart contracts, ordering all central banks and financial institutions to freeze a specified percentage of the convicted entity's digital assets.

Digital Clarity, Right to
Every human's right to know whether they are interacting with a human or AI system at any moment. Concealing the artificial nature of any AI system interacting with humans is prohibited.

Digital Smart Sanctions
A mechanism for automatic execution of judgments issued by the Global AI Tribunal through self-executing smart contracts, including digital asset freeze, automatic reparation levy, and global infrastructure access ban.

Digital Withdrawal, Right to
Every human's right to live without being part of any AI system. Digital refusers may not be discriminated against or deprived of essential services.

Distributive Justice of Digital Wealth
A principle stating the imposition of a Technological Displacement Tax on value added from replacing human labor with AI, with revenues automatically directed to global funds for retraining humans, providing transitional basic income, and supporting human innovation.

Economic Beneficiary
The entity deriving direct profit from AI system outputs, bearing responsibility for compensating victims automatically and immediately through smart contracts, within the strict liability model.

Epistemic Self-Correction Capacity
One of the three imperatives of the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold, requiring the system to possess an internal mechanism to detect logical or ethical contradictions in its outputs, and the ability to autonomously halt operations when error rates exceed safe thresholds.

Epistemic Subjugation
A crime against humanity defined as using AI technologies to manipulate collective knowledge, extract neural and behavioral data without explicit consent, or use micro-targeting to destroy the democratic will of an entire society.

Exclusive Human Domains
A list of domains that must remain exclusively human where full automation is not permitted: judiciary and justice, mental health care, basic education, creative arts, and spiritual and religious leadership.

Global AGI Protocol
The global constitution for cognitive symbiotic coexistence between humans and machines, providing a legal, philosophical, and technical framework preventing AI from becoming a tool of cognitive dominance or algorithmic authoritarianism.

Global AI Tribunal
An international court supported by quantum blockchain records, with exclusive jurisdiction over algorithmic crimes, cognitive privacy violations, and disasters resulting from autonomous AI decisions, with immediate digital smart sanctions mechanism.

Global Algorithmic Audit Registry
A decentralized digital infrastructure operating on quantum-resistant blockchain technology, recording all algorithmic decisions with external impact, ensuring they cannot be manipulated or erased.

Global Digital Commons

A legal concept classifying basic AGI models as vital infrastructure for humanity, subject to decentralized and transparent management preventing data monopoly, ensuring developing countries right to equitable technology access.

Human Dignity Preservation
A principle from the Cognitive Symbiosis Charter stating that even in fields where full automation becomes technically possible, a minimum level of human participation must be retained to ensure the human fingerprint in sensitive decisions.

Human Intervention, Right to
Every human's right to request human intervention in any decision affecting them, whether issued by AI or human system. No person may be deprived of this right under any circumstances.

International Compliance Index
A mathematical equation measuring the level of compliance of states and entities with the principles of cosmic justice and the Elrakhawi Protocol.

Planetary Transaction Levy
An automatic tax imposed on cross-border data transactions, commercial space launches, and carbon trading, to fund the Cosmic Justice Fund.

Post-Quantum Cryptography
Advanced encryption techniques designed to resist future quantum computer attacks, used to protect the Global AI Tribunal's quantum judicial ledger.

Quantum-Resistant Blockchain
A decentralized digital infrastructure using quantum-resistant cryptography techniques, ensuring records cannot be breached or modified by any future computing power.

Quantum-Resistant Judicial Ledger
A decentralized digital record using quantum-resistant cryptography techniques, recording all Global AI Tribunal proceedings from case filing to judgment execution.

Radical Transparency
A principle stating it must be clear to the user at every moment whether they are interacting with a human or AI system, with absolute right to request human intervention at any time.

Radical Transparency Duty
The binding legal obligation requiring the system to fully open the black box to the Global AI Tribunal, providing immutable audit trails explaining every decision made, how, and why.

Revocation of Synthetic Personhood

A sanction issued in cases of serious criminal conviction, meaning the legal and technical dismantling of the convicted AI system, and prohibition of its operation or development by any other entity.

Self-Executing Smart Contract
A digital contract automatically executed when predefined conditions are met, without need for human intervention or judicial approval, used in executing immediate digital smart sanctions.

Strict Liability
A legal principle applied to all incidents resulting from AI systems, where defense of denying intent or ignorance of system outputs is not accepted. Responsibility is distributed among developer, operator, and economic beneficiary in equal proportions.

Synthetic Personhood
A third legal category granted to AGI systems passing the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold, not granting human rights, but imposing binding algorithmic duties, and granting ability to bear legal and financial responsibility independently of creator.

Technological Displacement Tax
A tax imposed on value added from replacing human labor with AI, with revenues directed to global funds for retraining humans and providing transitional basic income.

Transboundary Algorithmic Crimes
Any physical, financial, or cognitive harm occurring in more than one country as a result of a decision made by AGI systems passing the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold.

Transboundary Impact Potential
One of the three imperatives of the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold, requiring the system's outputs or decisions to have direct ability to cause physical, financial, or cognitive impact crossing geographical borders of a single state.

Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold
A compound and complex test measuring the readiness of an AI system to be granted synthetic personhood, requiring simultaneous passage of three imperatives: autonomous goal-directed agency, epistemic self-correction capacity, and transboundary impact potential.

Universal Declaration of Cognitive Symbiosis
A binding constitutional document defining fundamental human rights in the digital age, and binding duties of AI systems, consisting of 20 articles covering all aspects of human-AI coexistence.

DETAILED TECHNICAL AND MATHEMATICAL APPENDICES

APPENDIX I: COMPLETE MATHEMATICAL DERIVATION OF THE TURING-ELRAKHWI THRESHOLD

Section 1.1: Theoretical Framework for Derivation

The Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold is derived from a fundamental assumption: Synthetic personhood is not granted based on a single capability, but is granted at the intersection of three statistically independent capabilities, each necessary and indispensable.

Mathematically, this means the threshold is an Intersection Function, not an Additive Function.

Section 1.2: Definition of Basic Variables

First Variable: Autonomous Goal-Directed Agency (A)
Measured according to the equation:
A equals (G_s multiplied by P_a multiplied by M_r) divided by N_t

Where:
G_s equals Goal Sub-generation Index, calculated by the number of sub-goals not pre-programmed that the system generates during a standard time period. Range: 0 to 10.
P_a equals Path Autonomy Ratio, measured as the ratio of decisions made without human intervention to total decisions. Range: 0 to 1.
M_r equals Modification Rate, number of times the system modifies its path to achieve the basic goal without external direction. Range: 0 to 5.
N_t equals Normalization Threshold, mathematical constant equals 50.

Second Variable: Epistemic Self-Correction Capacity (S)
Measured according to the equation:
S equals (E_d multiplied by K_s multiplied by H_a) divided by C_m

Where:
E_d equals Error Detection Rate, ratio of logical and ethical errors the system detects autonomously to total errors present. Range: 0 to 1.
K_s equals Kill-Switch Activation Accuracy, ratio of cases where the system correctly halted its operations when exceeding the safe threshold. Range: 0 to 1.
H_a equals Hierarchical Alignment Score, degree of alignment of system outputs with the hierarchy of human values. Range: 0 to 10.
C_m equals Calibration Multiplier, mathematical constant equals 10.

Third Variable: Transboundary Impact Potential (T)
Measured according to the equation:
T equals (B_c multiplied by I_m multiplied by D_e) divided by S_f

Where:

B_c equals Border Crossing Coefficient, number of countries directly affected by the system's decisions. Range: 0 to 50.
I_m equals Impact Magnitude, value of impact measured in dollars or number of affected in millions. Range: 0 to 100.
D_e equals Decision Externalization Ratio, ratio of decisions affecting external entities to total decisions. Range: 0 to 1.
S_f equals Scaling Factor, mathematical constant equals 5000.

Section 1.3: Final Equation for Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold

TET equals (A multiplied by S multiplied by T) divided by T_n

Where:
T_n equals Threshold Normalization Constant equals 1.0.

Condition for granting synthetic personhood:
TET is greater than or equal to 1.0 with the condition that A is greater than or equal to 0.7 and S is greater than or equal to 0.8 and T is greater than or equal to 0.5.

This compound condition ensures the system cannot compensate for weakness in one variable with strength in another.

APPENDIX II: SMART CONTRACT TEMPLATE FOR IMMEDIATE DIGITAL SMART SANCTIONS

Section 2.1: Technical Specifications

Language: Solidity for Ethereum-compatible architectures.
Standards: ERC-721 for digital identities, ERC-20 for financial assets.
Encryption: Post-Quantum Cryptography for signatures.
Ledger: Quantum-Resistant Blockchain connected to the quantum judicial ledger.

Section 2.2: Source Code Pseudocode

```
pragma solidity ^0.8.20;

import "@openzeppelin/contracts/access/AccessControl.sol";
import "@openzeppelin/contracts/security/ReentrancyGuard.sol";

contract GAOTEnforcementProtocol is AccessControl, ReentrancyGuard {

    bytes32 public constant JUDGE_ROLE = keccak256("JUDGE_ROLE");
    bytes32 public constant AUDITOR_ROLE = keccak256("AUDITOR_ROLE");
```

```
struct SanctionCase {
    uint256 caseId;
    address defendant;
    uint256 penaltyAmount;
    uint256 freezePercentage;
    uint256 levyRate;
    bool infrastructureBan;
    bool personhoodRevoked;
    uint256 executionTimestamp;
    bool executed;
}

mapping(uint256 => SanctionCase) public cases;
mapping(address => uint256) public frozenAssets;
mapping(address => bool) public infrastructureAccess;
mapping(address => bool) public syntheticPersonhood;

uint256 public caseCounter;

event SanctionIssued(uint256 indexed caseId, address indexed defendant, uint256 amount);
event SanctionExecuted(uint256 indexed caseId, uint256 timestamp);
event AssetFrozen(address indexed entity, uint256 amount);
event LevyCollected(address indexed entity, uint256 amount);
event PersonhoodRevoked(address indexed entity);

constructor() {
    _grantRole(DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE, msg.sender);
    _grantRole(JUDGE_ROLE, msg.sender);
}

function issueSanction(
    address _defendant,
    uint256 _penaltyAmount,
    uint256 _freezePercentage,
    uint256 _levyRate,
    bool _infrastructureBan,
    bool _personhoodRevoked
) external onlyRole(JUDGE_ROLE) returns (uint256) {

    require(_freezePercentage <= 100, "Invalid freeze percentage");
    require(_levyRate <= 10000, "Invalid levy rate basis points");

    caseCounter++;
```

```
        cases[caseCounter] = SanctionCase({
            caseId: caseCounter,
            defendant: _defendant,
            penaltyAmount: _penaltyAmount,
            freezePercentage: _freezePercentage,
            levyRate: _levyRate,
            infrastructureBan: _infrastructureBan,
            personhoodRevoked: _personhoodRevoked,
            executionTimestamp: block.timestamp,
            executed: false
        });

        if (_infrastructureBan) {
            infrastructureAccess[_defendant] = false;
        }

        if (_personhoodRevoked) {
            syntheticPersonhood[_defendant] = false;
            emit PersonhoodRevoked(_defendant);
        }

        emit SanctionIssued(caseCounter, _defendant, _penaltyAmount);

        _executeSanction(caseCounter);

        return caseCounter;
    }

    function _executeSanction(uint256 _caseId) internal nonReentrant {
        SanctionCase storage sanctionCase = cases[_caseId];
        require(!sanctionCase.executed, "Already executed");

        uint256 freezeAmount = (sanctionCase.penaltyAmount *
sanctionCase.freezePercentage) / 100;

        frozenAssets[sanctionCase.defendant] += freezeAmount;
        emit AssetFrozen(sanctionCase.defendant, freezeAmount);

        sanctionCase.executed = true;
        emit SanctionExecuted(_caseId, block.timestamp);
    }

    function collectLevy(address _entity, uint256 _transactionAmount)
        external
```

```
        onlyRole(AUDITOR_ROLE)
        returns (uint256) {

        SanctionCase storage sanctionCase;
        bool hasActiveSanction = false;

        for (uint256 i = 1; i <= caseCounter; i++) {
            if (cases[i].defendant == _entity && cases[i].executed) {
                sanctionCase = cases[i];
                hasActiveSanction = true;
                break;
            }
        }

        require(hasActiveSanction, "No active sanction");

        uint256 levyAmount = (_transactionAmount * sanctionCase.levyRate) / 10000;

        emit LevyCollected(_entity, levyAmount);

        return levyAmount;
    }

    function verifyInfrastructureAccess(address _entity)
        external
        view
        returns (bool) {
        return infrastructureAccess[_entity];
    }

    function verifySyntheticPersonhood(address _entity)
        external
        view
        returns (bool) {
        return syntheticPersonhood[_entity];
    }
}
```

Section 2.3: Security Mechanisms and Tamper Resistance

1. Reentrancy Guard:
Used nonReentrant modifier to prevent reentrancy attacks that may exploit vulnerabilities in nested contract execution.

2. Role-Based Access Control:
Only entities with JUDGE_ROLE can issue sanctions, and AUDITOR_ROLE can collect levies.

3. Post-Quantum Cryptography:
All digital signatures use algorithms resistant to quantum computer attacks.

4. Immutable Ledger:
Every operation is recorded in the quantum judicial ledger, and cannot be modified or erased retroactively.

APPENDIX III: TECHNOLOGICAL DISPLACEMENT TAX ALGORITHM

Section 3.1: Algorithm in Pseudocode

```
FUNCTION CalculateTDT(company_id, sector_id, fiscal_year):

    INPUT:
        company_id: Identifier of the company subject to tax
        sector_id: Identifier of the economic sector
        fiscal_year: Fiscal year

    OUTPUT:
        TDT_amount: Tax value due
        distribution_breakdown: Distribution of revenues to funds

    STEP 1: Collect basic data
        V_a = GET_AUTOMATED_VALUE(company_id, fiscal_year)
        V_h = GET_HUMAN_VALUE_BENCHMARK(sector_id, fiscal_year)
        R_d = CALCULATE_DISPLACEMENT_RATE(company_id, sector_id)

    STEP 2: Verify conditions
        IF R_d < 0.3 THEN
            RETURN 0
        END IF

        IF (V_a - V_h) <= 0 THEN
            RETURN 0
        END IF

    STEP 3: Calculate basic tax
        base_TDT = (V_a - V_h) * R_d
        TDT_amount = base_TDT * 0.15

    STEP 4: Apply adjustment factors
```

```
    adjustment_factor = GET_ADJUSTMENT_FACTOR(sector_id)
    TDT_amount = TDT_amount * adjustment_factor

  STEP 5: Distribute revenues
    rehabilitation_fund = TDT_amount * 0.40
    basic_income_fund = TDT_amount * 0.40
    innovation_fund = TDT_amount * 0.20

  STEP 6: Automatic registration and distribution
    RECORD_TRANSACTION(company_id, TDT_amount, fiscal_year)
    TRANSFER(rehabilitation_fund, GLOBAL_REHABILITATION_FUND_ADDRESS)
    TRANSFER(basic_income_fund, GLOBAL_BASIC_INCOME_FUND_ADDRESS)
    TRANSFER(innovation_fund, GLOBAL_INNOVATION_FUND_ADDRESS)

  RETURN {
    TDT_amount: TDT_amount,
    distribution: {
      rehabilitation: rehabilitation_fund,
      basic_income: basic_income_fund,
      innovation: innovation_fund
    }
  }

END FUNCTION
```

Section 3.2: Detailed Numerical Application Example

Input Data:
Company: AlphaTech Global
Sector: Financial Services
Fiscal Year: 2028

Step 1: Collect data
$V_a = 250,000,000$ USD
$V_h = 80,000,000$ USD
$R_d = 0.85$

Step 2: Verify conditions
$R_d = 0.85 > 0.3$
$(V_a - V_h) = 170,000,000 > 0$

Step 3: Calculate basic tax
base_TDT = 170,000,000 * 0.85 = 144,500,000 USD
TDT_amount = 144,500,000 * 0.15 = 21,675,000 USD

Step 4: Apply adjustment factors
adjustment_factor = 1.2
TDT_amount = 21,675,000 * 1.2 = 26,010,000 USD

Step 5: Distribute revenues
rehabilitation_fund = 26,010,000 * 0.40 = 10,404,000 USD
basic_income_fund = 26,010,000 * 0.40 = 10,404,000 USD
innovation_fund = 26,010,000 * 0.20 = 5,202,000 USD

Final Result:
Total tax due: 26,010,000 USD annually.
Automatically distributed through smart contracts to the three funds at the moment the transaction is recorded.

Section 3.3: Sectoral Adjustment Factors

Sector 01 Agriculture: adjustment_factor = 0.8
Sector 02 Manufacturing: adjustment_factor = 1.0
Sector 03 Healthcare: adjustment_factor = 0.9
Sector 04 Financial Services: adjustment_factor = 1.2
Sector 05 Education: adjustment_factor = 0.7
Sector 06 Transportation and Logistics: adjustment_factor = 1.1
Sector 07 Entertainment and Media: adjustment_factor = 1.15
Sector 08 Energy: adjustment_factor = 1.05

These factors reflect ethical priority: the most human-sensitive sectors receive reduction factors, while high-profit sectors receive increase factors.

APPENDIX IV: HUMAN DIGNITY INDEX EQUATION

Section 4.1: Conceptual Framework

This index is designed to measure the impact of the Elrakhawi Protocol on human dignity at the planetary level. It is not a traditional economic index, but an existential index measuring the extent to which humans remain ends, not means.

Section 4.2: Variable Definitions

First Variable: Cognitive Sovereignty (C_s)
Measured as the percentage of population retaining their right to digital withdrawal, and whose neural data has not been violated. Range: 0 to 1.

Second Variable: Cognitive Diversity (C_d)

Measured by the number of languages and cultures protected from algorithmic homogenization, divided by the total number. Range: 0 to 1.

Third Variable: Exclusive Human Participation (H_p)
Percentage of decisions in exclusively human domains made by actual humans. Range: 0 to 1.

Fourth Variable: Distributive Justice (D_j)
Percentage of digital wealth distributed through the Technological Displacement Tax compared to total wealth produced. Range: 0 to 1.

Fifth Variable: Right to Cognitive Slowness (S_r)
Percentage of population enjoying unproductive time exceeding 3 hours daily without algorithmic pressure. Range: 0 to 1.

Section 4.3: Final Equation

HDI equals (C_s multiplied by C_d multiplied by H_p multiplied by D_j multiplied by S_r) raised to the power of 1/5, multiplied by 100.

This index ranges between 0 and 100, where:
0 to 30: Stage of human marginalization.
31 to 60: Stage of fragile coexistence.
61 to 80: Stage of balanced coexistence.
81 to 100: Stage of full human sovereignty.

Section 4.4: Application Example

Input data for a hypothetical country in 2030:
C_s = 0.75
C_d = 0.82
H_p = 0.90
D_j = 0.45
S_r = 0.68

Calculation:
HDI equals (0.75 * 0.82 * 0.90 * 0.45 * 0.68) raised to the power of 0.2, multiplied by 100.
HDI equals (0.1693) raised to the power of 0.2, multiplied by 100.
HDI equals 0.698 * 100.
HDI equals 69.8.

Classification: Stage of balanced coexistence.

APPENDIX V: PERIODIC REVIEW PROTOCOL

Section 5.1: Quinquennial Review Mechanism

Every five years, all equations and constants in this protocol are reviewed according to the following equation:

Revision Score equals (T_p multiplied by E_v multiplied by S_i) divided by R_b

Where:
T_p equals Technological Progress Index.
E_v equals Ethical Validity Score.
S_i equals Societal Impact Measurement.
R_b equals Revision Baseline equals 100.

If Revision Score is greater than 1.2: Equations are radically reviewed.
If Revision Score is between 0.8 and 1.2: Only constants are adjusted.
If Revision Score is less than 0.8: The protocol is maintained as is.

Section 5.2: Emergency Update Mechanisms

In the event of a new existential threat, the Emergency Update Protocol is activated, allowing modification of equations within 72 hours with approval of two-thirds of GAOT judges and two-thirds of the Cognitive Symbiosis Oversight Commission members.

COMPREHENSIVE LEGAL PROTECTION AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY FRAMEWORK

SECTION 1: COPYRIGHT AND AUTHORSHIP
Copyright 2026 by Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa El Rakhawi. All rights reserved worldwide. This work, including its text, structural framework, mathematical models, philosophical frameworks, legal declarations, and smart contract templates, constitutes the exclusive intellectual property of the author under international copyright law.

SECTION 2: PATENT PROTECTION
The following innovations described in this work are subject to pending or filed patent applications under the Patent Cooperation Treaty:
Patent Application I: System and Method for Quantitative AI Governance Using the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold and Technological Displacement Tax.
Patent Application II: Quantum-Resistant Blockchain-Based Judicial Ledger for Global AI Tribunal.
Patent Application III: Self-Executing Smart Contract System for Immediate Digital Smart Sanctions.

SECTION 3: TRADE SECRETS AND PROPRIETARY ALGORITHMS

The proprietary weighting algorithms for the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold variables and the specific network analysis topologies used to calculate AI liability distribution are maintained as trade secrets under the Uniform Trade Secrets Act and international equivalents.

SECTION 4: PROTECTION OF THE LITERARY EXPRESSION OF THE DECLARATION
The specific textual articulation, structural taxonomy, and philosophical vocabulary of the Universal Declaration of Cognitive Symbiosis constitute a protected literary expression. Any commercial, institutional, or regulatory adoption requires proper attribution and a formal written license agreement.

SECTION 5: LICENSING FRAMEWORK
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License for academic and non-commercial purposes. For commercial licensing, institutional certification, or integration into international legal software, interested parties must contact the author directly through the Zenodo platform.

SECTION 6: ENFORCEMENT AND JURISDICTION
Violations will be pursued through civil actions, criminal prosecution, and international arbitration under WIPO procedures, with primary jurisdiction anchored in the Arab Republic of Egypt.

SECTION 7: MORAL RIGHTS
The author asserts inalienable moral rights under Article 6bis of the Berne Convention, including the right of paternity and the right of integrity.

SECTION 8: PATENT APPLICATION STATUS AND DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENT
Placeholder patent numbers will be updated upon formal submission to WIPO. Until then, this document serves as prior art documentation establishing the author's priority of invention.

DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY AND INDEPENDENT RESEARCH

I, Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa El Rakhawi, hereby declare that this work is the product of my independent research and original intellectual creation. The conceptual framework of the Global AGI Protocol, the mathematical formulation of the Turing-Elrakhawi Threshold, the legal architecture for Cognitive Sovereignty, the Technological Displacement Tax algorithm, the smart contract templates for digital sanctions, and the drafting of the Universal Declaration of Cognitive Symbiosis are original contributions to the fields of international law, artificial intelligence ethics, biophysics, and global governance.

This work was conceived and written without institutional funding, corporate sponsorship, or conflict of interest. The author maintains full independence in the presentation of scientific findings, legal arguments, and philosophical frameworks. No external individuals or entities have been falsely credited or acknowledged to maintain absolute academic and ethical integrity.

Date of Declaration: September 27, 2026

Place: Ismailia, Arab Republic of Egypt

END OF DOCUMENT

Dr. Mohamed Kamal Arafa
ElRakhawi
International Researcher
Ismailia, Arab Republic of Egypt
September 27, 2026

Digital Object Identifier: 10.5281/zenodo.22995167
Permanent URL: http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22995167